The First Freedom®

P. O. Box 385 Silverhill, Alabama 36576

natvan.com/who-rules-america

It's here to stay.
Y'all have a nice day.

Self-government begins at home

Volume 14 Number 2 February 2012 | Inviting the Zionist-controlled media cracy to meet a rising free South | www.firstfreedom.net | $2.00

EDITOR'S NOTE

▪ As this issue goes to press the Montgomery Circuit Court hasn't yet set a date to hear our charges against police brutality in that city.

SURGEON GENERAL'S WARNING: First-time readers of alternate news, especially boob tube babies, may experience severe loss of confidence in the Establishment press and TV.

Untold black history for the month of February
Page 10

Feb. 17 Burning of Columbia
Page 5

How to avoid voting for a globalist puppet

Iran not involved

Deadliest foe attacks American ship
Page 4

The Putin problem
Page 6

The Weimar Republic
Page 8

The forgotten holocaust
Page 10



When did we waive our rights?
Page 22

For advocating nonparticipation in the federal voting scam, we get rebuked (Letters, page 23), so let's consider yet another writer's case against our "premature" boycott.

By Brandon Smith
zerohedge.com

Only a few days ago I attended a community meeting here in the Flathead Valley of Montana which revolved around the first of a series of gubernatorial debates covered by webcast across the State. The number of Republican candidates vying in the primaries of this election is a bit absurd, and after witnessing the half-hearted or outright fake performances by most of them, I can see quite clearly that the State of Montana is being targeted by Neo-Con interests posing as Constitutionalists in an attempt to neutralize the extraordinary advance of the Liberty Movement here. What struck me most sharply was the fact that almost every candidate vying for the governor's slot had taken on elements of the Liberty Movement philosophy.

Change, and we don't mean maybe

The elections of 2012 and the immense success of the Ron Paul campaign have so far proven without a doubt that the anti-globalist, anti-totalitarian, anti-collectivist stance is now part of a growing majority in America. Just as we have forced Neo-Con candidates in the Presidential primaries to at least pretend that they care about freedom (quite a change from the Bush years), so too are Republican impostors forced to mimic us in the battle for State offices.

With so many political candidates now at the very least paying lip-service to the concepts of Constitutional freedoms, limited Federal influence and State's rights, it may become increasingly difficult for voters to discern who is a snake-oil peddler and who is the real deal. Many who supported the rise of the Tea Party (originally launched by Ron Paul back in 2007-2008), suddenly found their efforts for a free America being hijacked by establishment fakes in 2010. Out of nowhere, gutter dwelling pro-globalist imposters like Rick Santorum could be found headlining forums at Constitutional rallies, and even veteran globalists like Newt Gingrich have tried to jump on the

(See "It's a clean sweep," page 5)

Anglo-Saxons bring law to England
Page 20

Urban legend?

That's a term invented by the usual suspects to throw *real* news hounds – a rare breed – off the scents of their crimes. Denver Broncos quarterback Tim Tebow *really is* the living proof of miracles in our times.
Story on page 3

Ford on the IJ
Page 16

One way to solve unemployment
Page 12

Neo-Con desperation to destroy Iran
Page 17

Terrorists detaining "terrorists"
Page 14



Birth of a nation
Page 9

Get this newspaper anywhere in C.S.A. or U.S. for $25 per year. See page 24.



Calling card from our favorite ally
Page 4

Ten questions for those who still believe
Page 22

Doctor'd MLK legacy Apologies
Page 19

Political action 2012



Page 11

We can. We shall. We must.

Your editor recalls the above FDR slogan ordering us to stand firm, suffer rationing, buy more war bonds and *win* the Second "World War to End Wars."

I can't promise that by our retaking the media we'll end Zionist subversion around the planet. However, if we *don't* challenge the ZOG, that's it! The stories in each and every highly-informative issue of **The First Freedom** persuade a growing number of readers to consider the enormity of what we're up against.

Most people are about ready to mess in their pants if you say the "J" word. They'll tolerate impossible wars, yet do not want any "controversy" stirring up *why*. It's our object to face the truth, organize, install a grand new syndicate of *honest* reporters that are ever on the streets, at their phones, in the trenches, furnishing *low cost* daily reportage to all those newspapers presently captured by the Associalized Press.

Please help us by doing your part.

HERE'S WHAT WAS IGNORED OR MISREPORTED ELSEWHERE:

Zionists occupy all key slots next to Prez

TEL AVIV has been named the top "gay" city in the world, reports the *New York Daily News*. Tel Aviv replaces New York. Hmm, the top two "gay" cities are also that pair with the most Jews living in them. Surely just a coincidence.

AN ATLANTA Jewish newspaper has apologized for a column in which the owner wants Israel's Mossad to assassinate President Obama. Arabs wearing cheap Casio wristwatches get waterboarded; this guy recommends the assassination of the President and an apology gets him off? That's it? Well, such are the new rules. We can all threaten to murder the President and when Homeland Obscurity shows up, if we say we are really sorry, nothing bad will happen to us!

WHY did top Jew Abe Foxman yell at *The Atlanta Jewish Times*' Andrew Adler for suggesting Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu send the Mossad to murder Obama "so that his successor will defend Israel against Iran"? Their tribe is ever on both sides of all issues, supposedly a "can't lose" ruse till the dollar blows its fuse. But Adler may have snafued plans for blaming Stepen Fetchit's martyrdom soon in some Southern State on the usual "lone, White, racist gun nut."

NO BETTER SERVANT of that tribe than today's acting President has anywhere proven more useful to the Jewish warped perception of their self-interest, betraying the other 98%. Jew Rahm Emmanuel is no longer Fetchit's Chief of Staff, as Jew Jack Lew now dictates the moves from that post. Jew David Axelrod got the Kenyan elected with unlimited help from Lloyd Blankfein of Goldman-Sachs. And don't forget the Jewish-dominated media having portrayed Obama like the Second Coming, nor such Jewish money men as mogul Haim Saban.

KILL Obama "so that his successor will defend Israel against Iran"? No, to paralyze Whitey into feeling too guilty to do diddly squat.

ZEITUNI ONYANGO, the President's aunt, sneaked here in 2000 from Kenya, in 2004 receiving "asylum." Obama's uncle Onyango, another Bostonian, ordered back to Kenya 20 years ago, stayed on. Should Kenya now grant the three of them asylum from that Atlanta newspaper's death threat?

AUSTRALIAN scientists on Jan. 21 said they had discovered that genes are responsible for 40 percent of our lifetime intelligence, the other 60% determined by environment. The research was done by University of Queensland scientists under geneticist Professor Peter Visscher. Nutrition, school and parent's education do their parts, but observational tests on twins suggested that we inherit around half of our intelligence.

NATO chief Anders Fogh Rasmussen has urged Russia to refrain from building up its military near the alliance's borders. Moscow plans to deploy Iskander missiles in Kaliningrad, and Russian media report that an S-400 Triumph anti-aircraft missile system goes into service there in April.

VOTE COUNTERS count, voters do not. Ron Paul fans were shocked by the Iowa result when no-name Senator Rick Santorum won with very little tangible support. And how on earth could Newt Gingrich win South Carolina when the day prior he canceled a major campaign stop for lack of attendance?

THE RUSSIANS sold off half of their U. S. Treasury bonds last year. China has been dumping dollar holdings too, but still has a trillion of them. Other foreigners are dumping dollars as well. The current U. S. inflation rate is 12%. Ben Bernanke has created trillions of dollars bailing out banks in Europe, buying up worthless securities from U. S. banks and funding the deficit, to accelerate inflation from 12% to 15% and then 20% in less than a year.

THE BIGGEST prostitutes aren't in the world's oldest trade, they're today's web hosts selling out to whoever pays them the most when posting those porno images of same-sex pairs embracing and kissing – all over the internet just now.

"Be assured that if this new provision [the 14th Amendment] be engrafted in the Constitution, it will, in time, change the entire structure and texture of our government, and sweep away all the guarantees of safety devised and provided by our patriotic Sires of the Revolution." – Orville Browning
Sec. of the Interior (1867)

A LOCOMOTIVE producer in central China's Hunan province on Jan. 20 rolled out a low-cost magnetically levitated train that is more environmental-friendly than conventional ones. The three-carriage train is designed to run at a maximum speed of 100 km per hour and carry 600 passengers without touching the rails.

HUNGARY must exit the European Union, Jobbik Party president Gabor Vona exhorted a crowd of about 3,000 supporters on Jan. 14, because the EU was trying to force Hungary's surrender of its independence.

LEAGUE of the South president Mike Hill of Killen, Alabama, had this to say on Jan. 24: "Those who support States rights, constitutional government and the right of secession are now called by the ruling elite "right-wing extremists" – even "domestic terrorists." Traditional Southerners are indeed familiar with this game. We say the *real* threats to peace come *from D.C.*"



Scalawag of the Month
Vern Minor

Missouri student suspended for CSA flag

Discrimination in government schools is openly tolerated against Southerners.

whitenewsnow.com

We have yet another example of the government school system banning the most prominent symbol of the Southern people and denying the basic right of free speech. Our freedoms as well as tolerance for Southern identity have deteriorated to the point where this has now sadly become common. Can you imagine the school system banning the flag of any other cultural or ethnic group? Of course not. This reinforces the assertion we at SNN have made many times, that government schools are not welcoming institutions for the Southern people. If at all possible, Southerners are recommended to avoid these institutions, since it is clear that the rhetoric from the U. S, school system about inclusion does not apply to Southerners:

A typical story

Riley Collier loves flying the flag in the back of his pickup – the Confederate flag.

"Just trying to express myself; it's just like bumper stickers or anything of that nature," said Collier.

He ran into a big problem, though, when he took it on his school grounds. Collier said school officials warned him to stop flying Ole Dixie.

"They said they could view the flag from inside the building, so it was a distraction to students apparently," said Collier.

So he took it down, but continued to fly it to and from Republic High School. In response, the school suspended Collier's driving privileges for 30 days.

"It would be easier and it would pacify them, but I just don't see why I should," said Collier.

When he earned his driving privileges back, his flag came back and that led to his second driving suspension.

Riley's dad supports him

"To my knowledge there's nothing in any of the school handbooks that says you can't do it," said Gene Collier, his father.

"We don't articulate every rule and regulation," said Republic School District Superintendent Vern Minor. "No school district could do that. We don't have the manpower, time, hours, energy to do all that,"

The best way that we can help this young man is to contact Republic High School Superintendent Vern Minor and express our opposition to his decision to oppress free speech and Missouri heritage.

Let's educate that educator

Here's his contact information. Be polite but steadfast in your support of this student.
Phone: 417-732-3605
Email: vern.minor@republicschools.org

Seriously, now

This patron at a little country restaurant was continually complaining about the air conditioning. He would desire the waiter to turn the air conditioning up, for it was too warm, then some five minutes later he'd want it turned down because it was too cold. This went on for half an hour, all the other customers marveling as the waiter walked back and forth very pleasantly and patiently. Finally a customer asked: "Why don't you just throw the pest out?" "Oh, I don't care," replied the waiter with a broad grin, "I'm on my feet another four hours anyway, and besides, we don't even have air conditioning."

The First Freedom

"The First Freedom is the best I've read."

"I'm a 25-year-old White separatist and a proud Southerner. I absolutely love these papers. We need more folk such as these awesome writers here!" – Justin Dalton

"The First Freedom is a great paper with writers who are unafraid to tell the truth. "Ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." – Rev. M. C. Rhodes



SUBSCRIBE TODAY!

NEW READERS
❒ **$5 for 6 issues**

RENEWAL
❒ **$25 for 1 year**
❒ **$50 for 2 years**
❒ **$75 for 3 years**
❒ **$100 for 4 years**

NAME
ADDRESS
CITY STATE ZIP
PHONE EMAIL
CHURCH/SCHOOL/ORGANIZATION

CLIP AND MAIL TO **THE FIRST FREEDOM**
P. O. BOX 385, SILVERHILL, ALABAMA 36576

Challenging a Zionist-controlled mediacracy to tolerate the truth

The First Freedom
Self-government begins at home

Speech and religion were already ours
back when FDR named "four freedoms."
But he would whip hunger, fear and oppression,
abstaining forever from Europe's wars.
When politicians lie, the State bites off
what only *individuals* can chew.
It's time we recovered
those powers not delegated.

Editor: Olaf Childress
Editorial faculty: Nancy Hitt, Greg Kay, Christine Miller, Donald Sullivan, Warren Wilson and Ingrid Rimland Zündel.
Correspondents: Mark Anderson, Bill Ebb, J. B. Campbell, Lloyd Caperton, Chris DeHuff, Bill Ivy, John Kaminski, John Peeples and Steve Watson.
Telephone: 215-945-5130
Email: editor@firstfreedom.net
Website: www.firstfreedom.net
Correspondence about subscriptions or changes of address should be addressed to The First Freedom, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576.

Periodicals postage paid at Foley, Alabama.
POSTMASTER: Send address changes to The First Freedom, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576.
SUBSCRIPTIONS: C. S. A. and U. S: For one year (12 issues) send $25 to above address.
Domestic subscriptions: Send $25 check, money order, (Federal Reserve Notes or one silver dollar at own risk) for the next 12 monthly issues anywhere in C. S. A. and U. S. by bulk mail or $48 in a first class envelope.
Foreign subscriptions: Canada $53, other foreign destinations $77. Payable in U. S. dollars or other instruments readily convertible thereto.
Self-government begins at home. Let patriotism, in obedience to God, emancipate our imprisoned heritage! Join this nonprofit newspaper and help de-program the brainwashed population. Except for copyrighted articles, anyone may reprint or quote **The First Freedom** in whole or part; just give full credit and address.
We may carefully edit submitted manuscripts to fit space and style sheet without changing meaning; prefer email; publish only the writer's name, city and State but need address and phone verification.
Signed articles by contributors do not necessarily represent **The First Freedom**'s views. These are expressed in editorials.

No urban legend this; the faithful know how things work

Pam's Story

This lady knew the pain of considering abortion. More than 24 years ago, she and her husband Bob serving as missionaries to the Philippines had prayed for a fifth child. Pam contracted amoebic dysentery, an infection of the intestine caused by a parasite found in contaminated food or drink. She went into a coma and was treated with strong antibiotics before they discovered she was pregnant.

Doctors urged her to abort the baby for her own safety and said that the medicines had caused irreversible damage to her baby. She refused the abortion and cited her Christian faith as the reason for hoping that her son would be born without the devastating disabilities physicians predicted. Pam said the doctors didn't think of it as a life, they thought of it as a mass of fetal tissue.

While pregnant, Pam nearly lost their baby four times but refused to consider abortion. She recalled making a pledge to God with her husband: If you will give us a son, we'll name him Timothy and we'll make him a preacher.

Pam ultimately spent the last two months of her pregnancy in bed and eventually gave birth to a healthy baby boy August 14, 1987. Pam's youngest son is indeed a preacher. He preaches in prisons, makes hospital visits, and serves with his father's ministry in the Philippines.

He also plays football. Pam's son is Tim Tebow.

The University of Florida's star quarterback became the first sophomore in history to win college football's highest award, the Heisman Trophy. His current role as quarterback of the Denver Broncos has provided an incredible platform for Christian witness. As a result, he is being called the Mile-High Messiah.

Tim's notoriety and the Tebow family's inspiring story have given Pam numerous opportunities to speak on behalf of women's centers across the country. She believes that every little baby you save matters. Pray her tribe will increase!

Tebow's family ties

Here's how Suzy Richardson described Tim on gatorsports.com December 6, 2007:

As a top contender for the Heisman Trophy, Tim Tebow, the sophomore quarterback who has been dubbed Florida's superhero, will have the eyes of the sports world fixed on him.

But while the Gator Nation anxiously waits to hear if he will make history as the first sophomore to receive the coveted award, the tight-knit family who knows him best says instead of focusing on a win, they are focusing on supporting the baby of their family, whom they affectionately call Timmy.

For Tim's mom, Pam Tebow, that means ensuring that her youngest son will be suitably dressed during the Heisman Trophy ceremony held Saturday at 8 PM in New York City.

"I'm so busy making sure Timmy has a black belt and socks to wear with his shoes … all those details that a mother has to remember," she said.

But, Pam says the real preparation has nothing to do with socks and shoes. Instead, it has to do with his upbringing. "You have to start young; you can't start when they're 20," she said, recalling that Tim "hated" to lose, even as a skinny kid playing T-ball.

During those seemingly insignificant losses, she and her husband, Bob, taught Tim some of his most valuable lessons, including how to deal with disappointments.

"We taught our children from a young age that in everything, you give thanks to the Lord," she said. "There's something supernatural that takes place when you trade your anxieties for God's peace."

Pam says most of Tim's life lessons began at home, on the family's 44-acre farm on the outskirts of Jacksonville, where all of the Tebow children were home-schooled.

She credits her husband with using the farm to teach the five children a strong work ethic – something that she says has allowed Tim to excel on and off the football field.

"They would mend fences, take care of the vegetable garden and the cattle," she said. "There were things that were required of them. Part of my husband's strategy was to build strong men, not just build fences."

For Pam, though, Tim's story begins years before life on the farm in Jacksonville.

In 1985, she and her husband moved to the Philippines, where they served as Christian missionaries.

Hoping to expand their family, they prayed for "Timmy" by name.

Just before she became pregnant, Pam fell into a coma after contracting amoebic dysentery, a bacteria transmitted through contaminated drinking water.

Her treatment required a series of strong medications. As a result of those medications, doctors told Pam the fetus had been irreversibly damaged, and they strongly advised her to have an abortion.

She refused because of her faith, she said.

Pam spent the last two months of her pregnancy on bed rest, and on her due date – Aug. 14, 1987 – she gave birth to a healthy baby boy, whom she described as "skinny, but rather long."

Three years later, the family moved back to the U. S., while continuing to run their family ministry, the Bob Tebow Evangelistic Association (btea.org), in the Philippines. Every year, the family returns with volunteers to work with the ministry, which now employs 50 people and includes an orphanage.

On Saturday, the Tebows will be worlds away from the Philippines and their little family farm where Tim learned so many lessons. But one thing remains the same: the tight-knit family will be together, and they will be by Tim's side.

Tim's oldest sister, Christy Tebow-Allen, works as a missionary in Asia. And though she is the only sibling who will not be by her baby brother's side during the ceremony, she will be waiting by her phone to hear the results.

"I am not surprised that Timmy is a finalist for the Heisman," she wrote in an email. "The combination of Timmy's God-given talent, hard work, character and leadership have made a mark on and off the football field."

Tim's brother, UF senior engineering student Peter Tebow, who will be at the ceremony, says that even without a win, he is impressed by what Tim has already accomplished, both as a football player and as a person.

"It's so exciting to see the accomplishments that he has had, but more importantly, it's exciting to see the kind of person he is," he said.



Your "representatives" – forbidden by the Southern Puberty Lechery Center, a federal Affirmative Action Apparatchik and those new voting machines to display such reminders in a building full of judges, lawyers and politicians – have banished the Ten Commandments from Alabama's Supreme Court now for 101 months.

Bob, Pam, Joey, Christy, Gannon, Katie, Robby, Peter, Timmy, Claire, Abby and Joe
(Photo by: Deanna Clement with www.socialshutterfly.com)

From lamb to lion

By Frank Whalen
frankwhalenlive.com

It seems in these times there is little to rejoice about regarding hope for mankind. But I have found something in the success of The Lord Of The Rings films. These movies tap into a basic subconscious truth inherent in all people, and many respond. Most in the purchase of tickets and DVDs, but some have had their eyes opened and their hearts surge at the idea of the quintessential battle between good and evil.

What does this mean? We see the parallels of these stories in our lives. We are born into a world bigger and stronger than us, intimidating to our meek and small incarnations. Lambs in a slaughterhouse! Then we are given something to believe in. A purpose whose depth and importance we cannot possibly perceive. And yet, we decide to make that journey down the long and lightly trodden road.

It's a decision

The first step is the hardest but we make it regardless…the leap of faith.

The walk is filled with dangers that can never be foreseen. We are haunted by the darkness and things we don't want to believe truly exist. With each forward movement things get harder, the burden gets heavier and we are tempted to turn back to our lives of blissful ignorance. However, we realize as we go on that we cannot turn back. Our lives will never return to that simplicity because we've seen too much. We know we'll ultimately be affected by what is coming whether we act or not. So what do we do now? Enjoy the brief period of calm, living it up and enjoying the fruits of life while we can? Or trudge onward, heading into a life that could be even shorter, filled with loss and pain? It's not much of a choice, really… until that faith deepens.

No regrets

Now you see that you have been given this duty to perform for a purpose that you may never come to understand…and that's okay. Your physical life that you cling to so tightly with both hands doesn't measure up to what its sacrifice can buy for others. You finally get it. You only matter in what you choose to do with what you have been given.

This is what those films exemplify. Although the end may seem predictable with good conquering evil, it doesn't cause you to be uninterested when it is your victory in battle that is assured! These are our lives today. We are heading toward something that will arrive unscheduled and without parameters. What choice have you made and will you be ready for that day?

Yes, you will. Our lives have been spent in training, realized or not. You have felt it, a taste when you have been put to the test. A glimmer while performing under pressure and in times when you have risen to face a challenge and met it head-on regardless of fear. The greatest of all battles is coming and the lions will fight it, each in his own way contributing to the cause with the tools and gifts given by God to we who have never understood but at some point simply had faith. Even the weakest of all can serve God by surrendering our will to His.

The miracle

We go now toward whatever end He had destined for us but take comfort in the knowledge that His total victory is already secured and our lives here, however painful, sin-filled and mistake ridden, have not been endured in vain. We have given all that we are for His glory. We have done all that we could to play a small role in the most epic battle of all time. We are Frodo, in service to our King

The Israeli attack on the *USS Liberty*

James E. Akins, a career foreign service officer, was U.S. ambassador to Saudi Arabia before retiring in 1976. He lives in Washington, DC, serves as a consultant on energy matters and travels frequently to the Middle East, Europe and Asia. This article was adapted from a talk given by Ambassador Akins at the Center for Policy Analysis on Palestine in Washington, DC.

Why is what happened on 8 June 1967 "news"? Because it's taboo.

By James E. Akins
Washington Report On Middle East Affairs

In 1963 three World War II Victory hull freighters were refitted as Technical Research Ships. Their function, formally, was "to conduct technical research operations in support of U. S. Navy electronic research projects which include electromagnetic propagation studies and advanced communications systems."

Jane's Fighting Ships called these ships "mobile bases for research in communications and electromagnetic radiation… [They are] considered electronic intelligence ships." And so they were. They were designed to intercept foreign electronic messages and they were popularly called "spy ships."

Dangerous mission

One of these ships was rechristened the *USS Liberty*. In May 1967 tension between Egypt and Israel had become serious and the Navy Department decided it needed a "spy ship" in the region. As the *Liberty* was in Abidjan at the time and could get to the Eastern Mediterranean in two weeks it was dispatched. On June 5, when Israel attacked Egypt and destroyed most of its air force, the *Liberty* was already in the region.

Commander William McGonagle of the *Liberty* immediately asked Vice Admiral Martin at the Sixth Fleet headquarters to send a destroyer to accompany the *Liberty* and serve as its armed escort and auxiliary communication center.

The following day, June 6, Admiral Martin replied: "Liberty *is a clearly marked United States ship in international waters, not a participant in the conflict and not a reasonable subject for attack by any nation. Request denied*."

However he promised that, in the unlikely event of an inadvertent attack, jet fighters from the Sixth Fleet could be overhead in ten minutes.

Pentagon gets, gives orders

By the evening of June 7 the *Liberty* was 13 miles off the coast of Gaza, *ergo* in international waters. At about that time the Pentagon decided that the ship might be too exposed and sent a message to the Sixth Fleet headquarters, copy to the *Liberty*, ordering the ship to pull back 20 miles from the coast. The message was misdirected to the Philippines and the *Liberty* never got its copy. The Pentagon then decided that 20 miles was insufficient and ordered the ship to withdraw to 100 miles from the coast. That message, too, was misdirected to the Philippines and was never received by the *Liberty*. As the ship had not acknowledged receipt of the earlier messages a direct order was sent to the *Liberty*. Unfortunately, it was classified Top Secret and the ship did not receive it. So the *Liberty* proceeded on course to the waters off Gaza but remained *at all times in international waters*.

At about 0900 a plane approached the ship but its markings could not be identified; it broke off and then turned toward the Gaza coast. At 1000 hours two delta-winged jets flew close enough to the ship that officers with binoculars counted the rockets they were carrying and could even see the pilots, but no identifying marks on those planes were seen. Still, there was no great concern, as the planes, which circled the ship three times, could easily see its markings and its large American flag. At 1030 a flying boxcar circled the ship slowly, giving further assurance that the ship had been identified. It flew over the Liberty at about 200 feet and its Israeli markings were clearly visible. The boxcar repeated this at about 1100 and again at 1130.

The attack

The ship continued its patrol at very slow speed. At 1400 two Mirage aircraft were seen rapidly approaching the ship. Although unmarked they were assumed to be Israeli planes – the Arabs did not have Mirages – and no defensive action was taken. Not that it would have done much good, since the ship was only very lightly armed. Both attacked the ship and the damage was considerable.

Commander McGonagle quickly sent a message to the chief of naval operations: "Under attack by unidentified jet aircraft, require immediate assistance." According to James Ennis, who was badly wounded in this first attack, a submarine which had been following the ship sent up its periscope and filmed the attack. He was told of this by one of the crew on the submarine; it was subsequently confirmed by three other persons in a position to know the truth.

The attack lasted about five minutes and then ended. After another few minutes three unmarked Super-Mysteres attacked with napalm and dozens of rockets. There was then a short respite and two more Mirages – also unmarked – attacked. The entire engagement lasted about 22 minutes.

Throughout the attack the ship tried to contact Sixth Fleet headquarters, but the Israeli planes knew the frequencies of the transmission and were able to block transmission except when the rockets were underway. During these few seconds the radio operator was able to make contact. The first ship to receive and acknowledge the distress signal was the carrier *Saratoga*. Twelve F-4 phantom jets and four tanker planes were dispatched almost immediately to defend the *Liberty*. The Sixth Fleet flagship, the *Little Rock*, which had received the messages at almost the same time, was informed and the *Liberty* was assured that help was on the way.

It never came. Shortly after word of the attack and those twelve planes dispatched to support it arrived at Washington, Defense Secretary Robert McNamara personally got on the circuit and said, "Tell Sixth Fleet to get those aircraft back immediately." That message did get through and the planes were recalled. In subsequent discussion of the affair the White House directed the Pentagon to say that the Israelis had acknowledged their "mistake," that the attack had been called off and the Israelis would give assistance to the ship.

Some "assistance"!

Nine men had been killed and about 60 wounded. But that was not to be the end.

Most of the lifeboats had been destroyed in the earlier attack but the ship managed to launch three life rafts. They were attacked immediately by Israeli motor torpedo boats which destroyed two of them – a war crime in itself – and captured the third. They also launched torpedoes, one of which hit the *Liberty* at the waterline, and fired cannon into the ship in an apparent last attempt to sink it, and the carnage continued. In all, 34 Americans were killed and 171 were wounded.

When the Israelis saw they were unable to sink the ship after more than two hours of intense attack, they offered support to the survivors, who refused it. The *Liberty* limped into Malta, where 821 rocket and missile holes and more than 3,000 holes from armor-piercing bullets were counted.

In subsequent "explanations" Israelis said they had mistaken the ship for the Egyptian *Al-Qusair*, although the profiles of the two ships had nothing in common: The Egyptian ship displaced 2,000 tons, the American one 10,000. The American ship was clearly marked, flying a standard American flag which measured 5 by 8 feet. That flag was destroyed during the first attack but it was replaced immediately by a 9-by-15 foot "holiday flag" and it flew throughout the attack. The Israelis never attempted to explain how they acquired the frequencies over which the ship transmitted and why they blocked these frequencies; the Egyptian frequencies would have been quite different. Nor have the Israelis explained why the aircraft were unmarked.

While in Malta most of the surviving officers and men were interviewed in several groups by Rear Admiral Isaac Kidd, who ostentatiously took off the stars from his uniform in each case and said, "Now, tell me, man-to-man, everything that happened." They all did. Then he equally ostentatiously put the stars back on and said, "Now I'm talking officially; you are never, repeat, never to discuss this with anyone, not even your wives. If you do you will be court-martialed and will end your lives in prison – or worse." For years almost no one spoke out. Now almost all the survivors who can be contacted do.

Prelude and aftermath

George Ball served as Undersecretary of State under Presidents John F. Kennedy and Lyndon B. Johnson. That Ball saw clearly what mistakes the U. S. was making in Vietnam and that he recommended an early withdrawal is now widely known. What is less widely known is that he also believed the U. S. policy of underwriting all of Israel's actions in the Middle East was harmful to America's interests and its foreign policy objectives. This did not endear Ball to the Israeli Lobby. He firmly believed he would have been Secretary of State under Jimmy Carter if his appointment had not been vetoed by the Israelis.

In 1992 Ball wrote his last book, *The Passionate Attachment; America's Involvement With Israel, 1947 to the Present*. The title is from George Washington's farewell address in 1796. Washington had counseled "the new nation, that in shaping its international relations, it should abjure any 'passionate attachment' to or 'inveterate hatred' of any other nation. Instead it should 'cultivate peace and harmony with all.'" Washington was clearly referring to the attachment of some of his fellow Americans to France. Ball believed the "passionate attachment" of some Americans to Israel was and is equally dangerous. Although Ball was very well known and his previous books had been widely and favorably reviewed, this book was ignored. *The Washington Post* and *The New York Times* carried "reviews" from well-known defenders of Israel who used the occasion not to review the book but to launch *ad hominem* attacks on Ball. The book was not reviewed elsewhere in the "elite media" and there were no interviews on the day-time talk shows.

The truth will out

In his book Ball, who was a top State Department official in 1967, wrote: "The *Liberty's* presence and function were known to Israel's leaders. They presumably thought it vital that the *Liberty* be prevented from informing Washington of their intention to violate any cease-fire before they had completed their occupation of the Golan. Their solution was brutal and direct... Apprised of Israel's plans from various sources, the U. S. Navy Department faced a delicate problem. (To be continued)

Order extra copies of
The First Freedom
Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon at bottom of page 24



It's a clean sweep or chaos

(Continued from page 1)
bandwagon.

The light at the end of the tunnel, though, is ironically visible in the destructive nature of these candidates.

The NDAA, despite the inherent horror of its provisions, has been a spectacular litmus test for traitorous politicians. Self-styled liberty candidates like Allen West of Florida, winning with Tea Party support, have been exposed because of their backing of the NDAA. Shockingly, West not only voted for the NDAA, but helped to *compose* the bill! Now, due to a growing public outcry over the rendition and indefinite detention provisions of the NDAA, legislators like West knowingly misread the bill's language and deny that it applies to American citizens.

Three amendments to the NDAA were put forward by senators Udall, Paul, and Feinstein, specifically designed to ensure that American citizens would be protected from the draconian detainment provisions of the NDAA, and all three were struck down. Yet, Allen West and others continue to perpetuate the lie that Americans are safe from such tyranny.

Know when to dump them

How can people avoid mistakenly voting for such disingenuous candidates when they seem so adept at telling us what we want to hear until comfortably in office?

The key is to stop listening to what they *say*, and start looking closely at what they *do*. We must ignore their rhetoric, their speeches written by proxy, their bad jokes slapped together by hired staff, and begin examining actions and their backgrounds.

We need to ask some pointed questions. How have they consistently voted? Are they truly guarding our rights as described in the Constitution and the Bill Of Rights? Done anything to dismantle corruption in the government and banking industry? Or, have every one of their propositions and "solutions" involved the continuation of policies designed to aid an elite few at the expense of average Americans?

Ask some questions

▪ *Have you ever promised to investigate, audit or dissolve the private Federal Reserve Bank?*

The Federal Reserve created the credit and derivatives bubble, using artificially low interest rates to inflate the housing market and by extension the U. S. economy, energizing international banking fraud and producing the greatest financial black hole in history. It is now staving off the effects of this collapse by constantly printing fiat money out of thin air, setting us up for a currency implosion of epic proportions.

The litmus test

If your candidate has never made more than a passing sound bite comment on the Federal Reserve, he's either too ignorant of how the institution operates, or knows full well the problem and plans to do nothing.

▪ *Did you vote for the banker bailouts?* If a candidate or representative voted for or openly supports the continuance of bailout measures for international bankers which taxpayers must eventually cover, then this politician is not for us. Nearly 80% of Americans on both sides of a twisted two party spectrum voiced opposition to the bailouts and have continued to fight against quantitative easing measures on the part of the Federal Reserve. This is not a case of our government knowing better for us than we do for ourselves. This is a case of the government ignoring the will of the people.

▪ *Do you support the domestic spying FISA Act and the dissolution of the 4th Amendment?*

Any politician who has the audacity to argue that we must sacrifice our privacy and liberty for "safety" is not on our side, or the side of the Constitution. The 4th Amendment strictly prohibits illegal search and seizure of a citizen's property without a warrant and probable cause. This includes personal information and communications.

With new measures executed through the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, no electronic transfer of data is safe, and corporate entities handling communications are immune from civil suits involved in the release of private information. Under FISA, and any act which authorizes mass surveillance of the public, every citizen is treated as guilty until proven innocent. If a candidate has his hands into FISA or other legislation that opens the door to domestic spying, drop him like a bad habit.

▪ *Do you support the NDAA, SOPA, PIPA, AUMF, the Expatriation Act, etc.?*

The fascinating thing about the response by legislators to the fury over the NDAA is that they now refuse to acknowledge the bill actually pertains to American citizens. This tells me they are afraid to confront those opposed to the bill on the grounds that indefinite detention and suspension of *Habeas Corpus* is necessary. Arguments revolve around one line in the bill from Sec. 1022:

"UNITED STATES CITIZENS – The requirement to detain a person in military custody under this section does not extend to citizens of the United States."

What they consistently refuse to explain is the word "requirement" in this line. If they were to place that line in the context of all the provisions involving indefinite detainment, "requirement" pertains to foreign prisoners that fall under the definition of "covered persons" in Sec. 1021 (a covered person could essentially be anyone the government decides to accuse of being a terrorist). So, to put this as simply as possible; the government and the U. S. military are "required" under the NDAA to indefinitely detain without jury trial those foreign prisoners designated as enemy combatants or terrorists. The government is *not* required to indefinitely detain U. S. citizens under the NDAA, but *they can if they want to!* This precedent was set by the passage of the Authorization for the Use of Military Force (AUMF), and further strengthened – not obstructed as some propagandists claim – by the Supreme Court's decision in *Hamdi v. Rumsfeld*. The NDAA gives a highly unconstitutional practice Congress' stamp of approval.

With the NDAA, Americans must adopt a scorched earth policy before it is too late.

Every single sitting legislator that supports this bill must be removed from office. Every political candidate running this year who proclaims support for the NDAA should be avoided like a plague ridden corpse. SOPA, PIPA, the Expatriation Act and other pieces of legislation operate on the same principle as the NDAA, creating a grey area that allows government to accuse any person of a crime without evidence and inflict punishment without due process.

▪ *Do you have overt ties to the military industrial complex?*

Looking for a few good men

The last thing we need is a "chicken hawk" candidate thirsty for war in far off lands as long as he doesn't ever have to do any of the actual fighting. However, what we need even less are candidates who have made their livings and their careers dispensing war for quick cash.

Bottom line, whether you are dealing with presidential or State candidates, a record of getting into bed (and business) with the military industrial complex is probably not a good sign the representative respects civilian rights or the Constitution.

Does it feel like they are just telling you what you want to hear?

Get your State onto its feet

Every candidate at the gubernatorial debates in Montana was quick to use the words "States rights," at least when it came to the EPA and resource development. Livingstone even served up a vague threat of arresting federal representatives who cross into Montana (which, oddly, doesn't seem to have the same bite after learning his background). Only one candidate, Bob Fanning (running mate Chuck Baldwin), promised to nullify federal incursions into Montana, protect medical marijuana, build a State militia as the Constitution demands, deny the socialist doctrines of Obamacare and insulate Montana's economy using decentralization and legitimate free markets.

The difference between Fanning and the other candidates was evident after hearing his goals and solutions. Their plans were broad and dubious, his direct and well outlined.

▪ *Where is your money coming from?*

In the 2012 presidential elections, only one candidate is backed by average citizens instead of corporate financiers. He gets a majority support from the active military, and has done it all with grassroots methods while under relentless attack or ignored by the mainstream media. Of course, I'm talking about Ron Paul.

If global banking interests are pumping their donations into a particular candidate's campaign, that one should automatically be categorized as unelectable.

If elitists refuse to spend a single penny on a specific candidate, then it is a sure bet that candidate is a threat to the status quo, and therefore, should be on the top of your list as a potential representative.

In the end, it will be difficult if not impossible to change this country in the midst of the current political climate. This means that the climate must evolve or be done away with completely. I believe 2012 may be our last chance to get a governmental environment conducive to freedom. If we fail to educate ourselves and others on the warning signs listed above, then political options will disappear. We will be left with no other choice but a violent and prolonged conflict with those who would demolish American Constitutionalism. Perhaps this is inevitable regardless of election results, but the fact remains that we must try every other available avenue first.

Last chance

I have never been a fan of politics, but I know that this is only because the system now in place is constructed to discourage me and everyone else from trying to make a difference. Playing by the rules of the establishment system is useless, but there are other roads we might take. We can, indeed, make our own rules, and start a new game on more even ground, as long as we find the right candidates, cast off agents of distraction and, at the very least, attack the issues at a local level with every ounce of strength we have.

Even with rigged electronic voting, media manipulation and political sellouts, I feel our efforts this year will resonate for many decades to come.

Burning of Columbia 147th Year Commemoration set for Feb. 17, 2012

By William G. Carter, D.C.
Editor, *The Real News*

On Feb. 17, 1865, William Tecumseh Sherman gave his word that the City of Columbia, South Carolina, would not be burned. History shows the city was burned, **after it surrendered**, by Union troops who looted, raped and pillaged the city, then intentionally set it on fire.


William T. Sherman
His word wasn't worth much

("It is useless to deny that our troops [Union Army] burnt Columbia, for I saw them in the act," said Union Gen. Oliver Otis Howard, commander of U. S. 15th Corps.) See *Random Recollections of a Long Life*, by Edwin J. Scott, page 185.



The victors of war write the history books, therefore this Civil War "war crime" has been neatly swept under the rug for 147 years.

Today, however, you have an opportunity to right a wrong by attending the S. C. Conservative Action Council's second annual Commemoration of the Burning of Columbia, by Union troops 147 years ago. This year Feb. 17 falls on a Friday.

The second annual Burning of Columbia, South Carolina, Commemoration is sponsored by the South Carolina Conservative Action Council. Feb.17
1 PM to 2:30 PM.

Come to the Capitol before 1 PM at the Washington Statue and you'll be directed to the event.


Gen. Oliver Otis Howard
Commander of U. S. 15th Corps

Proof this was a deliberate act: "*The city is built entirely of wood and is in the most excellent condition to burn. The scene is splendid, magnificently grand. The scene of pillaging, the suffering and terrorized citizens… And our frantic and drunken [Union] soldiers. The space on fire by midnight [Feb. 17, 1865] had housed 35,000 people. The city was converted into one vast bonfire.*" – ***The Fiery Trail: A Union Officer's Account of Sherman's 66 Days in South Carolina*, by Thomas Osborn.**

Subscribe to ***The Real News*** by sending $10 for the next six issues to P. O. Box 2265, Gaffney, SC 29342.

OLD SOUTH
GENERAL STORE AND PAWN SHOP
Weogufka, AL CSA 35183 (256)249-9100
Cash Loans Pawn Buy Sell Trade
Guns Tools Electronics Jewelry

$1.75 lb. Farm Raised "You Catch" Catfish
Confederate Shirts, Caps Belt Buckles All 6 flags
Knives Ammo Bumper Stickers Beverages Tobacco
Building erected in 1853
LLOYD CAPERTON, Owner – CapeCSA1@aol.com
Treasurer, Alabama League of the South

Putin, a thorn in Washington's flesh

By F. William Engdahl
voltairenet.org

Washington clearly wants "finito" with Russia's Putin as in *basta!* or as they said in Egypt last spring, *Kefaya* – enough! Hillary Clinton and friends have apparently decided Russia's prospective next president, Vladimir Putin, is a major obstacle to their plans. Few, however, understand why. Russia today, in tandem with China and to a significant degree Iran, form the spine, however shaky, of the only effective global axis of resistance to a world dominated by one sole superpower.

On December 8, several days after election results for Russia's parliamentary elections were announced showing a sharp drop in popularity for Prime Minister Putin's United Russia party, Putin accused the United States and specifically Secretary of State Hillary Clinton of fueling the Russian opposition protesters and their election protests. Putin stated, "The (U. S.) Secretary of State was quick to evaluate the elections, saying that they are unfair and unjust even before she received materials from the Office of Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (the OSCE international election monitors – w.e.) observers."[1]

Putin went on to claim that Clinton's premature comments were the necessary signal to the waiting opposition groups that the U. S. Government would back their protests. Clinton's comments, the seasoned Russian intelligence pro stated, became a "signal for our activists who began active work with the U. S. Department of State."[2]

Major Western media chose either to downplay the Putin statement or to focus almost entirely on the claims of an emerging Russian opposition movement. A little research shows that, if anything, Putin was downplaying the degree of brazen U. S. Government interference into the political processes of his country. In this case the country is not Tunisia or Yemen or even Egypt. It is the world's second nuclear superpower, even if it might still be an economic lesser power. Hillary is playing with thermonuclear fire.

Democracy or something else?

No mistake, Putin is not a world champion practitioner of what most consider democracy. His announcement some months back that he and current President Medvedev had agreed to switch jobs after Russia's March 4 Presidential vote struck even many Russians as crass power politics and backroom deal-making. That being said, what Washington is doing to interfere with that regime change is more than brazen and interventionist. The same Obama Administration which just signed into law measures effectively ripping to shreds the Bill of Rights of the U. S. Constitution for American citizens[3] is posing as world supreme judge of others' adherence to what they define as democracy.

Let's examine closely Putin's charge of U. S. interference in the election process. If we look, we find openly stated in their August 2011 Annual Report that a Washington-based NGO with the innocuous name, National Endowment for Democracy (NED), is all over the place inside Russia. The NED is financing an International Press Center in Moscow where some 80 international NGOs can hold press briefings on whatever they choose. They fund numerous "youth advocacy" and leadership workshops to "help youth engage in political activism." In fact, officially they spent more than $2,783,000 in 2010 on dozens of such programs across Russia. Spending for 2011 won't be published until later in 2012.[4]

The NED is also financing key parts of the Russian "independent" polling and election monitoring, a crucial part of being able to claim election fraud. They finance in part the Regional Civic Organization in Defense of Democratic Rights and Liberties "GOLOS." According to the NED Annual Report, the funds went "to carry out a detailed analysis of the autumn 2010 and spring 2011 election cycles in Russia, which will include press monitoring, monitoring of political agitation, activity of electoral commissions, and other aspects of the application of electoral legislation in the long-term run-up to the elections."[5]

In September 2011, a few weeks before the December elections, the NED financed a Washington invitation-only conference featuring the Russian "independent" polling organization, the Levada Center. According to NED's own website Levada, another recipient of NED money,[6] had done a series of opinion polls, a standard method used in the West to analyze the feelings of citizens. The polls profiled "the mood of the electorate in the run up to the Duma and presidential elections, perceptions of candidates and parties, and voter confidence in the system of 'managed democracy' that has been established over the last decade."

One of the featured speakers at that Washington conference was Vladimir Kara-Murza, member of the federal council of Solidarnost ("Solidarity") – Russia's democratic opposition movement. He is also "advisor to Duma opposition leader Boris Nemtsov" according to NED. Another speaker came from the right-wing neo-conservative Hudson Institute.[7]

Vladimir V. Kara-Murza, born 7 September 1981 in Moscow

Nemtsov, one of the most prominent of the Putin opposition today is also co-chairman of Solidarnost, a name curiously enough imitated from the Cold War days when the CIA financed the Polish Solidarnosc workers' opposition of Lech Walesa. More on Nemtsov later.

5. *Ibid*.
6. *Ibid*.
7. NED, "Elections in Russia: Polling and Perspectives," September 14, 2011.

The First Freedom
isn't for everybody. But you know someone who needs it.

Activist Package of DVDs

Patriots! Receive five each of the twenty most popular DVDs, plus another ten Bonus DVDs. Total: 110 discs for $99.00. Ron Neil, 1623 S. Chisholm Trail, Granbury, Texas 76048. 817-776-5475

2011 election billboard in St. Petersburg

EDITOR'S NOTE

Vladimir Putin is one of the few world leaders capable of rattling the foundations of U. S. hegemony: military dominance and the dollar's supremacy as reserve currency. Mr. Engdahl shows the recent election protests in Russia were orchestrated by Washington-sponsored NGOs and domestic agents in an unsuccessful attempt to destabilize the country. With the rapid erosion of U. S. global dominance, Engdahl warns that the neutralization of Russia and other recalcitrant States will again be on the agenda of the next White House occupant.

And on December 15, 2011, again in Washington, just as the series of U.S.-supported protests were being launched against Putin, led by Solidarnost and other organizations, the NED held another conference titled, Youth Activism in Russia: Can a New Generation Make a Difference? The featured speaker was Tamirlan Kurbanov, who according to the NED, "most recently served as a program officer at the Moscow office of the National Democratic Institute for International Affairs, where he was involved in developing and expanding the capacities of political and civic organizations; promoting citizen participation in public life, youth engagement in particular."[8] The National Democratic Institute is an arm of the NED.

Dr. Tamirlan Kurbanov, currently a Reagan-Fascell Democracy Fellow

The shady history of NED

Helping youth engage in political activism is precisely what the same NED did in Egypt over the past several years in the lead up to the toppling of Mubarak. The same NED was instrumental by informed accounts in the U. S.-backed "Color Revolutions" in 2003-2004 in Ukraine and Georgia that brought U.S.-backed pro-NATO surrogates to power. The same NED has been active in promoting "human rights" in Myanmar, in Tibet, and China's oil-rich Xinjiang province.[9]

As careful analysts of the 2004 Ukraine "Orange revolution" and the numerous other U. S.-financed color revolutions discovered, control of polling and ability to dominate international media perceptions, especially major TV such as CNN or BBC, is an essential component of the Washington destabilization agenda. The Levada Center would likely be in a crucial position in this regard to issue polls showing discontent with the regime.

By their description, the National Endowment for Democracy (NED) is a "private, nonprofit foundation dedicated to the growth and strengthening of democratic institutions around the world. Each year, with funding from the U. S. Congress, NED supports more than 1,000 projects of non-governmental groups abroad who are working for democratic goals in more than 90 countries."[10]

It couldn't sound more noble or high-minded. However, they prefer to leave out their own true history. In the early 1980s CIA director Bill Casey convinced President Ronald Reagan to create a plausibly private NGO, the NED, to advance Washington's global agenda via other means than direct CIA action. It was a part of the process of "privatizing" U. S. intelligence to make their work more "effective." Allen Weinstein, who helped draft the legislation establishing NED, said in a *Washington Post* interview in 1991, "A lot of what we do today was done covertly 25 years ago by the CIA."[11] Interesting. The majority of funds for NED come from U. S. taxpayers through Congress. It is in every way, shape and form a U. S. Government intelligence community asset.

The NED was created during the Reagan Administration to function as a *de facto* CIA, privatized so as to allow it more freedom of action. NED board members are typically drawn from the Pentagon and U. S. intelligence community. It has included retired NATO General Wesley Clark, the man who led the U. S. bombing of Serbia in 1999. Key figures linked to clandestine CIA actions who served on NED's board have included Otto Reich, John Negroponte, Henry Cisneros and Elliot Abrams. The Chairman of the NED Board of Directors in 2008 was Vin Weber, founder of the ultraconservative organization, Empower America, and campaign fundraiser for George W. Bush. Current NED chairman is John Bohn, former CEO of the controversial Moody's rating agency which played a nefarious role in the still-unraveling U. S. mortgage securities collapse. As well today's NED board includes neo-conservative Bush-era ambassador to Iraq and to Afghanistan, Afghan-American Zalmay Khalilzad.[12]

Putin's well-rehearsed foes

It's also instructive to look at the leading opposition figures who seem to have stepped forward in Russia in recent days. The current opposition "poster boy" favorite of Russian youth and especially Western media is Russian blogger Alexei Navalny, whose blog is titled LiveJournal. Navalny has featured prominently as a quasi-martyr of the protest movement after spending 15 days in Putin's jail for partaking in a banned protest. At a large protest rally on Christmas Day December 25 in Moscow,

1. Alexei Druzhinin, "Putin says U. S. encouraging Russian opposition," *RIA Novosti*, Moscow, December 8, 2011.
2. *Ibid*.
3. Jonathan Turley, "The NDAA's historic assault on American liberty," guardian.co.uk, 2 January 2012.
4. National Endowment for Democracy, "Russia," from NED Annual Report 2010, Washington, DC, published in August 2011, accessed in http://www.ned.org/where-we-work/eu....

8. NED, "Youth Activism in Russia: Can a New Generation Make a Difference?" December 15, 2011.
9. F. William Engdahl, *Full Spectrum Dominance: Totalitarian Democracy in the New World Order*, 2010, edition.engdahl press. The book describes in detail the origins of the NED and various U. S.-sponsored "human rights" NGOs and how they have been used to topple regimes not friendly to a larger USA geopolitical agenda.

10. National Endowment for Democracy, "About Us."
11. David Ignatius, "Openness is the Secret to Democracy," *Washington Post* National Weekly Edition, 30 September - 6 October,1991, 24-25.
12. F. William Engdahl, *Op. Cit.*, p.50.

Navalny, perhaps intoxicated by seeing too many romantic Sergei Eisenstein films of the 1917 Russian Revolution, told the crowd, "I see enough people here to take the Kremlin and the White House (Russia's Presidential home – w.e.) right now…"[13]

35-year-old Russian blogger and opposition leader Alexey Navalny

Western establishment media are infatuated with Navalny. England's BBC described Navalny as "arguably the only major opposition figure to emerge in Russia in the past five years," and U. S. *Time* magazine called him "Russia's Erin Brockovich," a curious reference to the Hollywood film starring Julia Roberts as a trade union organizer. However, more relevant is the fact that Navalny went to the elite American East Coast Yale University, also home to the Bush family, where he was a "Yale World Fellow."[14]

The charismatic Navalny however is also or has been on the payroll of Washington's regime-destabilizing National Endowment for Democracy (NED). According to a posting on Navalny's own blog, LiveJournal, he was financed in 2007-2008 by the NED. His Washington NED contact person was Frank Conatser.[15] A facsimile of an email exchange between Navalny and Conatser from November 17, 2007 is partially reproduced here:

"From: Frank Conatser
[mailto:frankc@NED.ORG]
"Sent: Saturday, November 17, 2007
12:12 AM
"To: Navalny Alexey; Aleksey Navalny
"Cc: John Squier; Marc Schleifer
"Subject: NED Agreements No. 2006-576
& No. 2007-688 …
"Frank Conatser
"Grants Administrator for Eurasia
"National Endowment for Democracy
"1025 F St, NW, Suite 800
"Washington, DC 20004
"202-378-9660 (phone)
"202-378-9860 (fax)"

[Note: excerpt from email exchange between Alexey Navalty and NED.][16]

Along with Navalny, key actors in the anti-Putin protest movement are centered around Solidarnost which was created in December 2008 by Boris Nemtsov, Vladimir Ryzhkov and others. Nemtsov is hardly one to protest corruption. According to *Business Week Russia* of September 23, 2007, Nemtsov introduced Russian banker Boris Brevnov to Gretchen Wilson, a U. S. citizen and an employee of the International Finance Corporation, a financing arm of the World Bank. Wilson and Brevnov married. With the help of Nemtsov, Wilson managed to privatize Balakhna Pulp and Paper mill at the giveaway price of just $7 million. The enterprise was sucked dry and then sold to the Wall Street-Swiss investment bank, CS First Boston bank. The annual turnover of the mill was reportedly $250 million.[17]

Boris Efimovich Nemtsov, born 9 October 1959 in Sochi

CS First Boston bank also paid for Nemtsov's trips to the very expensive Davos World Economic Forum. When Nemtsov became a member of the cabinet, his protégé Brevnov was appointed as chairman of the Unified Energy System of Russia JSC. Two years later in 2009 Boris Nemtsov, today's "Mr anti-corruption," used his influence reportedly to get Brevnov off the hook for charges of embezzling billions from assets of Unified Energy System.[18]

Nemtsov also took money from jailed Russian oligarch Mikhail Khodorkovsky in 1999 when the latter was using his billions to try to buy the Russian parliament or Duma. In 2004 Nemtsov met with exiled billionaire oligarch Boris Berezovsky in a secret gathering with other exiled Russian tycoons. When Nemtsov was detained by Russian authorities for allegations of foreign funding of his new political party, "For Russia without Lawlessness and Corruption," U. S. Senators John McCain and Joe Liberman and Mike Hammer of the Obama National Security Council came to the support of Nemtsov.[19]

Nemtsov's close crony, Vladimir Ryzhkov of Solidarnost is also closely tied to the Swiss Davos circles, even founding a Siberian Davos. According to Russian press accounts from April 2005, Ryzhkov formed a Committee 2008 in 2003 to "draw" funds of the imprisoned Khodorkovsky along with soliciting funds from fugitive oligarchs such as Boris Berezovsky and western foundations such as the Soros Foundation. The stated aim of the effort was to rally "democratic" forces against Putin. On May 23, 2011, Ryzhkov, Nemtzov and several others filed to register a new Party of Peoples' Freedom to ostensibly field a presidential candidate against Putin in 2012.[20]

Another prominent face in the recent anti-Putin rallies is former world chess champion turned right-wing politician, Garry Kasparov, another founder of Solidarnost. Kasparov was identified several years ago as being a board member of a Washington neo-conservative military think-tank. In April 2007 Kasparov admitted he was a board member of the National Security Advisory Council of Center for Security Policy, a "non-profit, non-partisan national security organization that specializes in identifying policies, actions, and resource needs that are vital to American security." Inside Russia Kasparov is more infamous for his earlier financial ties to Leonid Nevzlin, former Yukos vice-president and partner of Michael Khodorokvsky. Nevzlin fled to Israel on being charged in Russia with murder and hiring contract killers to eliminate "objectionable people" while Yukos vice-president.[21]

In 2009 Kasparov and Boris Nemtsov met with no less than Barack Obama to discuss Russia's opposition to Putin at the U. S. President's personal invitation at Washington's Ritz Carlton Hotel. Nemtsov had called for Obama to meet opposition forces in Russia: "If the White House agrees to Putin's suggestion to speak only with pro-Putin organizations… this will mean that Putin has won, but not only that: Putin will become assured that Obama is weak," he said. During the same 2009 U. S. trip Nemtsov was invited to speak at the New York Council on Foreign Relations, perhaps the most influential U. S. foreign policy think-tank. Significantly, not only has the U. S. State Department and U. S.-backed political NGOs such as NED poured millions into building an anti-Putin coalition inside Russia. The President personally has intervened into the process.[22]

Former Russian Finance Minister Alexei Kudrin (C), opposition leaders Mikhail Kasyanov (L) and Boris Nemtsov stand onstage during Christmas 2011 protest

Ryzhkov, Nemtzov, Navalty and Putin's former Finance Minister Alexei Kudrin were all involved in organizing the December 25th Moscow Christmas anti-Putin rally which drew an estimated 120,000.[23]

Why Putin?

The salient question is why Putin at this point? We need not look far for the answer. Washington and especially Barack Obama's Administration don't give a hoot about whether Russia is democratic or not. Their concern is the obstacle to Washington's plans for Full Spectrum Dominance of the planet that a Putin Presidency will represent. According to the Russian Constitution, the President of the Russian Federation is head of State, supreme commander-in-chief and holder of the highest office in the Russian Federation. He will take direct control of defense and foreign policy.

We must ask what policy? Clearly, strong countermeasures against the blatant NATO encirclement of Russia with Washington's dangerous ballistic missile installations around Russia will be high on Putin's agenda. Hillary Clinton's "reset" will be in the dustbin if it is not already. We can also expect a more aggressive use of Russia's energy card with pipeline diplomacy to deepen economic ties between European NATO members such as Germany, France and Italy, ultimately weakening the EU support for aggressive NATO measures against Russia. We can expect a deepening of Russia's turn towards Eurasia, especially with China, Iran and perhaps India to firm up the shaky spine of resistance to Washington's New World Order plans.

It will take more than a few demonstrations in Moscow's sub-freezing weather and in St. Petersburg by a gaggle of corrupt or shady opposition figures such as Nemtsov or Kasparov to derail Russia.

What's clear is Washington's pushing on all fronts – Iran and Syria, where Russia has a vital naval port, on China, now on Russia, and on the Eurozone countries led by Germany. It has the smell of an end-game attempt by a declining superpower.

The United States today is a *de facto* bankrupt nuclear superpower. The reserve currency role of the dollar is being challenged as never since Bretton Woods in 1944. That role along with maintaining the United States as the world's unchallenged military power have been the basis of the American Century hegemony since 1945.

Weakening the role of the dollar in international trade and ultimately as reserve currency, China is now settling trade with Japan in bilateral currencies, side-stepping the dollar. Russia is implementing similar steps with her major trade partners. The primary reason Washington launched a full-scale currency war against the Euro in late 2009 was to preempt a growing threat that China and others would turn away from the dollar to the Euro as reserve currency. That is no small matter. In effect Washington finances its foreign wars in Iraq, Afghanistan, Syria, Libya and elsewhere through the fact that China and other trade surplus nations invest their surplus trade dollars in U. S. government Treasury debt. Were that to shift significantly, U. S. interest rates would rise substantially and the financial pressures on Washington would become immense.

Faced with growing erosion of her unchallenged global status as sole superpower, Washington appears now to be turning increasingly to raw military force to hold that. For that to succeed, Russia must be neutralized along with China and Iran. This will be the prime agenda of whoever is next U. S. President.

F. William Engdahl is a U. S.-German author and analyst of geopolitical and economic issues. His books include *A Century of War : Anglo-American Oil Politics and the New World Order* (2011, republished in a new edition) and *Gods of Money: Wall Street and the Death of the American Century* (2010).

Putin meets Kissinger

xinhua.com

Visiting former U. S. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger and Russian Prime Minister Vladimir Putin discussed global issues on Jan. 20, and vowed to enhance ties between the two States. Putin's spokesman Dmitry Peskov said the "private meeting" lasted some 40 minutes.

Interfax news agency said Kissinger described the bilateral relations as "of key global importance" and expressed hope they would keep improving.

The pair met frequently in recent years, as Kissinger once called Putin "extremely intelligent" in 2007, while Putin said in 2006 that the two can "understand each other well" and can find compromise.

Friday's meeting came just weeks before the March 4 presidential elections in which Putin is seeking a third term as president.

Kettle attacks pot

Republicans are emphasizing that it's necessary to confront China. Mitt Romney, a leading Republican candidate, is already attacking China. He has said that President Barack Obama allows China to "run all over us" when it comes to taking American jobs. He favors imposing tariffs on China to "punish" it for "currency manipulation." Tariffs is a good idea – if he weren't lying.

13. Yulia Ponomareva, "Navalny and Kudrin boost giant opposition rally," themoscownews.com, Moscow, December 25, 2011.
14. Yale University, "Yale World Fellows: Alexey Navalny," 2010.
15. Alexey Navalny, emails between Navalny and Conatser, accessed in Russian (English summary provided to the author by www.warandpeace.ru).
16. *Ibid*.
17. *Business Week Russia*, "Boris Nemtsov: Co-chairman of Solidarnost political movement," September 23, 2007.
18. *Ibid*.
19. *Ibid*.
20. Russian Mafia.ru, "Vladimir Ryzhkov: Co-chairman of the Party of People's Freedom."
21. Russian Mafia.ru, "Garry Kasparov: The leader of United Civil Front."
22. *The Other Russia*, "Obama Will Meet With Russian Opposition," July 3, 2009.
23. Yulia Ponomareva, *Op.Cit*.

Iconoclasts of the Weimar Republic

By Nancy Hitt
hunleyhitt@earthlink.net

A book review

The German people were strapped into a terrifying rollercoaster ride from 1918 until 1933 during the era of the Weimar Republic. Chaos reigned beginning with the German revolution of November, 1918, and ending in 1933 with the ascent of the National Socialist movement under Adolf Hitler.

We are indebted to Dr. Edward Fields for having reprinted *Jewish Domination of Weimar Germany 1919-1932*, a 1933 booklet published in Berlin by the General League of Anti-Communist Associations.

The iconoclasts of the Weimar Republic sought the destruction of German culture, morals, politics and religion. Although these "destroyers" made up less than two percent of the entire German population, they were often the very drivers steering that thrill ride through the darkest tunnels of Communism.

On November 7, 1918, the Jew Kurt Eisner set up a Communist Republic in Munich. Marxist schools and camps were opened for young people.

From Page 27: "Municipal-School Assessor Kurt Lowenstein had described the aim of education in the following terms: 'The fight against the church and against religious instruction is no theoretical fight about theoretical doctrines, but the fight of the new coming social system against the dying social system.'"

Following upon the heels of the overthrow of the rule of Imperial Germany was the capitulation to allied forces in 1918. The war had brought to Germany a naval blockade that caused starvation, surrender terms that included several amputations of the fatherland and the imposition of heavy war reparations. The German people were left with relentless internal labor protests, hyperinflation, severe unemployment and violent Socialist/Communist uprisings with ensuing street battles.

From Page 1: "But these reservations were also withdrawn later and, by the Order in Council of the King of Prussia dated 3rd July 1869, they were expressly granted, with the removal of all restrictions, the legal rights 'enabling them to be members of the communal and State representative bodies and to exercise public office.' This expression of the royal will represented the complete emancipation of the Jews."

Noses under the tent flaps

Partly due to the liberal rights granted to the Jews in 1869, many eastern Jewish refugees swarmed into Germany especially after their failed 1905 Russian Revolution.

The publishers of the book entitled *Jewish Domination of Weimar Germany 1919-1932* included many statistical charts in order to compare various subjects relative to Jews in Germany, mainly those in Prussia. Charts are used to show the influx of Jews into Germany over a range of years, and document their choice of residence in urban areas. They avoided living in rural areas and those occupations requiring physical labor. In 1925, Jews controlled over 58% of all trade and commerce.

In the capital city of Berlin according to the 1925 vocational census, Jews made up 47.9% of the doctors, 50.2% of lawyers and 37.5% of dentists. The intellectual vocations were dominated by Jews, from welfare doctors to theatre managers and teachers in the medical faculty. The proportion of Jewish children in higher schools in Berlin far exceeded their relationship to the German population.

The self-profiling Jew

The eternal Jew is everywhere the eternal agitator. These iconoclasts often supported abortion rights and libertine sexual practices that mocked German culture. The publishers have included various types of Jewish corruption that existed during the Weimar Republic.

For many readers of this book review, connecting the Jewish dots to Communism is nothing new. From Page 21, under the chapter heading *The Jews as the apostles of communism:* "A Jew (Karl Marx) is therefore to blame for the fact that out of the labour movement in the whole world an endeavour arose aimed at overturning the existing order in all countries, and which has thus become the greatest danger that has so far ever threatened world culture.

"Nothing is more characteristic than the fact that, after the revolution in 1918, nearly all the leaders of radical, semi-Bolshevist and wholly Bolshevist Socialism were Jews."

Let me remind you that the Jews declared war upon Germany in March of 1933. This is an example of their gratitude for German acceptance and the granting of full German citizenship to Jews beginning in 1869. Their war cries appear to precede any legal attempts to limit their strangle hold upon the intellectual and political life of Germany.

Is there any wonder that by April of 1933, the German people finally took stock of the situation and their new government adopted certain legal limitations upon positions of authority exercised by Jews?

Anti-Aryanism

From Page 1: "It is the process of the steady and constantly increasing excessive introduction of foreigners into the life of the German people in politics, science and culture by a racially foreign minority who push aside the original inhabitants of the country; a process which assumed particularly menacing forms because this constantly spreading minority infected the healthy and untainted mass of the people with the spirit of disintegration and bolshevism."

Jewish Domination of Weimar Germany 1919-1932 is a large-format paperback of 32 pages containing many photographs and charts. It can be purchased from

Truth at Last Books
P. O. Box 1211
Marietta, Georgia 20061

for $7.00 which includes postage.

I wonder if Americans will take action before they are forced to be belted up again onto the next carnival ride of wars for Israel with the same iconoclasts in the driver seat.

Puzzled by their short form

By Nancy Hitt

The IRS sent my tax return back. *Again!*

Their problem was with my answer to the question: How many dependents do you have?

In response to the question, "List all dependents?" I replied:

"12 million illegal immigrants;

"3 million crack heads;

"42 million unemployable people on food stamps;

"2 million sitting in 243 prisons;

"Half of Mexico; and

"535 fools in the U. S. House and Senate."

Evidently, this was *not* an acceptable answer.

Whom did I miss?

Bringing the truths home

Five things you may not know about Muammar Gaddafi and Libya

Are Libyans awakening from a "ludicrous nightmare," or does the death of Gaddafi mark the start of a new era of imperial exploitation?

By Enver Masud
The Wisdom Fund
twf.org

"Libyans awake from a ludicrous nightmare: Gaddafi achieved nothing in his 42-year rule," David Gardner wrote last October in the *Financial Times*. Others have expressed similar sentiments. Here are the facts:

- Libya held the #1 spot on the Human Development Index: According to the United Nations Development Program, Libya ranked first in Africa (53 globally) on the Human Development Index – ahead of Saudi Arabia at 55, Iran at 70, South Africa at 73, Jordan at 82, Egypt at 101, Indonesia at 108, India at 119, Afghanistan at 155.
- Massive oil reserves: According to the U. S. Energy Information Administration, "Libya has the largest proven oil reserves in Africa."
- Program to privatize oil: On February 21, 2011, five days after the Arab Spring broke out in Libya, Qaddafi launched a new program to privatize all Libyan oil to every citizen of Libya, initially providing $21,000 to every Libyan from a total of $32,000,000,000 in the Year 2011, so that the health, education, transport, and some other ministries could be abolished and individual Libyans could use the profits of their own investments, including from oil ownership, to obtain the relevant services.

This, Gaddafi said, was the best way to eliminate corruption, including the theft of Libyan oil by foreign oil companies, and to decentralize governmental power.

- Great Man-Made River Project: Begun in 1984 by Col. Gaddafi, it has been called the Eighth Wonder of the World, supplying fresh water to the cities of Benghazi, Tripoli, Sirte and elsewhere.

The U. S. had threatened to nuke this "chemical weapons factory." Foreign companies covet the fresh water.

- Muammar Gaddafi, aka Col. Gaddafi, seized power in a 1969 bloodless coup by overthrowing King Idris of Libya – Idris had achieved control with British backing in 1949.

Rate your news media on a scale of one to ten. Assign two points for each of the five items above that you previously knew (one point for partial knowledge). The resulting total is an indication of how well your news media covered the U. S./NATO backed civil war in Libya.

Now, are the Libyans awakening from a "ludicrous nightmare" – or does the death of Gaddafi mark the beginning of a new era of imperial exploitation?

TONY BLAIR visited Libya repeatedly after leaving office, lobbying for American investment bank J. P. Morgan. He flew to Libya twice on Gaddafi's private jet to see Saif al-Islam Gaddafi, the leader's son.

Something doesn't add up

Dummy terrorists?

By Bill Ivy

Most readers of **The First Freedom** are familiar with the research of Mr. James P. Tucker regarding those elites who make up the Bilderberg group. Mr. Tucker has shown Bilderberg is the power that directs world affairs, sets the global stage. If his 20+ years of research is correct, why would Al-Qaeda avenge themselves against the Western world by bringing down the Twin Towers in New York City and attacking a train tunnel in England, instead of hitting a Bilderberg meet-up – much more effective than attacking *three* towers of business and a transportation system?

Were those two operations false flags, or is Al-Qaeda just unaware of Mr. Tucker's findings?

Then again maybe Al-Qaeda does know all about Mr. Tucker's exposures, and yet views him as "a conspiracy nut," the way governments label such research.

Let's get this understood

A lot of folks can't understand how we came to have an oil shortage in our country.

Well, there's a very simple answer.

Nobody bothered to check the oil. We just didn't know we were getting low. The reason for that is purely geographical. Our OIL is located in:

Alaska
California
Coastal Florida
Coastal Louisiana
Coastal Alabama
Coastal Mississippi
Coastal Texas
North Dakota
Wyoming
Colorado
Kansas
Oklahoma
Pennsylvania
and Texas.

Our dipsticks are located in DC. Any Questions? No? Didn't think so.



Birth of a nation

The following was in answer to a Muslim who wanted to know about the so-called American Civil War.

By S. H. Pearson
reveenotam@aol.com

The current regime works diligently to suppress literature about those times. During my honours history classes, they lectured very little truth about it. Everything has been twisted and worded to support the federal (now marxist) regime.

My part of the USA (the Old South) was the last Godly government of the people in this country.

After the fall of the South, the next forty years would see a steady decline into materialism, corruption, industrialization and Jewish influence. After 1897 (the birth of Zionism), the world began a steep decent into satanic ways.

Lies, murder, corruption, the defiling of one's daughters, usury and the fostering of man's every vice became the new campaign. This was done world-wide and all from within the nations. The Jews had infiltrated most Western governments, working their covert craft right under the noses of unsuspecting citizens. Islamic States were the only bastion of resistance. Hence today's attack against your nations.

Bogus history is nothing new

The Confederacy was similar to Islam in that they were recalcitrant and passionate. And fought to the death to defend their way of life – and for the Christian principles on which it was founded. Slavery was a bogus issue used to smear the Old South. As many Northerners owned slaves also.

President Abraham Lincoln wrote that slavery had nothing to do with the Civil War. Slaves were imported by the Jews in the first place. Jews owned the slave fleets that brought Black primitives to our shores. They still deal in the slave trade (Black and White alike). Slave labour was used in the Old South to produce cotton and rice. Agricultural slaves were housed and churched on plantations in family groups. They were taught the covenants of marriage and Christianity by their masters in our Old South.

Media control of the Big Lie

Whereas the brutality and cruelty they were shown came from the Jewish slaver fleets who had them crossing the Atlantic in chains. The Jews blamed this (their crimes) on the Christian plantation owner.

This was a lie. The slaver fleets were attributed to the Old South – yet the South had no hand in the import of slaves. Many slaves did not survive the voyages due to the filth and cruelty of the Jews. According to my studies, the African native enjoyed a superior life on our plantations to his savage life in the African bush. Many Black slaves who loved their masters took up arms and fought for our Confederacy. This is something the Jews will never publish in university history books.

Wonders of a little research

For example, in my family cemetery lies a former slave who, once given her freedom, chose to stay on with the family she loved. She helped raise my kinsmen from birth into adulthood and was much beloved of our family. My father showed me a family photograph in which she stood next to his grandmother. She seemed in every way a part of our family. This refutes the canards about American slavery that is rife in all Jewish media, Jewish movies and so-called history books written and published by the Jews. I am no advocate of slavery, but seek to know the truth only.

America employed the institution of slavery since colonial times (1630s) until our Civil War. Since the Civil War fired its first shot in 1861, that leaves a long span of

slavery uncontested. Hence it is a lame premise to say that the Civil War was fought over slavery.

Media mass misinformation

Rice and cotton were cash crops that made our South prosper. The success of the South incited envy and hatred from Northern politicians. Like a man at our War College wrote, "There will always be war as long as one guy wants another guy's stuff." Our Old South was an era of Godly splendour in America – as close to Eden on earth as man ever came. As for the agricultural slave who worked in our fields, his day was spent in honest labours. Today his descendants fill our prisons and inner city slums. The sub-Saharan native, apparently, cannot govern himself based on the plantation freedmen of the French and English colonies of the Caribbean islands (Haiti, Jamaica, etc.). The same things occurred here after the Civil War when the slaves were "freed," if you will. Today we have a welfare State that fosters crime and Godlessness among the Blacks. All part, in my opinion, of the Zionist plot against the Christian West. Their scheme against the Muslim Middle East is similar. Presently they wage war against you overtly. What they do here has been done since 1897 "covertly." They work feverishly to pass "laws" to muzzle our journalists and jail dissenters.

Keep searching for the truth

I hope this gives you some background on Civil War history from one who knows it well. Here is a notorious silent film that is much hated by the Jews because it glorifies our Old South: Google for "The Birth of a Nation" to order it as a DVD online.

Wanted
Thinkers
Survivors

The First Freedom is spreading "hate!" whine those who misapprehend us survivors. It's really out of pity that we try so hard to de-program the brainwashed. Order a box of 100 extra copies for $25 or $30 on page 24 and help distribute the truth.

Being accepted isn't at all times a virtue

By Christine Miller
millercbm@msn.com

I still feel ashamed and guilty about an incident which happened in my childhood during first grade at the village elementary school in Muensterhausen, Germany. It was the morning recess (we were never supervised), and a group of kids decided to beat up on a school mate. Eagerly I looked for a stick to join that fray. The boy was on his back with feet and arms up in the air to ward off the blows. It was a huge class, therefore I didn't know the boy and had no idea why he had to beaten; I, a gentle girl who had a little graveyard where I buried and mourned drowned little chicks and dead pussycats. I felt, and still feel, pity for every suffering creature be it animal or human.

The urge to belong

But why did I not have any compassion for that boy? In retrospect I can explain it with one little word: *in*. I wanted to be *in*, part of the group. Having noticed this pack mentality in most people, I have become cynical enough to expect and even accept it.

But I balk when seeing it practiced by Catholics (the church of my childhood). Christian theology tells us that Jesus died for our sins. Yet, in front of this history is the straight New Testament narrative of Jesus. He is not politically correct. Siding with the underdog and the despised, he dines among sinners and tax collectors. For the Jewish elite, he has harsh words. Not being *in* and daring to chastise the elite brought his downfall. Catholics and other Christians do not follow in his footsteps. They want to be *in*, and are oh so politically correct.

Into the abyss

Political correctness in these times calls for submitting to the Jewish agenda. The present-day Pope, Benedict XVI, formerly Joseph Ratzinger, admonishes Germans to be nice to the Jews, but does not tell those Jews to respect the Palestinians. He hasn't quite made Holocaust lore into a dogma, but comes very close. The Vatican to this day does not dare bestow sainthood on Pius XII; the Jews forbid it. For they have never forgiven this truly saintly Pope for having excommunicated members of the Communist party, but not the Nazi Party. Catholic publications now print the most outrageous lies against Nazi Germany and paint Christians as victims of the Nazis, *an obscene reversal of the facts*.

Truth be told

Adolf Hitler and the Wehrmacht *saved Christianity from planned extinction under Jewish Communism*. Christians need only note what happened in Communist Russia to understand what would've been their fate if Communism had won in Germany. In Russia there remained no functioning priests. Those not liquidated laid low, as Orthodox Christian Churches were quickly converted into dance halls and warehouses. During the Spanish civil war, that Jewish dominated "Republic" placed innumerable priests in front of firing squads. Nuns were disinterred and their decaying corpses displayed to the public. Franco won *only* with the help of Nazi Germany and, to a lesser extent, Italy.

War hysteria notwithstanding

During the Nazi period *no church was closed* in Germany. Rather they were built, could be built because it was a period of economic prosperity. The two predominant churches, Catholic and Protestant, had a goodly income from vast land holdings. In addition, the National Socialist State placed into their hands following sums: fiscal year 1933, one hundred thirty million marks; 1934 one hundred sixty million marks; 1935 two hundred fifty million marks; 1936 three hundred twenty millions; l937 four hundred millions; 1938 five hundred millions, etc. Besides these quantities, the churches received eighty-five million marks annually from the various German States.

Yes, a few priests wound up in Dachau, but not because they fulfilled their priestly duties. I taught with a Father Ball at a Catholic High School. Imprisoned for five years charged with what I considered a minor sexual transgression, he finally committed suicide.

A one-way street

An excerpt from the dedication to the book, *Holocaust Denial*, by Kenneth S. Stern:

"This publication is dedicated to the memory of Zachariah Schuster, who gave 40 years of extraordinary service to the cause of world Jewry, human rights and Jewish-Christian understanding. He opened AJC's European office in 1948, helping thousands of Holocaust survivors…

"On behalf of the AJC, he had a hand in establishing the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany, the passage of *Nostra Aetate* – which marked a turning point in Catholic attitudes toward Jews – and the publication of German textbooks containing accurate information about Jews, Judaism, anti-Semitism, and the Holocaust."

An aside: *Nostra Aetate* was the title of a proclamation worked out during Vatican II and promulgated in 1965 to look again at a traditional relationship between Catholics and other faiths, primarily Judaism. In my opinion, the expression "Judeo-Christian" harkens back to that period and document.

What this means

It is unthinkable that any Jewish council or organization would invite a Catholic and allow his input into the writing of a Jewish proclamation. Vatican II was supposed to "modernize" and renew the church. It did no such thing. Spengler wrote *The Decline of the West*. The Catholic church is a part of Western civilization and therefore a willing partner in its decline.

American Free Press
is the weekly newspaper out of Washington, DC, that rose from its ashes when they shut down The Spotlight. Tired of waiting a whole month for TFF to arrive? Subscribe to this also-truthful tabloid, 52 issues crammed into 47 weeks of the year plus six free issues of Whole Body Health for $59.

AFP
American Free Press, Ste 100
645 Pennsylvania Ave SE
Washington DC 20003

"[T]he contest is not over; the strife is not ended. It has only entered upon a new and enlarged arena."

– Jefferson Davis, addressing the Mississippi Legislature in 1881

— Spreading "democracy" —

Mourning march unnoticed by your mainstream Jewspapers: "Every year on 13 February in Dresden"

Lay of the exiles

A young woman buried a babe of no breath,
her child, one of many now hungered to death
in flight from its homeland so sweet.
Who saw this tragedy by a lonely street?
Her man from the war at last is come home,
but with only one leg to limp upon;
and yet, he intones through the bitter pain:
"I'll never leave your side again!"
The same child's buggy they pushed ahead
with all that remained of the old homestead,
this maiden tender, insofar not done wrong
taking ultimate comfort; to him she belongs.
Now comes the victor distributing bread,
a laughing soldier; is it funny, their need?
The occupier rules as ever he must,
tossing to misery that loaf in the dust.
And, though driven from her old homeplace,
the refugee woman declines such disgrace
as her man bends low to pick up that roll:
"Don't touch the beggar's beggarly dole!"
This really happened, and women like these
stood firmly unconquered through evil days.
Poor, beggarly "history" denies them that stand:
Germans driven from their Sudetenland!

And today, after so many years, outsiders still occupy and rob the German nation of its God-given place in the sun – subtly, without using physical force. Instead, "those people" send millions of strangers from across the world to change our land and way of living, destroying this beautiful country, annihilating its nature while seeking to alter the people in appearance and character by butchering a child in its mother's womb; foreign interests assessing endless claims against Germany's industriousness, to eat out our substance: bloodsucking vampires with a central plan. Indeed, we *are* occupied!

With gath'ring aliens strutting everywhere
as if our villages were suddenly theirs,
we stand by the wayside, hearing horrid songs.
Strange are those words, weird ring the sounds.
They've fully occupied the downtown of old,
taken houses and fields with despicable gold;
their footsteps grate heavily here in our land,
a ponderous nightmare: when will it end?
The German soul trembles in pitiful need
as foreigners rule. "Democracy"? O, please!
Our fields and meadows, river and forest,
if relief come not quickly, all will be lost.
So hear now this crying and plaintive call
to the God who created us here withal,
our hands raised to Heaven, we earnestly pray,
"Deliver us from evil, end the servitude today!"
Throughout this wide world, each to his own,
we ask, nor more, what is ours alone.
Everyone inherits a certain commonweal,
rightfully occupies father's house and field.
So – *out*, Russian panzers, greed and rapacity!
Leave us in peace with a fresh new sagacity.
Your stinking bombs and kibbutzim take home,
and allow us to resolve our problems alone.
Close your snackbars, likewise reeducation;
just let us be Germans in our sovereign nation.
Amis, Russians, all strangers – Begone!
At last again masters, each to his home.

– Frank Rennicke

Translator's Epilogue:

A word to the wise, ol' Dixie, and finished.
Evict the invader, or learn to speak Spanish.

February 1945 – Dresden

February 1945 – Dresden

February 1945 – Dresden

February 1945 – Dresden

February 1945 – Dresden

The forgotten Holocaust

In an act of Jewish revenge, Churchill and Roosevelt ordered the fire bombing of Dresden on February 13, 1945, killing at least 125,000. The victims were cremated 500 at a time on the Altmark Square, most of them just ash or turned to mush. Entire families were cremated, bodies in the streets, apartments, and bomb shelters. No one escaped. An act of Jewish savagery, Germany's premier cultural center was incinerated because Jewish instigators had been dragged out of the Warsaw ghetto and forced to work in war factories.

judicial-inc-archive.blogspot.com
not officially affiliated with Judicial-Inc

The only true Holocaust, death by fire, is the unforgivable and militarily completely unnecessary raid on Dresden. The blood was, at least partly, on Britain's hands.

The Dresden Holocaust was committed not by the awful Nazis, nor even by brutal Reds, but by the so-called democratic, freedom-loving allies, Britain and the USA.

Sixty-seven years ago, on the evening of February 13, 1945, an orgy of genocide and barbarism began against a defenseless German city, famed as one of the greatest cultural centres of Europe.

14 hours of terror

Within less than fourteen hours, not only was this city reduced to flaming ruins but an estimated quarter of its inhabitants, estimates range from 125,000 up to 300,000, had perished in what was one of the worst massacres of all time.

Toward the end of World War II, as Allied planes rained death and destruction over Germany, the old Saxon city of Dresden lay like an island of tranquility amid an ocean of desolation. Famous mainly for its art and Baroque architecture and possessing no military value, Dresden had been spared the terror that descended from the skies over the rest of the country.

The ancient city of artists and craftsmen didn't have any anti-aircraft defenses. A gentleman's agreement seemed to prevail, designating Dresden an "open city."

For months the flood of refugees fleeing the Red Army, just 60 miles away, had swollen the city's population to well over a million. With them came accounts of Soviet horrors and atrocities, but Dresden topped it all.

Children were at a circus just hours before

However, the people felt relatively safe; and although the mood was grim, the circus played to a full house that night as thousands came to forget for a moment the horrors of war. Bands of little girls paraded about in carnival dress in an effort to bolster waning spirits. Half-sad smiles greeted the laughing girls, but spirits were lifted. No one realized that in less than twenty-four hours many of those same innocent children would die screaming in Churchill's firestorm.

Everyone knew the Russian Bolsheviks were savages, but they felt that Britain and America were civilized. If they had considered that Eisenhower, Churchill, and Roosevelt had Jewish blood, they would see the terrible revenge coming.

Roosevelt wanted Dresden obliterated. So, when those first alarms signaled the start of fourteen hours of hell, Dresden's people streamed dutifully into their shelters. But they did so without much enthusiasm, believing the alarms to be false, since their city had never been threatened from the air.

Many would never come out alive, for the revenge of Solomon was at hand.

What where Churchill's motives? They appear to have been political rather than military. Historians unanimously agree that Dresden had no military value whatsoever. What industry it did have produced only cigarettes and china. But the Yalta Conference was coming up, in which the Soviets and their Western allies would sit down like ghouls to carve up the shattered corpse of Europe.

Dresden's citizens barely had time to reach their shelters. The first bomb fell at 10:09 PM. The attack lasted 24 minutes, leaving the inner city a raging sea of fire. Precision saturation bombing had created the desired firestorm. A firestorm is caused when hundreds of smaller fires join in a vast conflagration.

Tornado-like winds

Huge masses of air are sucked in to feed the inferno, causing an artificial tornado. Those persons unlucky enough to be caught in the rush of wind are hurled down entire streets into the flames. Those who seek refuge underground often suffocate, as oxygen is pulled from the air to feed the blaze, or they perish in a blast of white heat intense enough to melt human flesh.

One eyewitness who survived told of seeing "young women carrying babies running up and down the streets, their dresses and hair on fire, screaming until they fell down, or the collapsing buildings fell on top of them."

There was a three-hour pause between the first and second raids. The lull had been calculated to lure civilians from their shelters into the open again. To escape the flames, tens of thousands of civilians had crowded into the Grosser Garten, a magnificent park nearly one and a half miles square. The second raid came at 1:22 AM, with no warning. Twice as many bombers returned, with a massive load of incendiary bombs. The second wave was designed to spread the raging firestorm into the Grosser Garten.

150 MPH winds

It was a complete "success." Within a few minutes, a sheet of flame ripped across the grass, uprooting trees and littering the branches of others with everything from bicycles to human limbs. At the start of the second air assault, many were still huddled in tunnels and cellars, waiting for the fires of the first attack to die down.

At 1:30 AM an ominous rumble reached the ears of the commander of a Labour Service convoy sent into the city on a rescue mission.

He described it this way: "The detonation shook the cellar walls. The sound of the explosions mingled with a new, stranger sound which seemed to come closer and closer, the sound of a thundering waterfall; it was the sound of the mighty tornado howling in the inner city

Others, hiding below ground, died. But they died painlessly. They simply glowed bright orange and blue in the darkness. As the heat intensified, they

either disintegrated into cinders or melted into a thick liquid often three or four feet deep in spots.

On February 14th, the Americans flew that last raid sweeping over the city. Their bombers pounded the rubble that had been Dresden for a steady 38 minutes with cold-blooded ruthlessness.

The next morning

U. S. Mustangs appeared low over the city strafing anything that moved including a column of rescue vehicles rushing to the city to evacuate survivors. One strafing assault/massacre was aimed at the banks of the Elbe River, where refugees had huddled during that horrible night.

Dresden had become a hospital town, and nurses brought the wounded to Elbe River. The low-flying Mustangs machine-gunned those helpless patients, as well as thousands of old men, women and children who had escaped the city inferno.

125,000 dead

When the last plane left the sky, Dresden was a scorched ruin, its blackened streets filled with corpses. A flock of vultures escaped from the zoo and fattened on the carnage. Rats swarmed over all those piles of the dead.

A Swiss citizen described his visit to Dresden two weeks after the raid: "I could see torn-off arms and legs, mutilated torsos and heads which had been wrenched from their bodies and rolled away."

Allied apologists compared Dresden to Coventry, where a mere 380 died.

Coventry was a center of the motor and munitions industries, definitely a legitimate military target. Dresden, on the other hand, produced nothing in those categories.

As a comparison with the London Blitz, which we all acknowledge was bad and did demonstrate the bravery of the people of that city, it should still be considered in the light of the destruction visited upon Dresden.

In just one night, 16,000 acres of land were destroyed in the Dresden massacre, whereas London escaped with damage to only 600 acres during the entire war.

Hollywood won't touch this subject. If ever there was a war crime, then certainly the Dresden Holocaust ranks as one of the most sordid of all time.

Yet there are no movies made today condemning this fiendish slaughter; nor did any Allied airman – or Sir Winston – sit in the dock at Nuremberg.

Who ordered it?

The official story has Churchill ordering the Dresden slaughter to appease Stalin. The cold-blooded sadism of the massacre, however, is brushed aside by Churchill's biographers, a madman who ordered a slaughter of many thousands of innocent civilians.

Warsaw's ghetto was the reason. Jews started WWII, but sat it out in their comfy ghettos. When Hitler needed manpower for his armament machine, he dragged the Polish Jews out of their ghettos.

It should be noted that years before the publication of *Hitler's War*, author David Irving had already raised the possibility that Jewish pressure had been one of the main factors behind the Allied decision to bomb and devastate German cities. In 1961, during his research into what caused the bombing of Dresden, Irving wrote provocative letters concerning alleged Jewish involvement in this operation to the curator of the Wiener Library. Wealthy Jews had sat out the war in their country estates. They visited Paris, Switzerland, Italy or wherever the war wasn't.

The area comprised 35% of Warsaw, was no slum and actually featured rather high-priced shops.

February 1945 – Dresden

Didn't affect their ghetto

The war didn't include them. The Jewish population refused to serve in the Polish army and when Germans occupied Warsaw they participated in fifth column and black marketeering activities.

Based on dubious German testimony, Irving requested confirmation of the claim that the World Jewish Congress had demanded the liquidation of Dresden in reprisal for the crushing of the Warsaw Ghetto uprising and the destruction of the ghetto

Actual testimony

On February 13 and 14, 1945, Dresden was a hospital city for wounded soldiers. Together with the 600,000 refugees from Breslau, Dresden was filled with nearly 1.2 million people. Over 700,000 phosphorus bombs were dropped on 1.2 million people. One bomb for every two people. The temperature in the center of the city reached 1600 centigrade.

But those who perished in the center of the city can't be traced, their ashes were gone with the winds of fire. Approximately 125,000 children, women, the elderly, wounded soldiers and the animals of the zoo were eradicated in only one night.

February 1945 – Dresden

UK censorship takes Press TV off the air

presstv.ir

A British journalist says the revocation of Press TV's broadcasting license and its removal from the Sky platform by the Office of Communications (Ofcom) is a blatant example of "media censorship." In an exclusive interview with Press TV on Jan. 20, Phil Rees said Ofcom's assumption of balance and impartiality is delusional.

"Newspapers in Britain have no mechanism to patrol them in a way that OFCOM exists for TV. I think this points to a key problem here and that is that OFCOM has this assumption of balance, of impartiality and yet that really is a very faulty notion," said the British journalist.

Rees also added that UK laws are essentially geared towards restricting debate in the country because "they (the British officials) see the parameters of debate as being defined by the political parties."

"There are a huge number of people in this country (UK) that are effectively disenfranchised now whose voice isn't being heard; Press TV satisfied that voice."

On Jan. 20, Ofcom revoked Press TV's license for what it calls breaching the broadcasting code.

This while Ofcom had earlier hit Press TV with a fine of 100 thousand pounds. The British media regulator stepped up pressure on Press TV after the news channel covered British police crackdowns on anti-austerity protesters in London and other British cities.

Ofcom is said to have close ties to Britain's royal family. The cables released by the whistleblower website WikiLeaks show that Press TV's programs on the royal wedding, which many in the country described as extravagant, angered the royal family.

Many observers have also noted that the British government's hostile campaign against Press TV has its roots in the channel's extensive and transparent coverage of the role that the British government played in the killing of tens of thousands of innocent people in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Press TV has extensively given coverage to Britain's support for autocratic Persian Gulf monarchies and the legitimacy it gives to the dictatorial regimes in Bahrain and Saudi Arabia.

High time for political action

By Gregory Kay
gregmkay@yahoo.com

Once again, we're faced with the primaries where we choose our candidates for political office, much like they used to do in the late Soviet Union. In the USSR, voters had to choose between two approved candidates from the same party, while in the USA we are left to choose between a pair of approved candidates from two parties that are both owned and controlled by the same people. If you don't believe that, look closely at Republican efforts to defeat unapproved candidate Ron Paul. They admit that they, the party, will do whatever it takes to insure Paul does not win the nomination for President of the United States.

Machine politics

Even though Dr. Paul is wildly popular, incredible pressures were put on the local political bosses to kill any chance of his nomination, up to and including threatening Iowa with losing the traditional caucuses there and the millions of dollars they bring in, should those ward bosses fail to bring their voters into line behind one of the *approved* candidates who doesn't threaten the status quo. So, we're stuck right where we were, right?

Not necessarily. There is another option.

We all know how hard it is for a third party victory; for most high offices, it is currently impossible, and is made and kept that way by the two parties in power. But it doesn't have to remain that way, if the various third parties are willing to work together.

Here in the Mountain State, for example, the American Third Position Party, the Constitution Party, the Libertarian Party, the Mountain Party, the Natural Law Party and the Reform Party all regularly run candidates for State offices, and just as regularly lose. Even those, like the Mountain Party, who did the hard work and got ballot access for themselves (that is vital; in real terms, you'd have better luck finding a needle in a haystack than winning any write-in campaign above an occasional municipal office, and even that is not much easier) get nowhere. Why? Because the two-party coalition of Republicans and Democrats are determined to keep them out, and hold the positions of power that will allow them to do so, and the *separate* smaller parties have no chance… as long as the key word is "separate." Together is a different story.

Keep it simple

Relax; I'm not talking about unifying into a single part, particularly when each one holds such diverse viewpoints from the others. I'm not even talking about an official coalition or formal alliance, since such an association between disparate parties could become an intraparty liability. A gentleman's agreement will suffice for the moment, particularly when it's for the benefit of all concerned.

Here's how it needs to work: the leaders of the various parties meet somewhere in a central location and, with no fanfare, hammer out a simple deal.

Negotiating in good faith

See who wants to run for what, to start with; the odds are that most positions will have no more than a single third party contending for them. These are the easy ones; all other parties involved promise not to put forth a candidate for it, and pledge to have their local party organizers quietly ask all members in that district not only to vote for but actively support and work for that candidate. In return, the candidate vows if elected to use all his influence to get other parties' candidates on future ballots and, if the position is legislative, to introduce and support legislation making ballot access easier for all.

If two or more parties want a candidate to run for the same position, that's a bit more difficult but by no means impossible to resolve under the same agreement; in this case, however, the contending parties need to be honest with one another and see who has more support in the voting district in question, thus the better chance of winning; and the others should bow out.

This may involve some horse-trading, where Party A agrees to pull a candidate out of Smith County in exchange for Party B withdrawing theirs from Jones County.

Staying focused

The important thing is not to put the maximum count of third party candidates on any ballot, but to get more of those in the running elected to office. There they will actually have the power to do something about changing things for the better – first and foremost, breaking today's two-party strangle hold on the system.

How Hitler tackled unemployment and revived Germany's economy

By Mark Weber
ihr.org

To deal with the massive unemployment and economic paralysis of the Great Depression, both the U. S. and German governments launched innovative and ambitious programs. Although President Franklin Roosevelt's "New Deal" measures helped only marginally, the Third Reich's much more focused and comprehensive policies proved remarkably effective. Within three years unemployment was banished and Germany's economy was flourishing. And while Roosevelt's record in dealing with the Depression is pretty well known, the remarkable story of how Hitler tackled the crisis is not widely understood or appreciated.

Adolf Hitler became Chancellor of Germany on January 30, 1933. A few weeks later, on March 4, Franklin Roosevelt took office as President of the United States. Each man remained his country's chief executive for the next twelve years – until April 1945, shortly before the end of World War II in Europe. In early 1933 industrial production in each country had fallen to about half of what it had been in 1929. Each leader quickly launched bold new initiatives to tackle the terrible economic crisis, above all the scourge of mass unemployment. And although there are some striking similarities between the efforts of the two governments, the results were very different.

Praised by a Harvard guru

One of the most influential and widely read American economists of the twentieth century was John Kenneth Galbraith. He was an advisor to several presidents, and for a time served as U. S. ambassador to India. He was the author of several dozen books, and for years taught economics at Harvard University. With regard to Germany's record, Galbraith wrote: "The elimination of unemployment in Germany during the Great Depression without inflation – and with initial reliance on essential civilian activities – was a signal accomplishment. It has rarely been praised and not much remarked. The notion that Hitler could do no good extends to his economics as it does, more plausibly, to all else."

The Hitler regime's economic policy, Galbraith goes on, involved "large scale borrowing for public expenditures, and at first this was principally for civilian work – railroads, canals and the *Autobahnen* [highway network]. The result was a far more effective attack on unemployment than in any other industrial country."[1] "By late 1935," he also wrote, "unemployment was at an end in Germany. By 1936 high income was pulling up prices or making it possible to raise them… Germany, by the late thirties, had full employment at stable prices. It was, in the industrial world, an absolutely unique achievement."[2] "Hitler also anticipated modern economic policy," the economist noted, "by recognizing that a rapid approach to full employment was only possible if it was combined with wage and price controls. That a nation oppressed by economic fears would respond to Hitler as Americans did to F.D.R. is not surprising."[3]

The banksters' war begins

Other countries, Galbraith wrote, failed to understand or to learn from the German experience: "The German example was instructive but not persuasive. British and American conservatives looked at the Nazi financial heresies – the borrowing and spending – and uniformly predicted a breakdown… And American liberals and British socialists looked at the repression, the destruction of the unions, the Brownshirts, the Blackshirts, the concentration camps and screaming oratory, and ignored the economics. Nothing good [they believed], not even full employment, could come from Hitler."[4]

Two days after taking office as Chancellor, Hitler addressed the nation by radio. Although he and other leaders of his movement had made clear their intention to reorganize the nation's social, political, cultural and educational life in accord with National Socialist principles, everyone knew that, with some six million jobless and the national economy in paralysis, the great priority of the moment was to restore the nation's economic life, above all by tackling unemployment and providing productive work.

"The misery of our people is horrible to behold!" said Hitler in this inaugural address.[5] "Along with the hungry unemployed millions of industrial workers there is the impoverishment of the whole middle class and the artisans. If this collapse finally also finishes off the German farmers we will face a catastrophe of incalculable dimension. For that would be not just the collapse of a nation, but of a two-thousand-year-old inheritance of some of the greatest achievements of human culture and civilization …"

The new government, Hitler said, would "achieve the great task of reorganizing our nation's economy by means of two great four-year plans. The German farmer must be rescued to maintain the nation's food supply and, in consequence, the nation's vital foundation. The German worker will be saved from ruin with a concerted and all-embracing attack against unemployment."

Checking the moneymaniacs

"Within four years," he pledged, "unemployment must be decisively overcome. … The Marxist parties and their allies have had 14 years to show what they can do. The result is a heap of ruins. Now, people of Germany, give us four years and then pass judgment upon us!"

Rejecting the cloudy and impractical economic views of some radical activists in his Party, Hitler turned to men of proven

London, March 1933

ability and competence. Most notably, he enlisted the help of Hjalmar Schacht, a prominent banker and financier with an impressive record in both private business and public service. Even though Schacht was certainly no National Socialist, Hitler appointed him President of Germany's central bank, the Reichsbank, and then as Minister of Economics.

After taking power, writes Prof. John Garraty, a prominent American historian, Hitler and his new government "immediately launched an all-out assault on unemployment… They stimulated private industry through subsidies and tax rebates, encouraged consumer spending by such means as marriage loans, and plunged into the massive public-works program that produced the *autobahn* [highway system], and housing, railroad and navigation projects."[6]

The regime's new leaders also succeeded in persuading formerly skeptical and even hostile Germans of their sincerity, resolve and ability. This fostered trust and confidence, which in turn encouraged businessmen to hire and invest, and consumers to spend with an eye to the future.

Nazi contract with Germany

As he had promised, Hitler and his National Socialist government banished unemployment within four years. The number of jobless was cut from six million at the beginning of 1933, when he took power, to one million by 1936.[7] So rapidly was the jobless rate reduced that by 1937-38 there was a national labor shortage.[8]

For the great mass of Germans, wages and working conditions improved steadily. From 1932 to 1938 gross real weekly earnings increased by 21 percent. After taking into account tax and insurance deductions and adjustments to the cost of living, the increase in real weekly earnings during this period was 14 percent. At the same time, rents remained stable, and there was a relative decline in the costs of heating and light. Prices actually declined for some consumer goods, such as electrical appliances, clocks and watches, as well as for some foods. Workers' income continued to rise even after the outbreak of war. By 1943 average hourly earnings of German workers had risen by 25 per cent, and weekly earnings by 41 percent.[9]

A "racist" nation revives

The "normal" work day for most Germans was eight hours, and pay for overtime work was generous.[10] In addition to higher wages, benefits included markedly improved working conditions, such as better health and safety conditions, canteens with subsidized hot meals, athletic fields, parks, subsidized theater performances and concerts, exhibitions, sports and hiking groups, dances, adult education courses, and subsidized tourism.[11] An already extensive network of social welfare programs, including old age insurance and a national health care program, was expanded.

Aspirations of a true nation

Hitler wanted Germans to have "the highest possible standard of living," he said in an interview with an American journalist in early 1934. "In my opinion, the Americans are right in not wanting to make everyone the same but rather in upholding the principle of the ladder. However, every single person must be granted the opportunity to climb up the ladder."[12] In keeping with this outlook, Hitler's government promoted social mobility, with wide opportunities to improve and advance. As Prof. Garraty notes: "It is beyond argument that the Nazis encouraged working-class social and economic mobility." To encourage acquisition of new skills, the government greatly expanded vocational training programs, and offered generous incentives for further advancement of efficient workers.[13]

Daily Express
JUDEA DECLARES WAR ON GERMANY
Jews Of All The World Unite In Action
BOYCOTT OF GERMAN GOODS
MASS DEMONSTRATIONS IN MANY DISTRICTS
DRAMATIC ACTION
HIGHER WAGES FOR STEEL WORKERS
AN INCREASE OF THREE SHILLINGS A WEEK
BRIGHT SPOT IN A BLACK TOWN
New "Sweep" Bill In The Dail
MR. DE VALERA AND STATE CONTROL
SECRET MEASURE
MR. MacDONALD EXPLAINS HIS TOUR
"PEACE CAN BE KEPT IN EUROPE"
LATE NEWS
OFFICER'S DAYS OF LOVE
CUTS THAT KILL

This headline shows who made the first hostile move and it wasn't the German government

Both National Socialist ideology and Hitler's basic outlook, writes historian John Garraty, "inclined the regime to favor the ordinary German over any elite group. Workers… had an honored place in the system." In accord with this, the regime provided substantive fringe benefits for workers that included subsidized housing, low-cost excursions, sports programs, and more pleasing factory facilities.[14]

Vindicated

In his detailed and critical biography of Hitler, historian Joachim Fest acknowledged: "The regime insisted that it was not the rule of one social class above all others, and by granting everyone opportunities to rise, it in fact demonstrated class neutrality … These measures did indeed break through the old, petrified social structures.

1. J. K. Galbraith, *Money* (Boston: 1975), pp. 225-226.
2. J. K. Galbraith, *The Age of Uncertainty* (1977), p. 214.
3. J. K. Galbraith in *The New York Times Book Review*, April 22, 1973. Quoted in: J. Toland, *Adolf Hitler* (Doubleday & Co., 1976), p. 403 (note).
4. J. K. Galbraith, *The Age of Uncertainty* (1977), pp. 213-214.
5. Hitler radio address, "*Aufruf an das deutsche Volk*," Feb. 1, 1933.
6. John A. Garraty, *The New Deal, National Socialism, and the Great Depression*, The American Historical Review, Oct. 1973 (Vol. 78, No. 4), pp. 909-910.
7. Gordon A. Craig, *Germany 1866-1945* (New York: Oxford, 1978), p. 620.
8. Richard Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich: A Social History of Nazi Germany, 1933-1945* (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1971), p. 186. First published in Britain under the title, *A Social History of the Third Reich*.
9. R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (1971), p. 187; David Schoenbaum, *Hitler's Social Revolution* (Norton,1980 [softcover]), p. 100.
10. David Schoenbaum, *Hitler's Social Revolution* (Norton,1980), p. 101.
11. David Schoenbaum, *Hitler's Social Revolution* (Norton,1980 [softcover]), pp. 100, 102, 104; Historian Gordon Craig writes: "In addition to these undeniable gains [that is, in a better quality of life], German workers received significant supplementary benefits from the State. The party conducted a systematic and impressively successful campaign to improve working conditions in industrial and commercial plants, with periodic drives designed not only to see that health and safety regulations were enforced, but to encourage some alleviation of the monotony of daily labour at the same task by means of amenities like music and growing plants and special awards for achievement." G. Craig, *Germany 1866-1945* (Oxford, 1978), pp. 621-622.
12. Interview with Louis Lochner, Associated Press correspondent in Berlin. Quoted in Michael Burleigh, *The Third Reich: A New History* (New York: 2000), p. 247.
13. G. Craig, Germany 1866-1945 (Oxford, 1978), p. 623; John A. Garraty, *The New Deal, National Socialism, and the Great Depression*, *The American Historical Review*, Oct. 1973 (Vol. 78, No. 4), pp. 917, 918.
14. J. A. Garraty, *The New Deal, National Socialism, and the Great Depression*, *The American Historical Review*, Oct. 1973, pp. 917, 918.

The First Freedom February 2012 **13**
P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576

They tangibly improved the material condition of much of the population."[15]

A few figures give an idea of the how the quality of life improved. Between 1932, the last year of the pre-Hitler era, and 1938, the last full year before the outbreak of war, food consumption increased by one sixth, while clothing and textile turnover increased by more than a quarter, and of furniture and household goods by 50 percent.[16] During the Third Reich's peacetime years, wine consumption rose by 50 percent, and champagne consumption increased five-fold.[17] Between 1932 and 1938, the volume of tourism more than doubled, while automobile ownership during the 1930s tripled.[18] German motor vehicle production, which included cars made by the U. S.-owned Ford and General Motors (Opel) works, doubled in the five years of 1932 to 1937, while Germany's motor vehicle exports increased eight-fold. Air passenger traffic in Germany more than tripled from 1933 to 1937.[19]

Creditworthy capitalism

German business revived and prospered. During the first four years of the National Socialist era, net profits of large corporations quadrupled, and managerial and entrepreneurial income rose by nearly 50 percent. "Things were to get even better," writes Jewish historian Richard Grunberger in his detailed study, *The Twelve-Year Reich*. "In the three years between 1939 and 1942 German industry expanded as much as it had during the preceding fifty years."[20]

Although German businesses flourished, profits were controlled and by law were kept within moderate limits.[21] Beginning in 1934, dividends for stockholders of German corporations were limited to six percent annually. Undistributed profits were invested in Reich government bonds, which had an annual interest yield of six percent, and then, after 1935, of four and a half percent. This policy had the predictable effect of encouraging corporate reinvestment and self-financing, and thereby of reducing borrowing from banks and, more generally, of diminishing the influence of commercial capital.[22]

Corporation tax rates were steadily raised, from 20 percent in 1934 to 25 percent in 1936, and to 40 percent in 1939-40. Directors of German companies could grant bonuses to managers, but only if these were directly proportionate to profits and they also authorized corresponding bonuses or "voluntary social contributions" to employees.[23]

Better days for all classes

Between 1934 and 1938, the gross taxable income of German businessmen increased by 148 percent, and overall tax volume increased during this period by 232 percent. The number of taxpayers in the highest income tax bracket – those earning more than 100,000 marks annually – increased during this period by 445 percent. (By contrast, the number of taxpayers in the lowest income bracket – those earning less than 1500 marks yearly – increased by only five percent.)[24]

Taxation in National Socialist Germany was sharply "progressive," with those of higher income paying proportionately more than those in the lower income brackets. Between 1934 and 1938, the average tax rate on incomes of more than 100,000 marks rose from 37.4 percent to 38.2 percent. In 1938 Germans in the lowest tax brackets were 49 percent of the population and had 14 percent of the national income, but paid only 4.7 percent of the tax burden. Those in the highest income category, who were just one percent of the population but with 21 percent of the income, paid 45 percent of the tax burden.[25]

Jews made up about one percent of Germany's total population when Hitler came to power. While the new government moved quickly to remove them from the nation's political and cultural life, Jews were permitted to carry on in economic life, at least for several years.

In fact, many Jews benefited from the regime's recovery measures and the general economic revival. In June 1933, for example, Hitler approved a large-scale government investment of 14.5 million marks in the Jewish-owned firm Hertie, a Berlin department store chain. This "bail out" was done to prevent the ruin of the large firm's suppliers, financiers, and, above all, its 14,000 employees.[26]

Stirred up by the local Jewish-controlled mass media: boycott demonstration in New York, 1933

No problem till they made one

Prof. Gordon Craig, who for years taught history at Stanford University, points out: "In the clothing and retail trades, Jewish firms continued to operate profitably until 1938, and in Berlin and Hamburg, in particular, establishments of known reputation and taste continued to attract their old customers despite their ownership by Jews. In the world of finance, no restrictions were placed upon the activities of Jewish firms in the Berlin *Bourse* [stock market], and until 1937 the banking houses of Mendelssohn, Bleichröder, Arnhold, Dreyfuss, Straus, Warburg, Aufhäuser, and Behrens were still active."[27] Five years after Hitler had come to power, the Jewish role in business life was still a significant one, and Jews still held considerable real estate holdings, especially in Berlin. This changed markedly in 1938, however, and by the end of 1939 Jews had been largely removed from German economic life.

Results of law and order

Germany's crime rate fell during the Hitler years, with significant drops in the rates of murder, robbery, theft, embezzlement and petty larceny.[28] Improvement in the health and outlook of Germans impressed many foreigners. "Infant mortality has been greatly reduced and is considerably inferior to that in Great Britain," wrote Sir Arnold Wilson, a British M. P. who visited Germany seven times after Hitler had come to power. "Tuberculosis and other diseases have noticeably diminished. The criminal courts have never had so little to do and the prisons have never had so few occupants. It is a pleasure to observe the physical aptitude of the German youth. Even the poorest persons are better clothed than was formerly the case, and their cheerful faces testify to the psychological improvement that has been wrought within them."[29]

The improved psychological-emotional wellbeing of Germans during this period has also been noted by social historian Richard Grunberger. "There can be little doubt," he wrote, "that the [National Socialist] seizure of power engendered a wide-spread improvement in emotional health; this was not only a result of the economic upswing, but of many Germans' heightened sense of identification with the national purpose."[30]

Austria experienced a dramatic upswing after it joined the German Reich in March 1938. Immediately following the *Anschluss* ("union"), officials moved quickly to relieve social distress and revitalize the moribund economy. Investment, industrial production, housing construction, consumer spending, tourism and the standard of living rose rapidly. Between June and December 1938 alone, the weekly income of Austria's industrial workers rose by nine percent. The National Socialist regime's success in banishing unemployment was so rapid that American historian Evan Burr Bukey was moved to call it "one of the most remarkable economic achievements in modern history." The jobless rate in Austria dropped from 21.7 percent in 1937 to 3.2 percent in 1939. The Austrian GNP rose 12.8 percent in 1938, and an astonishing 13.3 percent in 1939.[31]

Effect of total commitment

An important expression of national confidence was the sharp increase in the birth rate. Within a year after Hitler came to power, the German birth rate jumped by 22 percent, rising to a high point in 1938. It remained high even in 1944 – the last full year of World War II.[32] In the view of historian John Lukacs, this jump in the birth rate was an expression of "the optimism and the confidence" of Germans during the Hitler years. "For every two children born in Germany in 1932, three were born four years later," he notes. "In 1938 and 1939, the highest marriage rates in all of Europe were registered in Germany, superseding even those among the prolific peoples of Eastern Europe. The phenomenal rise of the German birthrate in the thirties was even steeper than the rise of the marriage rate."[33] "National Socialist Germany, alone among countries peopled by Whites, succeeded in attaining some increase in fertility," notes the outstanding Scottish-born American historian Gordon A. Craig, with a sharp rise in the birth rate after Hitler came to power, and a steady increase in the years that followed.[34]

Naysayers notwithstanding

In a lengthy address to the Reichstag in early 1937, Hitler recalled the pledges he had made when his government assumed power. He also explained the principles on which his policies were based, and looked back at what had been accomplished in four years.[35] "…Those who talk about 'democracies' and 'dictatorships'," he said, "simply do not understand that a revolution has been carried out in this country, the results of which can be considered democratic in the highest sense of the term, if democracy has any real meaning… The National Socialist Revolution has not aimed at turning a privileged class into a class that will have no rights in the future. Its aim has been to give equal rights to those who had no rights… Our objective has been to make it possible for the whole German people to be active, not only in the economic but also in the political field, and to secure this by organizationally involving the masses… During the past four years we have increased German production in all areas to an extraordinary degree. And this increase in production has been to the benefit of all Germans."

In another address two years later, Hitler spoke briefly about his regime's economic achievement:[36] "I overcame chaos in Germany, restored order, enormously raised production in all fields of our national economy, by strenuous efforts produced substitutes for numerous materials that we lack, encouraged new inventions, developed traffic, caused mighty roads to be

(See "The truth at last," page 15)

15. Joachim Fest, *Hitler* (New York: 1974), pp. 434-435.
16. R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (New York: 1971 [hardcover ed.]), p. 203.
17. R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (1971), pp. 30, 208.
18. R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (1971), pp. 198, 235.
19. G. Frey (Hg.), *Deutschland wie es wirklich war* (Munich: 1994), pp. 38. 44.
20. R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (1971), p. 179.
21. D. Schoenbaum, *Hitler's Social Revolution* (1980), pp. 118, 144.
22. D. Schoenbaum, *Hitler's Social Revolution* (1980), pp. 144, 145; Franz Neumann, *Behemoth: The Structure and Practice of National Socialism 1933-1944* (New York: Harper & Row, 1966 [softcover]), pp. 326-319; R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (1971), p. 177
23. R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (1971), p. 177; D. Schoenbaum, *Hitler's Social Revolution* (Norton,1980), p.125.
24. D. Schoenbaum, *Hitler's Social Revolution* (1980), pp. 148, 149.
25. D. Schoenbaum, *Hitler's Social Revolution* (1980), pp. 148, 149. (By comparison, Schoenbaum notes, the income tax rate for the highest income bracket in 1966 in the German Federal Republic was about 44 percent.)
26. D. Schoenbaum, *Hitler's Social Revolution* (1980), p. 134.
27. G. Craig, *Germany 1866-1945* (Oxford, 1978), p. 633.
28. R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (1971), pp. 26, 121; G. Frey (Hg.), *Deutschland wie es wirklich war* (Munich: 1994), pp. 50-51.
29. Quoted in: J. Toland, *Adolf Hitler* (Doubleday & Co., 1976), p. 405. Source cited: Cesare Santoro, *Hitler Germany* (Berlin: 1938).
30. R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (1971), p. 223.
31. Evan Burr Bukey, *Hitler's Austria* (Chapel Hill: 2000), pp. 72, 73, 74, 75, 81, 82, 124. (Bukey is a professor of history at the University of Arkansas.)
32. R. Grunberger, *The Twelve-Year Reich* (1971), pp. 29, 234-235.
33. John Lukacs, *The Hitler of History* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1997), pp. 97-98.
34. G. Craig, *Germany 1866-1945* (Oxford, 1978), pp. 629-630.
35. Hitler Reichstag address of Jan. 30, 1937.
36. Hitler Reichstag speech of April 28, 1939.

Arrogant powers dislike Iran-Iraq ties

What kind if ignominy befalls a people who teach the world to sing in perfect harmony but then forget their lyrics: the U. S. Constitution?

Presstv.ir

Iran's First Vice President Mohammad-Reza Rahimi says bullying powers are greatly discontented with growing Tehran-Baghdad relations and seek to sabotage the two countries' relations.

In a meeting with visiting Iraqi Oil Minister Abd al-Karim Luaybi in Tehran on Jan. 21, Rahimi said Iran and Iraq share common areas of interest, and that the two neighboring Muslim States should further upgrade their relations, especially in the field of oil, energy and regional energy, to transform themselves into major regional and international powers.

The senior Iranian official said arrogant powers are disgruntled with the increasing level of diplomatic ties between Iran and Iraq, and try to disrupt these relations.

Rahimi said security in the region must be ensured by regional countries. He underlined that the presence of foreign forces in the Middle East under the pretext of establishing security only endangers regional security.

Iran, unlike outsiders that seek their own interests in the Middle East, is interested in the promotion of global peace and tranquility as well as friendship among all the world's nations, Rahimi pointed out.

Iran's First Vice President Mohammad-Reza Rahimi (R) meets visiting Iraqi Oil Minister Abd al-Karim Luaybi in Tehran on January 21, 2012.

Just leave us alone

Iran's first vice president also called on foreign forces to pull out of the Middle East, and allow regional States to provide security on their own.

Luaybi, for his part, said the two countries will soon witness important and effective steps in the field of oil cooperation.

He said enemies pursue certain goals in the region via different scenarios, stressing the need for further development of Baghdad-Tehran relations.

Order extra copies of
The First Freedom
Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon on page 24

The U. S. plans to destabilize Sudan

Instigating ethnic bloodbaths

By Thomas C. Mountain
counterpunch.org

As South Sudan implodes in a growing mass insanity of ethnic violence and once again tens of thousands have to flee for their lives the warning signs all point towards the U. S. plan to destabilize Sudan having begun to hit its stride.

Your tax dollars at work

To start with, the U. S. pays the salaries for the Sudan Peoples Liberation Army (SPLA, the national army of South Sudan), over $100 million in 2011 alone. Does a country really have independence when a foreign power pays its army's salaries? Whose orders is the army really going to follow?

Today, thousands of UN "peacekeepers" are pouring into South Sudan. These "peacekeepers" are almost entirely from next door Ethiopia and are part of an Ethiopian military carrying out a counterinsurgency/genocide in the Ogaden in south east Ethiopia – an Ethiopian military that has repeatedly invaded Somali acting under orders from the USA – the same Ethiopian army that six years earlier invaded Eritrea. "Peacekeepers" indeed.

Who's in charge?

The Ethiopian "peacekeepers" salaries, all their expenses actually, are being paid for by Uncle Sam.

So the South Sudan military is paid for by the USA as well as the UN Ethiopian "peacekeepers." With friends like this is it any wonder that South Sudan is disintegrating?

And now comes word that the Obama regime presently occupying the White House in the USA is planning on "selling" advanced weaponry to the SPLA. As every day hundreds of children in South Sudan die from lack of clean drinking water, food, shelter and medical care the USA's answer is to provide jet fighters and bombers, the better to see Sudanese kill Sudanese.

Easy explanation

What this is all about is the Sudanese oil fields in the Abeye region, basically right on the border between Sudan and South Sudan. The Sudanese oil fields are the only majority owned and controlled Chinese developed oil fields in Africa.

The "USA/UN" plan is supposed to see up to 10,000 Ethiopian military personnel under cover of a UN "peacekeeper" mandate take up stations around the Abeye oil fields, the better to one day control that oil.

Ethiopia is the USA's local enforcer, cop on the beat/gendarme in East Africa and where better to use its services but around the only Chinese owned and controlled oil field in Africa in Sudan's Abeye region.

The USA can kill two birds with one stone by destabilizing South Sudan.

Diabolical scheming

The first is by helping to instigate a series of ethnic bloodbaths in South Sudan, maybe ignite an outbreak of fighting between Sudan and South Sudan and under cover of which the Abeye oil fields, and the very vulnerable Abeye-Port Sudan pipeline will be attacked and damaged.

This will effectively end China's most important energy development project in Africa.

Secondly, by cutting off Sudan's oil supply the USA will put enormous pressure on the Sudanese government led by President Omar Al Bashir.

With his oil revenues halted Pres. Bashir will find it very difficult to maintain the standard of living many of his people have come to expect and this could seriously destabilize the government.

In mid 2011 South Sudanese officials were reported to have said that the USA had told them they didn't need oil money to survive, they could depend on western aid. A foreteller of things to come?

Where is this headed?

Whether this all comes to pass or not, the one thing clear for the world to see is that the western supported independence of South Sudan is turning into a nightmare for the people of the region. Little wonder when one finds out who is actually funding, and now arming, the armed forces in the country.

Here they go again

The one thing that should be expected is a continuing "crisis management" policy by the USA in South Sudan, as in create a crisis and then manage the murder and mayhem the better to exploit the wealth of the land, or if necessary, at least deny it to your enemy.

And maybe even see the end of the long Western vilified Sudanese government led by President Omar Al Bashir in the process.

Thomas C. Mountain is the only independent Western journalist in the Horn of Africa, living and reporting from Eritrea since 2006. He can be reached at thomascmountain@yahoo.com.

GITMO GULAG:

U. S. flayed over illegal indefinite detention

By Robert Bridge
rt.com

Russia's Foreign Ministry has blasted the U. S. detention facility in Cuba, where 171 suspected terrorists are being held in indefinite custody without legal representation, as a "flagrant violation of international law."

In a statement posted on its website January 15, the ministry said inmates are in a "legal vacuum" unprecedented in modern history and indicative of a broader trend of behavior that also exists in Afghanistan.

"The prison had 779 non-POW inmates in the past decade, and approximately 600 of them were freed after having served a long time without indictment. Eight inmates died, and six were convicted by military commissions," the ministry said. "A total of 171 inmates remain in the legal vacuum and have no clue as to what their future holds.

"The situation is unprecedented in modern history and considering that some 3,000 people are confined in similar conditions in Afghanistan, it serves as an indication of crude violations of international law by the United States."

New Soviet-style tyranny

The Foreign Ministry also criticized the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) signed into law by U. S. President Barack Obama on December 31, which includes a provision allowing indefinite military detention – even of U. S. civilians – without trial. The U. S. leader said he signed the act "despite having 'serious reservations' about provisions that regulate the detention, interrogation and prosecution of suspected terrorists."

The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) slammed Obama for giving indefinite detention the green light.

A "non-compliant" detainee is escorted by guards after showering inside the U. S. military prison for "enemy combatants" (AFP Photo / John Moore)

"President Obama's action… is a blight on his legacy, because he will forever be known as the president who signed indefinite detention without charge or trial into law," said Anthony D. Romero, ACLU executive director. "The statute is particularly dangerous because it has no temporal or geographic limitations, and can be used by this and future presidents to militarily detain people captured far from any battlefield."

The ACLU said it will continue to "fight worldwide detention authority wherever we can, be it in court, in Congress, or internationally."

America's first Black president pledged to close Guantanamo Bay as one of his first acts in office. However, despite enjoying a Democratic majority in the House and Senate, Obama caved in to Republican demands that the inmates remain confined in Cuba, while denying them a civil trial.

By signing NDAA into law, Obama further complicated the task of closing the highly controversial facility, which Amnesty International has dubbed "the Gulag of our times."

"On the one hand, it (the NDAA) prescribes the U. S. Defense Secretary on ways to develop official procedures of regulating its operation. On the other, it bans the financing of the process of closing the facility and introduces restrictions on the transfer of inmates to third countries without the notification of the Congress," the Foreign Ministry statement reads.

In a human rights report released in December by Russia's Foreign Ministry, the United States came up short, cited for violations on both the domestic and foreign fronts.

District of Corruption

"The situation in the United States…is far from the ideals proclaimed in Washington," the report said. "The incumbent administration continues to apply most of the methods of controlling society and interfering in the private lives of the American people that were adopted by the special services under George Bush on the pretext of combating terror."

The report went on to condemn "the exterritorial application of U. S. legislation by the U. S. administration," which "seriously harms Russian-U. S. relations."

The First Freedom February 2012 **15**
P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576

The truth at last

(Continued from page 13)

built and canals to be dug, called into being gigantic factories, and at the same time endeavored to further the education and culture of our people for the development of our social community. I succeeded in finding useful work once more for the whole of the seven million unemployed, who so touched all our hearts, in keeping the German peasant on his soil in spite of all difficulties, and in saving the land itself for him, in restoring a prosperous German trade, and in promoting traffic to the utmost."

Two opposite approaches

American historian John Garraty compared the American and German responses to the Great Depression in a much discussed article published in the *American Historical Review*. He wrote:[37] "The two movements [that is, in the U. S. and in Germany] nevertheless reacted to the Great Depression in similar ways, distinct from those of other industrial nations. Of the two the Nazis were the more successful in curing the economic ills of the 1930s. They reduced unemployment and stimulated industrial production faster than the Americans did and, considering their resources, handled their monetary and trade problems more successfully, certainly more imaginatively. This was partly because the Nazis employed deficit financing on a larger scale and partly because their totalitarian system better lent itself to the mobilization of society, both by force and by persuasion. By 1936 the depression was substantially over in Germany, far from finished in the United States."

War, not New Deal, did it

In fact, the jobless rate in the United States remained high until the stimulation of large-scale war production took hold. Even as late as March 1940, the U. S. unemployment rate was still almost 15 percent of the work force. It was production for war, not Roosevelt's "New Deal" programs, that finally brought full employment.[38]

Prof. William Leuchtenburg, a prominent American historian known best for his books on the life and career of Franklin Roosevelt, summed up the President's mixed record in a highly acclaimed study. "The New Deal left many problems unsolved and even created some perplexing new ones," concluded Leuchtenburg. "It never demonstrated that it could achieve prosperity in peacetime. As late as 1941, the unemployed still numbered six million, and not until the war year of 1943 did the army of jobless finally disappear."[39]

Other lands, other customs

The contrast between the German and American economic records during the 1930s is all the more striking when one takes into account that the U. S. had vastly greater natural resource wealth, including large petroleum reserves, as well as a lower population density, and no hostile, well armed neighbors.

An interesting comparison of the American and German approaches to the Great Depression appeared in a 1940 issue of the Berlin weekly *Das Reich*. Titled "Hitler and Roosevelt: A German Success, An American Attempt," the article cited the "parliamentary-democratic system" of the United States as a key factor in the failure of the Roosevelt administration's efforts to restore prosperity. "We [Germans] began with an idea and carried out the practical measures without regard for consequences. America began with many practical measures that, without inner coherence, covered over each wound with a special bandage."[40]

Could Hitler's economic policies work in the United States? These policies are probably most workable in countries such as Sweden, Denmark and the Netherlands, with a well-educated, self-disciplined and ethnically-culturally cohesive population, and a traditionally strong "communitarian" ethos with a correspondingly high level of social trust. Hitler's economic policies are less applicable in the United States and other societies with an ethnically-culturally diverse population, a markedly individualistic, "*laissez-faire*" tradition, and a correspondingly weaker "communitarian" spirit.[41]

Hitler himself once made a striking comparison of the social-political-economic systems of the United States, the Soviet Union and Germany. During a speech in late 1941 he said:[43]

"We've now gotten to know two [social-political] extremes. One is that of the Capitalist States, which use lies, fraud and swindling to deny their peoples the most basic vital rights, and which are concerned entirely with their own financial interests, for which they are ready to sacrifice millions of people. On the other hand we've seen [in the Soviet Union] the Communist extreme: a State that's brought unspeakable misery to millions and millions, and which, following its doctrine, sacrifices the happiness of others. From this [awareness], in my view, there is for all of us only one obligation, namely, to strive more than ever toward our national and socialist ideal … In this [German] State the prevailing principle is not, as in Soviet Russia, the principle of so-called equality, but rather only the principle of justice."

David Lloyd George – who had been Britain's prime minister during the First World War – made an extensive tour of Germany in late 1936. In an article published afterwards in a leading London newspaper, the British statesman recounted what he had seen and experienced.[43]

"Whatever one may think of his [Hitler's] methods," wrote Lloyd George, "and they are certainly not those of a parliamentary country, there can be no doubt that he has achieved a marvelous transformation in the spirit of the people, in their attitude towards each other, and in their social and economic outlook.

No fear

"He rightly claimed at Nuremberg that in four years his movement had made a new Germany. It is not the Germany of the first decade that followed the war – broken, dejected and bowed down with a sense of apprehension and impotence. It is now full of hope and confidence, and of a renewed sense of determination to lead its own life without interference from any influence outside its own frontiers.

"There is for the first time since the war a general sense of security. The people are more cheerful. There is a greater sense of general gaiety of spirit throughout the land. It is a happier Germany. I saw it everywhere, and Englishmen I met during my trip and who knew Germany well were very impressed with the change."

"This great people," the seasoned statesman went on to warn, "will work better, sacrifice more, and, if necessary, fight with greater resolution because Hitler asks them to do so. Those who do not comprehend this central fact cannot judge the present possibilities of modern Germany."

Although prejudice and ignorance have hindered a wider awareness and understanding of Hitler's economic policies and their impact, his success in economic policy has been acknowledged by historians, including scholars who are generally very critical of the German leader and his regime's policies.

John Lukacs, a Hungarian-born American historian whose books have generated much comment and praise, has written: "Hitler's achievements, domestic rather than foreign, during the six [peacetime] years of his leadership of Germany were extraordinary… He brought prosperity and confidence to the Germans, the kind of prosperity that is the result of confidence. The thirties, after 1933, were sunny years for most Germans; something that remained in the memories of an entire generation among them."[44]

Sebastian Haffner, an influential German journalist and historian who was also a fierce critic of the Third Reich and its ideology, reviewed Hitler's life and legacy in a much-discussed book. Although his portrayal of the German leader in *The Meaning of Hitler* is a harsh one, the author all the same writes:[45]

"Among these positive achievements of Hitler the one outshining all others was his economic miracle." While the rest of the world was still mired in the economic paralysis, Hitler had made "Germany an island of prosperity." Within three years, Haffner goes on, "crying need and mass hardship had generally turned into modest but comfortable prosperity. Almost equally important: helplessness and hopelessness had given way to confidence and self-assurance. Even more miraculous was the fact that the transition from depression to economic boom had been accomplished without inflation, at totally stable wages and prices… It is difficult to picture adequately the grateful amazement with which the Germans reacted to that miracle, which, more particularly, made vast numbers of German workers switch from the Social Democrats and the Communists to Hitler after 1933. This grateful amazement entirely dominated the mood of the German masses during the 1936 to 1938 period …"

If

Joachim Fest, another prominent German journalist and historian, reviewed Hitler's life in an acclaimed and comprehensive biography. "If Hitler had succumbed to an assassination or an accident at the end of 1938," he wrote, "few would hesitate to call him one of the greatest of German statesmen, the consummator of Germany's history."[46] "No objective observer of the German scene could deny Hitler's considerable exploits," noted American historian John Toland. "If Hitler had died in 1937 on the fourth anniversary of his coming to power… he undoubtedly would have gone down as one of the greatest figures in German history. Throughout Europe he had millions of admirers."[47]

Mark Weber is a historian, author and current affairs analyst. He studied history at the University of Illinois (Chicago), the University of Munich, Portland State University and Indiana University (M.A., 1977).

37. John A. Garraty, "The New Deal, National Socialism, and the Great Depression," The *American Historical Review*, Oct. 1973 (Vol. 78, No. 4), p. 944. (Garraty taught history at Michigan State University and at Columbia University, and served as president of the Society of American Historians.)

38. John A. Garraty, "The New Deal, National Socialism, and the Great Depression," The *American Historical Review*, Oct. 1973 (Vol. 78, No. 4), p. 917, incl. n. 23. Garraty wrote: "Certainly full employment was never approached in America until the economy was shifted to all-out war production… American unemployment never fell much below eight million during the New Deal. In 1939 about 9.4 million were out of work, and at the time of the 1940 census (in March) unemployment stood at 7.8 million, almost fifteen percent of the work force."

39. William E. Leuchtenburg, *Franklin Roosevelt and the New Deal* (New York: Harper & Row, 1963 [softcover]), pp. 346-347.

40. From *Das Reich*, May 26, 1940. Quoted in John A. Garraty, "The New Deal, National Socialism, and the Great Depression," *The American Historical Review*, Oct. 1973, p. 934. Source cited: Hans-Juergen Schröder, *Deutschland und die Vereinigten Staaten* (1970), pp. 118-119.

41. During a visit to Berlin in the 1930s, former U. S. president Herbert Hoover met with Hitler's Finance Minister, Count Lutz Schwerin von Krosigk, who explained at length his government's economic policies. While acknowledging that these measures were beneficial for Germany, Hoover expressed the view that they were not suitable for the United States. Government-directed wage and price policies, he believed, would be contrary to the American notion of personal freedom. See: Lutz Graf Schwerin von Krosigk, *Es geschah in Deutschland* (Tübingen/Stuttgart: 1952), p. 167; The influential British economist John Maynard Keynes wrote in 1936 that his "Keynesian" policies, which to some extent were embraced by the Hitler government, "can be much easier adapted to the conditions of a totalitarian State" than in a country where "conditions of free competition and a large degree of *laissez-faire*" prevail. Quoted in: James J. Martin, *Revisionist Viewpoints* (1977), pp. 187-205 (See also: R. Skidelsky, *John Maynard Keynes: The Economist as Savior 1920-1937* [New York: 1994], p. 581.); Research in recent years shows that greater ethnic diversity reduces levels of social trust, and the workability of social welfare policies. See: Robert D. Putnam, *E Pluribus Unum: Diversity and Community in the Twenty-first Century*, Scandinavian Political Studies, June 2007. See also: Frank Salter, *Welfare, Ethnicity, and Altruism* (Routledge, 2005)

42. Hitler address in Berlin, Oct. 3, 1941.

43. *Daily Express* (London), Nov. (or Sept.?) 17, 1936.

44. John Lukacs, *The Hitler of History* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1997), pp. 95-96

45. S. Haffner, *The Meaning of Hitler* (New York: Macmillan, 1979), pp. 27-29. First published in 1978 under the title *Anmerkungen zu Hitler*. See also: M. Weber, "Sebastian Haffner's 1942 Call for Mass Murder," *The Journal of Historical Review*, Fall 1983 (Vol. 4, No. 3), pp. 380-382.

46. J. Fest, *Hitler: A Biography* (Harcourt, 1974), p. 9. Quoted in: S. Haffner, *The Meaning of Hitler* (1979), p. 40.

47. J. Toland, *Adolf Hitler* (Doubleday & Co., 1976), pp. 407. 409.

Marine makes plea deal over massacre

presstv.ir

A U. S. Marine accused of killing unarmed Iraqis has pleaded guilty to a single count of dereliction of duty in the Massacre of Haditha. His plea deal now faces a maximum prison sentence of three months, bringing an end one of the most notorious cases against U. S. troops from the Iraq war.

Staff Sgt. Frank Wuterich was one of eight Marines originally charged with killing Iraqi civilians, including women, children, elderly people and a man in a wheelchair.

Charges against six of them were dropped or dismissed, and one was acquitted in a court-martial.

Wuterich's plea on Jan. 23 took place at Camp Pendleton, California, almost seven years after the events in question.

Wuterich faces a maximum of three months confinement, a two-thirds cut in pay, and a rank demotion to private.

Before the plea, he faced nine counts of manslaughter, assault, and dereliction of duty.

Gary Solis, a former Marine lawyer now teaching the law of war at Georgetown University, said the case of Marine Staff Sgt. Frank Wuterich is studied by future military lawyers as it may be an example of how *not* to investigate and prosecute a case.

The incident, labeled in the media as the Massacre of Haditha, took place in 2005 when Sgt. Wuterich led his Marine squad on a bloody rampage that killed 24 Iraqis in the town of Haditha after a roadside bomb exploded near a Marine convoy, killing one Marine and wounding two others.

The massacre caused international outrage and was one of the key reasons that the Iraqi government refused to extend immunity to US forces in the country in 2011.

Earlier last month, a video emerged showing four members of the U. S. Marine Corps urinating on the dead bodies of three Taliban militants while making lewd jokes.

The Jewish demand for "rights" in America

This inventor/writer studied not only horseless carriage science but what drives a certain deviant sect.

By Henry Ford

The Dearborn Independent, 5 March 1921

During the twelve years of its existence the New York Kehillah has grown in power and influence until today it includes practically the entire Jewish population in its operations. Among its direct or affiliated leaders and supporters are the owners of powerful newspapers, officials in the State, Federal and city administration; influential officeholders on public boards, such as the department of health, the board of education and the police department; members of the judiciary; financiers and heads of banking houses, mercantile and manufacturing establishments, many of which exert a controlling influence in certain industries and financial combinations.

But the New York Kehillah is more than a local organization. It is the pattern and parent Jewish community in the United States, the visible entourage of the Jewish government, the dynamo which motivates those "protests" and "mass meetings" which are frequently heralded throughout the country, and the arsenal of that kind of dark power which the Jewish leaders know so well how to use. Incidentally, it is also the "whispering gallery," where the famous whispering drives are originated and set in motion and made to break in lying publicity over the country.

It's a Jewish thing

The people of the United States have a deeper interest than they realize in the New York Kehillah.

The liaison between this center of Jewish power and the affairs of the people of the United States is made by the American Jewish Committee. The Committee and the Kehillah are practically identical as far as the national Jewish program is concerned. It may be added that through their foreign associations they are also identical as far as the world program is concerned.

The United States is divided into 12 parts by the American Jewish Committee. The remark that this division is after the Twelve Tribes of Israel may be disregarded. Suffice it to say that every State belongs to a district, and that District No. XII includes New York, and that the District Committee of District No. XII is chosen by the New York Kehillah, and is by weight of wealth, authority and continuous effort in behalf of Judah justly recognized as the center of Jewish power in America, and it may be in the world also. This committee, some of the names of whose members are impressive, represents the focusing point of the religious, racial, financial and political will of Jewry. This committee, it should be remembered, is also the executive committee of the New York Kehillah. New York Jewry is the dynamo of the national Jewish machinery. Its national instrument is the American Jewish Committee.

There are certain announced purposes of these associations, and there are certain purposes which are not announced. The announced purposes may be read in printed pages; the purposes not announced may be read in the records of attempted acts and achieved results. To keep the record straight let us look first at the announced purposes of the American Jewish Committee, then of the Kehillah; next at the line which binds the two together; and then at the real purposes as they are construed from a long list of attempts and achievements.

The American Jewish Committee, organized in 1906, announced itself as incorporated for the following purposes:

1. To prevent the infraction of the civil and religious rights of the Jews in any part of the world.

2. To render all lawful assistance and to take appropriate remedial action in event of threatened or actual invasion or restriction of such rights, or of unfavorable discrimination with respect thereto.

3. To secure for the Jews equality of economic, social and educational opportunities.

4. To alleviate the consequences of persecution wherever they may occur, and to afford relief from calamities affecting Jews.

It will thus be seen to be an exclusively Jewish program. There is nothing reprehensible about it. If it meant only what it said, and was observed only as to its ostensible purpose, it would be not only unobjectionable but commendable.

The charter of the Kehillah empowers it, among other things, to establish an educational bureau, to adjust differences between Jewish residents or organizations by arbitration or by means of boards of mediation or conciliation; while the Constitution announces the purpose to be:

> "to further the cause of Judaism in New York City and to represent the Jews in this city with respect to all local matters of Jewish interest."

Where the American Committee and the Kehillah join forces is shown as follows:

> "Furthermore, inasmuch as the American Jewish Committee was a national organization, the Jewish Community (Kehillah) of New York City, if combined with it, would have a voice in shaping the policy of Jewry *throughout the land.*
>
> 1. It is expressly understood that the American Jewish Committee shall have exclusive jurisdiction over all *questions of a national or international character* affecting the Jews generally.
>
> 2. The membership of the American Jewish Committee is to be increased, so that the Twelfth District shall have allotted to it 25 members.
>
> 3. These 25 members are to be elected by the Jewish Committee (Kehillah) of New York City.
>
> 4. These 25 men shall at the same time constitute the Executive Committee of the Community (Kehillah).

Burlesque show

It will be seen, therefore, that the Kehillah and the principal body of the American Jewish Committee are one. The capital of the United States, in Jewish affairs, is New York. Perhaps that may throw a sidelight on the desperate efforts that are being continually made to exalt New York as the spring and source of all the thoughts worth while today. New York, the Jewish capital of the United States, has also been sought to be made the financial center, the art center, the political center of the country. But its art is Aphrodite, Mecca and Afgar; its politics are those of a Judaized Tammany. Tell it not to the American Jewish Committee, nor yet to the Kehillah, but let all Americans know that most of the United States lies west of New York. The country has come to view that strip of Eastern coast as a miasmatic place whence rises the fetid drivel of all that is subversive in public thought. It is the home of anti-American propaganda, of pro-Jewish hysteria, a mad confusion of mind that passes in some quarters as a picture of America. But America is west of the "metropolis"; New York is an unassimilated province on the outskirts of the nation.

As nine-tenths of all the Jews in the United States live in allegiance to organizations which look to the American Jewish Committee as their overlord, the influence of the New York Kehillah on the nation is not hard to measure. In every town, large and small, even where the Jewish community consists of a few, 30 or 75 souls, there is a leading Jew, be he rabbi, merchant, or public officeholder, who is in constant touch with headquarters. What is done in New Orleans or Los Angeles or Kansas City is known in New York with surprising dispatch.

Incidentally, it would interest some clergymen to know that their names are listed among those who can be depended on to play the Jewish hand whenever required.

Now the public statement of purpose on the part of these Jewish bodies has just been shown. It is seen that the protection of Jewish rights is the ostensible program – against which no one can say a word. Perhaps the term "Jewish rights" is unfortunately chosen. If Jewish rights coincide with American rights, then more than the Jews are protecting them – the whole American nation is engaged in that work.

But it is not true that "Jewish rights" are the same as "American rights." Unfortunately the Jews have adopted an attitude which could only have sprung from the belief that it is a "Jewish right" to Judaize the United States.

Theirs to define?

This is one of the dangerous doctrines being preached today, and most assiduously by Jews and those who have been influenced by Jewish thought, namely, that the United States is not any definite thing as yet, but that it is yet to be made, and it is still the prey of whatever power can seize it and mold it to its liking. It is a favorite Jewish view that the United States is a great unshapen mass of potentiality, of no particular character which is yet to be given its definite form. It is in the light of this view that Jewish activity must be interpreted.

That doctrine with which so large a mass of Americans are inoculated is making havoc with the whole Americanization program today. It is "broadening" America out of all semblance to its distinctive self and blurring those determining ideals and ideas on which American institutions are based. The attempt, first to give the people to understand that the United States is "nothing particular" as yet, and second to make it something different spiritually from what it has always been, is peculiarly agreeable to the philosophy which sways the internationally-minded Hebrew. We are not making Americans; we are permitting foreigners to be educated in the theory that America is a free-for-all, the prize of whatever fantastic foreign political theory may seize it.

There you have the secret of the great refusal of the foreign population to change themselves into conformity with America; why should they, when they are taught that America may be changed into conformity with them?

Suicidal generosity

It is time to limit our "broad-mindedness" until it will fit within the limits of the Constitution and the traditions which made America what it is – the desired haven, even in preference to Palestine, of all the Jews and every other race.

So, then, what is the conception of "Jewish rights" which the Kehillah and the American Jewish Committee are organized to defend? It is only by deductions from the acts of these bodies that the answer can be formulated.

In the Jewish records for the year 5668 (1907-1908) we read:

"Perhaps the most noticeable feature of the year in America has been the demand in certain quarters for *the complete secularization of the public institutions* of the country, *what may be deemed the demand of the Jews* for their full constitutional rights."

Chutzpah pah pah

Let the reader notice that the only time he finds the religious note struck in this series of studies of International Jewish activity, it is struck by the Jews. Honest non-Jews have been nonplussed by the Jewish charge that any scrutiny of Jewish action is "religious persecution," even when religion has never been thought of or mentioned. The explanation is not far to seek. In the above quotation the religious note is struck at once: the "full constitutional rights" of Jews demands that we effect "the complete secularization of the public institutions of the country."

That is worth thinking of. But to continue the quotation:

"Justice Brewer's article asserting that this is a Christian country has been challenged more than once, and the idea was formally combated in papers by Dr. Herbert Friedenwald, of New York, Isaac Hassler, of Philadelphia, and Rabbi Ephraim Frisch, of Little Rock, Arkansas.

"The legal and theoretical argument was supplemented in a practical way by widespread opposition to Bible readings and Christmas carols in public schools, an opposition specifically decided upon by the Central Conference of American Rabbis.

"In New York the agitation against the carols produced a counter-demonstration in their favor, and the matter seems to have been left to the discretion of the individual teacher.

"In Philadelphia, Cincinnati, St. Paul and maybe elsewhere, there were similar movements and counter-movements, and the question may yet return to plague us."

There you have, in officially authorized Jewish statement, what the Jews conceive to be a part of their Jewish rights.

A careful examination of the intensive propaganda conducted by the Kehillah and the American Jewish Committee will not only reveal that the whole United States is considered to be the legitimate field for Jewish interference, but also that a very wide diversity of "rights" is insisted upon by them.

A subtle conspiracy

In dozens of States and hundreds of towns and cities this program has been plied, but always with too little publicity to appraise the people what is going on. In any number of cases the Jews win their contentions because of the local pressure they are able to produce, usually by their very forehanded way of selecting and obligating public officials. In other instances they have lost, but every loss they credit to a beginning of their "educational" campaign. A loss enables them to "teach a lesson" to somebody by means of a boycott or a changed attitude on the part of the local bank, or in some other way equally effective in creating "the fear of the Jews."

The Jews have evidently convinced themselves that the Constitution of the United States entitles them to change the character of many of the time-honored practices obtaining here, and if this is true, American citizens should take cognizance of these things and prepare to adjust themselves to further changes. If they do not take kindly to further changes at the behest of Jewry, they owe it to themselves to know what the Jewish program is, that they

Wanted
Thinkers
Survivors

The First Freedom is spreading "hate!" whine those who misapprehend us survivors. It's really out of pity that we try so hard to de-program the brainwashed. Order a box of 100 extra copies for $25 or $30 on page 24 and help distribute the truth.

may meet it with a higher type of weapon than that to which the Jew naturally resorts.

It is intended in this and the following article to indicate by the actual program, what the real objective of Jewry is in the United States. When you collect and summarize all the demands that have been made by the New York Kehillah alone, you gain an idea of what is afoot. A few of these demands are referred to now, subject to further illustration in another article.

1. *The unrestricted admission of Jewish immigration to this country from any part of the world.*

Heads of the Kehillah labor unions in New York demand that the Jews in Europe be exempted from the operation of whatever American immigration law may be passed. The Kehillah is many times on record to this effect. No matter where the Jews may come from – Russia, Poland, Syria, Arabia or Morocco – they are to be let in no matter who may be kept out.

Note: As one pursues the study of "Jewish rights," the quality of "exemption" seems to appear in most of them. Nowhere do the Jews proclaim their separateness as a people more than in their unceasing demands that they be treated differently than any other people and given privileges that no other people would dream of asking.

2. *The official recognition by City, State and Federal governments of the Jewish Religion.*

The Kehillah in its reports describes its efforts to obtain special recognition of Jewish holidays, in some cases going so far as to demand the continuance of pay to public employees who absent themselves at Yom Kippur, at the same time, opposing the continuance of pay to Catholic public employees who desired to observe the chief Lenten days. This is a peculiarly inconsistent form of the demand for "exemption" which has led to some interesting situations, to be dealt with later.

3. *The suppression of all references to Christ by City, State, and Federal authorities, in public documents or at public gatherings.*

Kehillah records show that the Jews of Oklahoma addressed a petition to the convention which formulated the first State constitution, protesting that the acknowledgement of Christ in the instrument would be repugnant to the Constitution of the United States. The record also shows that a Jewish rabbi protested against a governor of Arkansas using "a Christological expression" in his Thanksgiving Day proclamation.

4. *Official recognition of the Jewish Sabbath.*

The educational, cultural, business and industrial life of the United States is regulated with reference to Sunday as the legal day of rest. For over ten years the Kehillah has sought legislative recognition for Saturday. In the absence of official recognition, however, much public business is held up on account of jurors and others refusing to serve on Saturday. Jewish lawyers in the trial of cases are frequently "ill" on Saturdays. There is, of course, no objections to Jews recognizing their own Sabbath. This is their American privilege. But to make their Sabbath *the* Sabbath of all the people is another question. The Jews' chief objection to observance of Sunday is that it is "a Christological manifestation."

5. *The right of the Jews in this country to keep open their stores, factories and theatres, and to trade and work on the Christian Sunday.*

The Kehillah, through the Jewish Sabbath Alliance (Rabbi Bernard Drachman, president), is "promoting the observance of the Holy Sabbath in every possible way," through propaganda made to promote Sabbath sentiment, and the distribution of circulars and pamphlets to the Yiddish populations of New York City. Sabbath sentiment is unobjectionable, but it becomes anti-Sunday sentiment. The Sunday laws of the city are, therefore, often broken. Much agitation and ill feeling result. Kehillah records are full of the disagreeable conditions which this demand promotes.

6. *Elimination of Christmas celebrations in public schools and public places, police stations, and so on, public displays of Christmas trees, singing of Christmas carols and Christian hymns.*

Kehillah compelled the Council of University Settlement in New York City to adopt a resolution that in holiday celebrations held annually by the Kindergarten Association, Christmas trees, a Christmas program for celebration and the singing of Christmas songs be eliminated.

Kehillah records show that Jews petitioned the Chicago School Board, demanding that sectarian teachings in public schools and the singing of Christian hymns be discontinued.

Also that at the demand of a Jewish rabbi, three public school principals were compelled to omit all Christmas celebrations and the use of the Christmas tree in public schools.

7. *The removal from office or prosecution of all public persons who criticize the Jewish race, even where such action is in the public interest.*

Judge Otto A. Rosalsky, member of the Kehillah, announces that he will try to put through a bill for the prosecution of all persons who criticize the Jewish race.

Kehillah leaders at public meeting condemned City Magistrate Cornell for criticizing the East Side Yiddish Community because of the increase in criminality of Jewish youth, and demand his impeachment.

Leaders of New York Jewry succeed in having Police Commissioner Bingham removed from office by the Mayor because of his criticism of criminality among Russian-Polish Jews of New York City.

8. *The establishment of Bet Dins, or Jewish courts, in public courthouses.*

The Kehillah has succeeded in the establishment of a Bet Din in the Criminal Courts Building, New York, at which there presides the Rev. Dr. Aaron A. Yudelovitch, Chief Rabbi of the United States.

Kehillah records show that prominent Jews of Jersey City, Paterson, Newark, Bayonne and Hoboken have organized to establish Bet Dins in New Jersey.

9. *The right to eliminate from all schools and colleges all literature that is objected to by Jews.*

Objections sustained

Kehillah records show that Jews have prohibited the reading of the "Merchant of Venice" and Lamb's "Tales from Shakespeare" from schools throughout the country, including those in Galveston and El Paso, Texas; Cleveland, Ohio, and Youngstown, Ohio.

At the present time a cleaning of public library shelves is proceeding in a number of cities to prevent the public securing books which public money has bought – the objection to the books being that they discuss Jews as they are. All books in praise of Jews are spared.

10. *Prohibition of the term "Christian" or the use of the phrase "State, religion and nationality" in any public advertisement, as being an invasion of Jewish rights and a discrimination against Jews.*

Louis Marshall, as president of the American Jewish Committee, obtained apologies from Charles M. Schwab, as director of the United States Shipping Board; Benjamin Strong, governor of the Federal Reserve Bank and head of the Liberty Loan Committee; Secretary McAdoo and Secretary of War Baker, because of the use of the term *"Christian"* in help wanted advertisements inserted in newspapers by their subordinates.

The Jews succeeded in obtaining the withdrawal of the Junior Plattsburg Manual, used by students in officers' training camps, because it contained the phrase "the ideal officer is a *Christian* gentleman," which the Jews construed to be an infringement of their rights.

Freedom of association for Jews only?

The Kehillah in its report for 1920 stated that several important New York newspapers, having been informed by it that the term *"Christian"* had appeared in the help wanted advertisements of mercantile firms, the owners of the newspapers sent in their apologies, and promised stricter censorship in the future.

The Jews do not consider the use of the term "Jews" in help wanted advertisements as discrimination against non-Jews, and Jewish mercantile houses continue its use in their advertisements in the New York *Times* and other Jewish-owned dailies.

These are "Jewish rights" as they are indicated by Jewish demands. But they are by no means all; they are merely typical of all the so-called "rights" and all the insistent demands.

To go still further: the Kehillah condemned the use of the term "Americanization," because of the implication that there is no distinction between "Americanization" and "Christianization." "Americanization" is claimed by Jews to be a mere cloak for proselytizing.

The Kehillah is behind demands on public funds for the support of Jewish educational, charitable, correctional and other institutions. One important point about the great influx of Jewish immigration is that tens of thousands of these people come from lands where Jewish government has been established by order of the Peace Conference, and where public funds supported Jewish activities. Their attitude toward America in this respect may therefore be accurately gauged.

Other rules for them

It is a common practice in New York for the Jews to force themselves into juries which try Jewish cases. Jewish law students, with which the city swarms, "work their way through college" partly or wholly by jury duty.

Another "Jewish right" is that the Associated Press shall print what the Jews want printed and in exactly the tone the Jews desire. This is perhaps one of the factors in the loss of luster on the part of the Associated Press of late years, the feeling that it is too much under the influence of certain groups, which are not non-Jewish groups. Newspapermen all sense this; A.P. men throughout the country sense it; but they express it in newspaper terms; they say "The A.P. gives a New York coloring to everything." But the ingredients of the New York coloring are 85 per cent Jewish.

From a survey of the demands, these appear to be some of the "Jewish rights" which the Kehillah and the American Jewish Committee are organized to secure. And how far they say they have succeeded, we shall next see.

Killing Iran experts an act of desperation

presstv.ir

A senior Iranian cleric declares that the assassination of scientists and young elite by the CIA and Mossad shows the desperation of arrogant powers because of Iran's progress, and that they have reached a dead end.

"Those who claim to fight terrorism are themselves the leaders of terrorists and foster terrorists," said Tehran's interim Friday Prayers Leader Ayatollah Ahmad Jannati.

He added that the enemies have been brought to their knees in a manner that they have no choice but to resort to assassination and acts of terror.

"This is while they claimed they occupied Iraq and Afghanistan to fight terrorism," Ayatollah Jannati added.

The senior cleric stressed that the perpetrators of the assassination of scientist Mostafa Ahmadi Roshan must be brought to justice.

"This assassination was planned and carried out with the help of the CIA and Mossad and shows that global arrogance led by the U. S. and Zionists has reached a dead end when it comes to the pious, determined and progressive Iranian nation," he added.

Ayatollah Jannati emphasized that the assassination of Iranian scientists will not have the enemy's desired results as more young scientists will follow in the footsteps of Ahmadi Roshan.

Worshipers in Tehran listen to the Friday Prayers sermon.

On January 11, an unknown motorcyclist attached a magnetic bomb to the car of Iranian nuclear scientist Ahmadi Roshan near Allameh Tabatabaei University in Tehran. He was immediately killed and his driver, who sustained injuries, died a few hours later in a hospital.

Ahmadi Roshan is not the first Iranian nuclear scientist to become the victim of a terrorist attack.

On November 29, 2010, Professor Majid Shahriari and Dr. Fereydoun Abbasi were targeted by terrorist attacks; Shahriari was killed immediately and Dr. Abbasi, the current head of Iran's Atomic Energy Organization, only sustained injuries.

Iran says as the UN Resolution 1747, adopted against Tehran in March 2007, cited Abbasi's name as a "nuclear scientist," enabling the perpetrators to trace their victim.

According to reports, Ahmadi Roshan had recently met with IAEA inspectors, a fact which indicates the UN nuclear agency may have leaked information about Iran's nuclear facilities and scientists.

Stop the Boer genocide

South Africa revisited

Here's another boulevard that those media mothers of MLK myths dare not travel today.

By Matthew Heimbach
westernyouth.org

The Afrikaners of South Africa throughout history have proved themselves a resilient people. At the Weenen massacre, nearly 200 men, women and children were slaughtered by Zulu warriors. Despite watching friends and family be brutally stabbed to death in the bloodbath, the surviving Voortrekkers rallied and defeated the numerically superior Zulu forces. The battle of Blood River in which less than a thousand Afrikaners defeated nearly 20,000 Zulu warriors (killing three thousand of them) and taking only three wounded is the definition of overcoming seemingly insurmountable odds. In the Vryheidsoorloë or "Boer Wars" the White South Africans faced the might of the most powerful nation in the world, the British Empire. Nearly half a million British soldiers fought a genocidal war against the Boer civilian population to subjugate and conquer the Orange Free State and the Transvaal Republic. The Boers cost the British more in money and lives than any war from 1815 to the First World War through a tenacious defense of their homeland. A "scorched earth" policy had to be used to starve the Boers into submission. British forces bragged they were turning Transvaal into a "smoking desert" by destroying crops, homes and livestock of the civilian population. The British Empire starved close to 30,000 Boer women and children to death in the first concentration camps by withholding food, hygienic supplies and medicine from the civilians. It would appear by looking at the historical record that the Afrikaners could seemingly overcome any opposition, but the sad truth is that in South Africa today the proud and noble Afrikaner people are in the midst of extermination.

Unparalleled contributions

White South Africans gave years of selfless sacrifice to the world. From feeding the starving citizens of West Berlin in the Berlin airlift, battling in the air alongside Rhodesian pilots to stop the communist takeover of South Korea, being the only nation on earth to choose to disarm all of its stockpiles of nuclear weapons, and gave an honest chance to the Black majority to help build a republic that respected all human rights they continued to show good faith both domestically and abroad.

Strong Christian values have long been the bedrock of Afrikaner society. The Afrikaners banned abortion while they were in power (only to be repealed by the Black majority in 1994), did not allow the sale of pornography, and even closed businesses on the Sabbath Day in accordance with Christian law. Moves against rampant gambling and homosexuality stopped the spread of moral decay within Afrikaner society while initiatives aimed at promoting the family and traditional values helped strengthen the culture and community.

Stood their ground

Afrikaners have also played a role on the global stage due to the strong anti-communist stance they took from the earliest days of the Cold War. South Africa stood as a bulwark against the spread of Soviet style communism through the African continent. Americans even approached South Africa to help the Contras of Nicaragua in the mid 1980s. South Africa steadfastly stood behind Taiwan against Communist China under Afrikaner rule and against communist nations across the globe. As nations around the world cowered at the USSR, South Africa remained defiant against the might of Russia and those behind the Iron Curtain.

The worst fears of the so-called "radicals" of the White minority that were discounted in the early 1990s now have come true.

What resulted in South Africa under the communist-backed African National Congress instead of a multicultural paradise has become a land in which White citizens have been placed under animalistic attacks. The *Plaas Moorde* or "Farm murders" has resulted in the slaughter of thousands of White farmers based purely on the color of their skin. The barbaric culture of this "new" South Africa places it as one of the most dangerous places in the world. The danger to women of being sexually assaulted is staggering "One in four men in South Africa have admitted to rape and many confess to attacking more than one victim." The women of South Africa now have a higher chance of being raped than learning how to read. Murder rates following the end of apartheid are also some of the highest in the world especially in comparison to the United States. "Interpol recorded the per capita murder rate in the USA as six per 100,000, while in South Africa it was 59 per 100,000."

Multicultural world

On top of the record high crime rates, South Africa is currently in a state of "functional decay" according to experts. "30% of roads are in a bad or very bad condition… the average age of the locomotives is 35 years… the country's water purification works show that some 56% of the 821 plants are either in a critical situation or do not function properly. This implies that millions of liters of sewage waste – not treated or purified – are dumped in rivers and streams."

South Africa's prison system has the second highest percentage of prisoners per capita of any nation. This is unsurprising considering South Africa having the highest percentage of "assaults, rapes, and murders with firearms in the world." Rape, sodomy, drugs, and the nearly 20 percent HIV infection rate in the civilian population has resulted in 41.4 percent of inmates having AIDS or HIV.

Marxist-created genocide

In South Africa in this age of rampant self inflicted disease (AIDS), murder, rape, and deplorable conditions for infrastructure how could it get any worse? If you are an Afrikaner you not only face the threats of South African society at large, you are facing the very extermination of your people. The Afrikaner language of Afrikaans, culture and people are being driven to the brink of oblivion, with hardly a dissenting voice coming from the leadership of South Africa. This silence is not due to the government not caring about the plight of Afrikaners, it is due to the fact they are the ones who have orchestrated the systematic slaughter of the White minority.

Media myths vs. reality

The terrorist organization the African National Congress which took power in 1994 has been waging a war against the Whites of South Africa for decades. Men like Nelson Mandela who are regularly featured as grandfatherly figures by the liberal media are in reality bloodthirsty terrorists. One of the few accurate things from the Clint Eastwoods film "Invictus" was the line spoken by an Afrikaner following the release of terrorist Nelson Mandela from prison and his ascendancy to the Presidency of South Africa "This is the day our country went to the dogs." Communists and Black supremacists form the bedrock of the new South African government. Former Chinese political prisoner and human rights activist Harry Wu said of the second post-apartheid South African President that "Thabo Mbeki is definitely a communist. Recently, he went to Cuba to meet with Castro, and he was trained in Moscow."

Down the memory hole

Nelson Mandela has far more in common with the thugs behind the Rwandan genocide than the heroic freedom fighter he is touted to be. Mandela supported a brutal campaign of terrorist violence that targeted men, women and children based simply on the color of their skin. Few remember that he was offered freedom by the South African government if only he would renounce violence; he declined the offer on multiple occasions. In his book, *Long Walk to Freedom*, Mandela admits that he authorized the Church Street Bombing in 1983. Mandela who was serving time in prison for prior terrorist activities, signed off on the bombing which killed nearly two dozen and wounded over two hundred. This was not the first time that the armed wing of the ANC *Umkhonto we Sizwe* (Spear of the Nation) had attacked and killed civilians nor would it be the last. From 1961 onward *Umkhonto we Sizwe*, the African National Congress and the South African Communist Party were united and dedicated to overthrowing the Afrikaners by any brutal tactics. From Johannesburg to Roodepoort the organization that Nelson Mandela supported regularly abducted, tortured, abused, and murdered innocents to advance political goals.

It is rather astonishing that the the supposed reason for the armed Black murder campaign was due to the "Sharpeville Massacre" when one evaluates the facts. Before the event in Sharpeville one must consider that only two months prior at the Cato Manor massacre nine police officers had been beaten, tortured, murdered, and had their genitals cut off by a Black mob over the police trying to seize liquor. In a final act of barbarism, the dead and mutilated officers were dragged through the streets by a large Black mob. In Sharpeville two months later, it is logical to assume that the mostly rookie police officers who manned the police station would be concerned about a mob of thousands of angry protesters outside their police station doing the same brutal actions that were done on their comrades only weeks before. The mob would not disperse and began pressing against the fence of the police station. No one is exactly sure what happened next; some claim shots from the crowd were fired while others blame a jumpy police cadet with dropping his pistol which resulted in its accidental discharge. What can reasonably be assumed was either self defense or a tragic accident became known throughout the ANC and the worldwide liberal media as the worst atrocity imaginable. This distinctive part of the narrative allowed the murderous criminals of the ANC to claim themselves the injured party for eternity, while the Afrikaners of South Africa dig new graves daily for police officers, soldiers and White civilians killed by the ANC. To this day everyone remembers Sharpeville, but few go to the graves of the thousands of Whites who have perished at the direct hand of terrorists who kill indiscriminately with knives, guns and bombs.

Dreamy liberals bought it

Their slaughter of innocent White South Africans did not stop with the fall of the Afrikaner government in 1994. Whites were assured by men like Mandela that the violence would surely end when Whites gave up their power and allowed Black majority rule. Whenever interviews were given in English, the interviewee would preach love, tolerance, and acceptance of a White minority. But, after the cameras were off, when members of the ANC were surrounded by comrades, in native African languages they would frequently sing and discuss the slaughter of Whites.

The inevitable happened

As South Africa's infrastructure fell to pieces and went from being a First World country down the tubes towards collapse, the Afrikaner genocide began in earnest. Instead of remaining a simple terrorist organization, the Communist Party of South Africa and the African National Congress in 1994 were given the keys to the country. Christian laws such as those against abortion were quickly removed and those who had been behind numerous murders and car bombings swiftly became government officials. Songs such as "Kill the Boer" were sung by high-ranking government leaders like ANC Youth League Leader Julius Malema. Malema not only made jokes about female rape victims and insulted reporters, but also frequently went on anti-Afrikaner tirades that gained international attention. After the White minority cried foul at that blatant hate speech, the ANC defended its members by singing songs about wiping out all Whites in South Africa.

Rethinking White stupidity

Since the end of apartheid, close to ten percent of the White farmers of South Africa have now been murdered. The *over three thousand* murders generally involve torture, rape and violent executions. These are not crimes of robbery, because in most cases nothing is ever stolen besides the lives of the farmers and their families. Genocide Watch, the international monitor of genocide around the globe, recently upgraded the South African genocide against Whites to a stage six genocide, one step before "mass exterminations commence." White South Africans in recent years have had government and police protection removed and instead are left to fend for themselves.

Self defensive actions by the Afrikaners seem to not even slow down the vile spread of these murders. The situation is so bad

Order extra copies of
The First Freedom
Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon on page 24
Let's roll!

that Afrikaners have even been invited to come to the nation of Georgia as a safe haven against the murders. Afrikaners, due to post-apartheid affirmative action, find themselves as Whites severely cut off from getting work in towns and cities. Major companies were originally forced to fire over ten percent of their work force based purely upon skin color. Over time this anti White discrimination has only increased. Small businesses that are owned by Whites become frequently robbed or vandalized by Blacks. Government land seizures of White-owned property, some of which has been in families for four centuries, is outright robbery at gunpoint. Following the example of Mugabe's land seizures in the former State of Rhodesia, in which farm "food production dropped 70%," the destruction of the bread basket of South Africa is beginning to take a great toll there as well. Not only are farmers slaughtered, but the government is seizing land from under the survivors as "reparations" for ANC-described "injustices."

While liberal hearts bleed

Each day grows darker for the honorable Afrikaners of South Africa. After facing genocide by the British Empire, external communist threats, bombings by radicals in their own country and betrayal by the world, it looks as if the Afrikaner people are facing their demise. At the hands of mobs led by the ANC and murderers like Nelson Mandela who are hell bent on the rape and murder of every White in South Africa, the Afrikaners are at a crossroads. They need the support of the world to resist this extinction of their unique Afrikaner culture and stop the war that is causing the extinction of their White minority. This is why the Towson chapter of Youth for Western Civilization has dedicated the month of February as "Afrikaner Genocide Awareness Month," our attempt to bring awareness to the community. Without assistance, soon the land of South Africa will be devoid of Afrikaners and in their place only White crosses will be left as silent tribute to the murder of an entire people while the world silently watched.

Matthew W. Heimbach is President of the Towson University Youth for Western Civilization chapter and a graduate of Montgomery College. He is studying to be a teacher with a focus on American History.

The First Freedom
is for someone you know.

NYPD charge Jewish man with anti-Semitic threats

By Doug Auer
nypost.com

A Manhattan man was arrested on Jan. 16 for making anti-Semitic calls to his mother and other elderly women – and is also suspected of scrawling hate symbols in a heavily Orthodox Brooklyn neighborhood, *The Post* has learned.

David Haddad, 56, of Chelsea, allegedly snarled, "All Jews should die and go to hell," when he called his 80-year-old mother on Dec. 11 at her Brooklyn home in Sheepshead Bay.

He also went on vitriolic rants when he dialed an 87-year-old woman on Jan. 11 and phoned a 78-year-old woman on Jan. 12, sources said.

His relationship to those women was not immediately clear.

Haddad, who is Jewish but not practicing, is also suspected of scribbling hate symbols on five apartment doors in a Hells Kitchen building on Jan. 10, sources added.

He was arrested at his job as a building manager, police said.

Haddad had worked for his family's business – the import/export of socks and underwear – in Linden, NJ, but left to start an off-shoot company, sources said.

That venture recently failed and Haddad began lashing out at family members and others, sources added.

His economic misfortune is being eyed as a possible motive, sources said.

As soon as he was taken into custody, Haddad secured a lawyer and made no statements or admissions to detectives, sources said.

Haddad is also a suspect in the Swastika-scrawling spree discovered over the weekend in the Midwood section of Brooklyn, sources said.

At the time, Haddad had been staying at his mother's place – which is not far from where the hate symbols were found, sources added.

Cops received a call at 10:45 PM on Saturday about an Avenue L home whose garage door had been painted with a large swastika, two smaller ones and the words "Die Jews."

More graffiti was found on the front door of a home across the street, sources said.

Police also found several swastikas painted on the stairs and front door of an East 5th Street apartment building and on the basement stairs of an Ocean Parkway yeshiva.

Each attack was carried out in black spray paint.

My apologies

By James Edwards
thepoliticalcesspool.org

This is kind of difficult for me to talk about; I've been putting it off most of the day now. But I can't put it off any longer. I really need to get this off my chest.

Yesterday I posted something which I now deeply regret. I linked to a story about the powers that be here in Memphis wanting to rename a major street in honor of Martin Luther King in order to promote healing. And I mocked the idea that a street named after Martin Luther King would somehow promote healing.

Now, I could sit here and make excuses: I'm very busy; I have a young, growing family, I work a full time job, I write this blog, and I have a radio show which requires hours of work to stay on top of, and sometimes I get in a hurry and post things without thinking. All those are true. And they're all nothing but hollow attempts to rationalize the inexcusable things I said.

And I want to thank all my readers who wrote in to show me the error of my ways.

Joe, from Texas, wrote in to tell me about his nephew who got lost one night, and wound up getting shot on MLK Boulevard in Dallas, and is now healing from his bullet wounds.

Shaneequa from Louisiana wrote to tell me about her son who got stabbed while buying drugs on Martin Luther King Avenue in New Orleans; he's now healing from his knife wounds.

Frank, in New England, wrote about his niece making a wrong turn and getting caught in the crossfire of a gang shootout on Martin Luther King Street in his neck of the woods. She was shot seven times; doctors say her healing will take years.

I was way out of line.

Obviously, naming streets after Martin Luther King does foster healing, and lots of it. Again, my abject apologies.

Oslo, the Crux

By S. H. Pearson
reveenotam@aol.com

Multi-culturalism is just another made-up Jewish word for multi-racialism. Which amounts to what happens to three-flavour ice cream when you whisk it all together.

This what you ordered?

It becomes a myucky shade of, well – myuck. And you can't taste the flavours anymore.

Case in point for Mister Race Traitor: how is it that you can spend two grand for a pure breed puppy (a few million for a pure breed horse), and yet you can't give away a mongrel pup at Wal-Mart?

Hey, Mister Race Traitor, why is it that they are exterminating millions of mongrel puppies at the pound? Is it because people desire mystery mutts along with their predictable behaviours and aptitudes?

His Dream

What do you think Mister Race Traitor would do with a human equivalent in the future? Think "global" slave plantation – with Mister Race Traitor and Company at the top, calling shots.

Mr. Race Traitor *et al* admonish *their* children to only mix blood with others of *their* breed. Mind you, it is their creed. Yet they push "multi-culturalism" for everyone else. Why do you suppose?

The Scandinavians, Germans, Dutch, Swiss, English, Scottish, Italian, Spanish, Irish, Welsh… (to name only a few) are of a breed. They come with distinct cultures. And deserve the right to preserve them. No one will abolish the thoroughbreds.

EDITOR'S NOTE

The website http://racetraitor.org/ begins: "RACE TRAITOR - treason to whiteness is loyalty to humanity," and further elaborates its passion as

journal of the new abolitionism

This article addresses that rant.

Signs of change

Norwegians have been getting fed up of late with having multi-culturalism shoved down their throats. Also, they are getting riled about what the Jews are doing in Palestine. The whole literate world is now riled with the rape of Palestine by terrorist Israeli Jews. Particularly moved are the young who wake from TV-trances. Their unison sentiment threatens to become a roaring lion.

So the Mossad hit squad has its work cut out (intimidation mission-wise). I believe Israel was involved in the Oslo bombing and perhaps also the shootings. Too many dead bodies for one shooter it seems to me. It has the same "feel" to it as the Virginia Tech (Cho) killings and a subsequent rash of others that followed in the USA. One thing you'll never see them publish is the ballistics. Oh, hell no.

Spray can publishers

Besides, the Jewish media have already been caught in their initial fabrications concerning the accused Norwegian man. The stuff they posted on facebook about him was blatant rubbish. Alternative media is all over it. Busted yet again. You lying jackals.

Public records are easily falsified today. Less and less is on paper. Why do you think there is a hard push for "going paperless?" All a geek has to do now is change a few words in a database. The sky is the limit for the lies they put in public records. Been there. Seen that. By the direction of his high-paying handlers, a hacker enjoys full government benefits. Because only with government approval can they do what I have seen.

I believe that the voluminous text posted on Facebook which was attributed to Eric Harris (Columbine shootings) was written by a much older boy. That did not read like callow bravado. For it carried a sinister gloat factor, wielding sentences beyond the polish of a high school kid. But I digress.

I Have a Deram...

Do de media myth think it gonna ram dis draem down our throats forever?

thepoliticalcesspool.org

We hear a lot about "the dream" this time of year (and the draem and the deram). Well, we can all dream that one day people will wake up and find out the truth about Martin Luther King.

These folks were no doubt a product of the public school system that King helped create.

Yes, it's real. You can read about it on Snopes. And notice their informing us that neither the cameraman nor editor caught those misspellings before they went on the air, obviously implying that, if they had've, they wouldn't have broadcast them.

Because the media long ago ceased pretending to be interested in objective reporting, now those news flunkies see their job as enforcing political correctness.

None but a virtuous people capable of self-government

The "ancient principles" of true republican government recalled by our Founding Fathers are totally lost upon those imposters in the District of Corruption today, so let us evict them.

By John Peeples
firstfreedom.net

Thomas Jefferson was probably first to have discovered and revived the "ancient principles" of self-government. That list of distinguished patriots would also include such memorable names as James Madison, Benjamin Franklin, Samuel Adams, John Adams, John Jay, Alexander Hamilton, George Wythe and James Wilson.

By the time Jefferson reached manhood he was proficient in five languages, having studied Greek and Roman classics along with European and English history. He had carefully studied both the Old and New Testaments.

Taking in the history of ancient Israel, Jefferson made a significant discovery. He noted that at one time the Israelites had practiced the earliest and most efficient form of representative government. While following a fixed pattern of constitutional norms they flourished, but by drifting off course disaster overtook them. Jefferson thereafter referred to this pattern as the constitutional "ancient principles."

Others caught on

Jefferson was also surprised to find that the Anglo-Saxons had somehow got hold of some of these "ancient principles" and followed a pattern almost identical to that of the Israelites until the eighth century AD. When writing his drafts for the Virginia Constitution Jefferson already emphasized a need to return to the "ancient principles." Franklin and Jefferson later wrote that these were the "wisest and most perfect ever yet devised by the wit of man."

Jefferson stated it was from the Anglo-Saxons that "We claim the honor of being descended and whose political principles and form of government we have assumed."

Historically, the Founders knew they were chartering new territory. In the past, ninety-nine percent of the human race had lived out their lives under what might be described as Ruler's Law, which form of government allowed total authority and power to rest with the central government. The ruler or ruling group makes, interprets and enforces the law. And that was James Madison's definition of tyranny when he said, "The accumulation of all powers – legislative, executive and judiciary – in the same hands, whether of one, a few or many, and whether hereditary, self-appointed or elective, may justly be pronounced the very definition of tyranny." Ruler's Law comes under different names: a monarchy, autocracy, plutocracy, aristocracy, military dictatorship, oligarchy or empire.

The Founders had studied Ruler's Law and grasped its chief characteristics. They knew the long history of such a regime is one of blood and terror, both anciently and in modern times. Those in power revel in luxury while the lot of the common people is perpetual poverty, excessive taxation, stringent regulations and a continuous existence of misery.

The Founders considered Ruler's Law the greatest enemy of self-government and freedom that had ever been contrived by the mind of man.

A better way

The very opposite of Ruler's Law is People's Law. Under People's Law – at the balanced center of the Founders' political spectrum – governmental power on every level comes from the people themselves.

It will be recalled that Jefferson had already discovered the basic pattern for a model constitution by studying two ancient peoples having both lived under People's Law. He found that ancient Israel was the first nation in history to have had a system of representative government under the leadership of Moses, who learned how to organize the Israelites under People's Law with the advice of his father-in-law Jethro (see Exodus Ch. 18); then that 1,500 years later the Anglo-Saxons were living under a system which was almost identical.

When a time came for the United States of America to adopt their constitution, our forefathers modeled it after the Israelite system of administration.

What the Founders learned

As we analyze the administrative details of People's Law under ancient Israel, what emerges is that numerous principles of that government were not only identical to the Anglo-Saxon model but equally applicable today. Here are some of the more obvious aspects of their culture as reorganized in accord with that system recommended by Jethro.

- They were set up as a commonwealth of freemen. The whole emphasis of Israel's new system was reflected in the words: "Proclaim liberty throughout all the land unto all the inhabitants thereof" (Leviticus 25:10). This famous quotation is on the Liberty Bell in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Fundamental to the entire system of People's Law was a strong commitment to a very basic code of solid morality. As the Founding Fathers laid heavy emphasis on that aspect of constitutional government in a free society, Benjamin Franklin stated it succinctly:

> "Only a virtuous people are capable of freedom. As nations become corrupt and vicious, they have more need of masters."

And John Adams was equally explicit:

> "Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other."

Samuel Adams added this final warning:

> "Neither the wisest constitution nor the wisest laws will secure the liberty and happiness of a people whose manners are universally corrupt."

A nation united

- The Israelites under People's Law were organized in small, manageable units where all of the adults had a voice and a vote.
- Major emphasis was placed on strong local self-government.
- Honest money was based on silver and gold according to weight, requiring strict measurements (Deuteronomy 25: 13-15).
- The land was looked upon as a private stewardship among the people, nothing of government.
- Property rights were protected.
- The rights to life and personal liberty were inalienable.
- All leaders were selected by consent of a majority of the people (2 Samuel 2:4; 1st Chronicles 29:22; rejection of a leader, 2nd Chronicles 10:16).
- All laws came into force only when approved by a majority of the people or their representatives (Exodus 19:8).
- Accused persons were presumed to be innocent until proven guilty. Evidence had to be powerful enough to remove any question of doubt. Borderline cases were decided in favor of the accused and left to the judgement of God (for example, see the law of witnesses, Deuteronomy 19:15).
- The entire code of justice was based primarily on reparation to the victim, not fines and punishment by the commonwealth (see reference to this procedure in Exodus chapters 21 and 22. The one crime for which no satisfaction could be given was first-degree murder. The penalty was death (Numbers 35:31).
- The main thrust of government was from the people upward; only in time of temporary crisis was it from government down. (The Founders included this in the Constitution under the war powers.)
- The government was required to operate according to principles of law, not the whims of men.
- Because this system expressed the will of a majority of the people, it allowed the transfer of power from one administration to another by peaceful means.

Closer to home

All of this now leads us to Jefferson's second field of careful study – the Anglo-Saxons.

During the 1700s one of the most fascinating and popular studies in England and America was unraveling the mystery of the Anglo-Saxons. Even today it still remains a popular theme.

The Anglo-Saxons came from around the Black Sea in the first century BC and spread all across Northern Europe. In fact they were the best organized and governed people of their day. They not only conquered and intermarried with the royal families of every Northern European country, but set out in open boats to chase the Irish out of Iceland, discover Greenland and establish temporary settlements in what is now Canada.

Hengist and Horsa landing in England

But the most important thing about that culture to Jefferson, Franklin, John Adams and others studying them was their almost identical institutions of constitutional government compared with ancient Israel.

The Anglo-Saxons first brought their culture to Britain around AD 450 when two brothers, Hengist and Horsa, answered the King of Kent's invitation to bring their relatives into Southern Britain and fight off his enemies. The Anglo-Saxons proved not only successful in this military venture, they liked Britain rather well and decided to stay, before long virtually taking over the island and changing its name to England (Anglo-land or Engel-land).

Detective work

As pointed out above, Jefferson became remarkably proficient in five languages, one of them that of his ancestors the Anglo-Saxons. He had endeavored in this study to peruse their laws in the original, discovering thereby not only the consistent presence of People's Law, but its very close relation to the organizing and governing principles as laid down by Moses. He made copies of the Anglo-Saxon laws and sent some of them to friends along with his own translations.

Many have considered the Yinglings, or Anglo-Saxons, as a branch of the ancient Israelites, or at least that they included one part of the Israelites, because they arrived from where the Ten Tribes disappeared, the Black Sea, and had preserved those same institutions of government as given to the Israelites at Mount Sinai.

Although those Anglo-Saxon laws were beginning to erode after the eighth century, nevertheless a great many of their better features survived and continued operating right up until the Norman conquest in 1066 AD. By that time, many years of war had compelled the Anglo-Saxons to confederate under a king, who was, however, as yet an elected monarch rather than a hereditary ruler, and furthermore closely controlled by the Witen – or Anglo-Saxon parliament.

But, as with kings through all the ages, by 1066 AD this centralization of power had begun abusing far too much authority.

When the law books of both England and colonial America became crammed with bad procedures, unjust practices and cruel punishments, those earlier statutes of the Anglo-Saxons came to our Founders as a breath of fresh air. Here were the "ancient principles" they would employ to great advantage in developing their new success formula.

Honoring national republics

As already observed, Thomas Jefferson deemed the governmental system of those Anglo-Saxons "the wisest and most perfect ever yet devised by the wit of man."

A short time after our States had adopted the Declaration of Independence, Thomas Jefferson, Benjamin Franklin and John Adams were assigned to formulate an official seal for the new government. After a brief discussion they decided that both of these historic peoples, the Anglo-Saxons and Israelites, should be represented on the Great Seal of the United States.

Here is Franklin's description of the way he thought to portray ancient Israel:

> "Moses standing on the shore, and extending his hand over the sea, thereby causing the same to overwhelm Pharaoh who is sitting in an open chariot, a crown on his head and a sword in his hand. Rays from a pillar of fire in the clouds reaching to Moses, to express that he acts by command of the Deity. Motto: *Rebellion to tyrants is obedience to God*."

John Adams described Jefferson's idea as "Mr. Jefferson proposed: The children

> of Israel in the Wilderness, led by a cloud by day, and a pillar of fire by night, and on the other side Hengist and Horsa, the Saxon chiefs, from whom we claim the honour of being descended and whose political principles and form of government we have assumed."

Professor Gilbert Chinard, one of the distinguished biographers of Jefferson, says:

> "Jefferson's great ambition at the time was to promote a renaissance of Anglo-Saxon primitive institutions on the new continent. Thus presented, the American Revolution was nothing but the reclamation of the Anglo-Saxon birthright of which the colonists had been deprived by 'a long train of abuses.' Nor does it appear that there was anything in this theory which surprised or shocked his contemporaries; Adams apparently did not disapprove of it, and it would be easy to bring in many similar expressions of the same idea in documents of the time."

Congress did not immediately adopt any official seal, and, as time went by, other committees were appointed. Eventually Congress adopted a simpler seal consisting of an American eagle on one side and an unfinished pyramid of thirteen steps on the other (for the thirteen original colonies). Just above the eagle's head, thirteen stars likewise represent the colonies. The pyramid insignia was copied from the fifty-dollar bill of the Continental currency used in the Revolutionary War. At the bottom of the pyramid were inscribed Roman numerals for 1776, and the popular, all-seeing eye of the Creator was placed over the pyramid, symbolizing the providential power which the Founders felt had continually interceded in behalf of the cause of freedom during the war for independence.

Two classical Latin mottos appeared on

the seal, one, ANNUIT COEPTIS – *He (God) hath favored our undertaking* – and the other, NOVUS ORDO SECLORUM – *the New Order of the Ages*, or *the Beginning of a New Age*.

The Founders knew their classics such as Plato, Aristotle, Cicero, Sir Edward Coke, Francis Bacon, Richard Hooker, Thomas Hobbes, John Locke, Baron Charles de Montesquieu, Algernon Sidney, Sir William Blackstone and Adam Smith. They also knew their history – Biblical, Greek, Roman, European and American. From all of these valuable sources sorting out the best and most enduring for the prosperity and peace of a free people under a republican system of self-government, the foundation they chose was the "ancient Biblical principles" of the Israelites and Anglo-Saxons.

Recall what Jefferson had declared in referring to that governmental system the Anglo-Saxons and Israelites had practiced: "the wisest and most perfect ever yet devised by the wit of man."

So this New Order of the Ages became a renaissance of Anglo-Saxon primitive institutions on the new continent, since it reclaimed for the colonist his Anglo-Saxon birthright denied him by "a long train of abuses."

Rewards of hanging tough

John Jay, the Supreme Court's first Chief Justice, had this to remark: "I have… often taken notice that Providence has been pleased to give this one connected country to one united people – a people descended from the same ancestors, speaking the same language, professing the same religion, attached to the same principles of government, very similar in their manners and customs, and who by their joint counsels, arms, and effects, fighting side by side throughout a long and bloody war, have nobly established their general liberty and independence."

The Founders understood that lasting nations arise organically from religion, people, soil and tradition.

It is time we returned to these "ancient principles." Thus saith the LORD, Stand ye in the ways, and see, and ask for the old paths, where *is* the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls (Jeremiah 6:16).

The First Freedom
isn't for everybody. But you know someone who needs it.

A final note

TFF readers are well aware (I hope), and know the Jews of today are *not* in any way, shape, form or fashion the ancient Israelites of the Bible. Our Founding Fathers were aware of this. Benjamin Franklin spent a total of sixteen years in England seeking reconciliation between the colonies and England. He was well aware of those Jewish banking houses in Europe and the designs of international Jewry. See the **TFF** Dec. 2011 article on Ben Franklin. The decline and fall of the U. S. today is because Washington and Franklin's warning about the international Jew was not heeded.

U. S. actions make China-Russia alliance appealing

peopledaily.com.cn

As U. S. aircraft carrier groups gather in the Arabian Sea, a showdown between Iran and the West is rumbling on. If a war erupts, this will be another upshot of the U. S. pursuit of absolute national security.

Mainstream forces in Washington are trying to sell a ludicrous standpoint to the American people: that it is worthwhile to bear financial costs and even lose some lives to confront lurking dangers to U. S. security in the Middle East.

This is not a rational analysis, but rather a pious belief in U. S. politics. With an appetite for national security causes, the U. S. becomes increasingly assiduous in removing potential challenges, having somewhat defused two powder kegs: Iraq and Afghanistan. Now it is preparing to confront Iran with an air strike.

Such a demonstration of armed might makes powers like Russia and China increasingly nervous. By stirring other powers' insecurity, the U. S. is undermining its own interests. Its security paranoia instills many uncertainties into global dynamics and into the U. S. itself.

Such a mentality may sooner or later lead to a U. S. clash with Russia and China. So far Moscow and Beijing are restrained, though NATO seeks to expand its strategic presence in East Europe and as the U. S. strengthens its military alliances in Asia. But the two cannot fall back forever.

In both countries, people now advocate a Moscow-Beijing "alliance." The two do have countermeasures against the U. S., and they are capable of deterring U. S. allies. History shows that any power having an inflated ego usually ends badly.

India, Iran to trade in rupees

presstv.ir

Iran and India have agreed to settle part of their annual USD 12-billion oil trade in rupees as a means of circumventing new U. S. sanctions against Iran's oil and financial sectors.

An Indian government source told Reuters that the two countries have been using a Turkish bank as intermediary to settle part of the payment for more than a year but still face problems in paying for Iran's oil.

Other options planned

"[In the new system,] the Central Bank of Iran will open an account with an Indian bank for receiving the payment…. The system will start working soon," the source who spoke on condition of anonymity said.

The source did not specify the name of the Indian bank, but other sources have said that Iran could open an account with India's UCO Bank as it does not have any U. S. ties.

Indian refiners began using Turkey's Halkbank in July 2011 to pay for Iranian oil but this route could be vulnerable to the new U. S. measures.

The source, however, added that in addition to rupee payments, Indian refiners will continue to make payments through the current mechanism using Halkbank "as long as it continues."

Negotiations underway

An Indian delegation was in Tehran last month discussing possible payment options. The source continued that the decision to pay in rupees was made after a meeting there.

The government source went on to say that Iran has agreed to step up imports from India, which added up to some USD 2.7 billion in 2010-11 including rice and tea.

"This will cushion them (Iran) to some extent from exchange rate volatility," the source added.

India is the world's fourth-largest oil consumer country which supplies about 12% of its oil imports, or 350,000-400,000 barrels per day, from Iran. This makes the country Tehran's second-biggest oil customer after China.

The U. S. and its European allies accuse Iran of having a covert military nuclear program and have convinced the U. N. Security Council to pass four rounds of sanctions against the country.

Arm-twisting as usual

On December 31, 2011, U. S. President Barack Obama signed into law new sanctions which aim to penalize countries dealing with Iran's Central Bank or importing Iran's crude.

Tehran has vehemently rejected all such accusations saying that as signatory to the Non-Proliferation Treaty it has full right to the peaceful use of nuclear energy.

Two kinds of media at a crossroads

What happens when their love of money meets our money of love?

By Olaf Childress
editor@firstfreedom.net

A strange letter came in the other day. Nothing unusual in that, for we are a band of terrorists trying whatever might work, and dedicated to the proposition uttered by one of those Bilcoxes shortly before giving up on trying to fool us: "Never give up!"

It was printed by hand, double-spaced in bold block letters, every "t" exaggerated in size to look like a crucifix, the message observing that "Politicians [like actors and celebrities] are trained liars who perform for their elitist 'masters & controllers!' Trust a politician? There is not one honest man or woman in the 'congress' of Washington, D. C.! Solutions, for those of God only…"

So far, no problem; but a great many of us outlaws have learned to regard unsigned messages with reservation. Then followed its advice to print our own money, pay no taxes and check out a certain brand new website as of January 12, 2012, which I did. With the usual *chutzpah* coming from those who never give up, here's what that online casuist expounded further:

"Who in their right mind would be so bold as to predict the end of money and banking as we've known it (besides yours truly, that is)?

"Well, how about the Governor of the Bank of England?

> There is no reason products and services could not be swapped directly by consumers and producers through a system of direct exchange – essentially a massive barter economy. All it requires is some commonly used unit of account and adequate computing power to make sure all transactions could be settled immediately. People would pay each other electronically, without the payment being routed through anything that we would currently recognize as a bank. Central banks in their present form would no longer exist – nor would money.
>
> – Mervyn King – Governor of the Bank of England

"You see, even the insiders can see the writing on the wall."

Yes, some of us *are* aware of what you would like to prin† with great †s on *our* wailing wall!

Problem is, we've already *got* what this King of England is calling for. Many have already gone to paperless banking. "Trust us" money transaction is yet another step toward those royalists' wet dream: a river of no return that meanders through their global plantation pouring whatever portion of our wealth desired into a bottomless pit. They merely wish to entrap the rest of us under that same contract.

Admittedly, we can't run a business, nor even *do* business, without some medium of exchange, as barter only works in heroic and temporary situations. But let's not get this cart in front of its horse. *First pray for guidance* before attempting any program to remove those counterfeiters' fingers out of our pockets. All that's needed and which they have no power against is a miracle.

Secondly, *this time* remember the Giver of your deliverance. We can win, always, but let us instruct self-government to one another and the world by example only.

Which indicates keeping under control also those *other* media responsible for an exchange – of ideas. With a little help from each of you, this newspaper can do its part toward such goals. Please assist in sending the following message out across our land:

Ask me about
The First Freedom
a rebel newspaper

Add it to one corner of all exchanges, or on your back windshield; make a placard, badge, bumper sticker, decal, anything you can think of – and manage. Many thanks!

When did we, the people, waive our rights?

No way to win, says this writer, but sometimes it's all in the game. "Know your enemy and yourself and you can be victorious in a thousand battles." He's getting to know them better every day. It's a target rich environment.

By Lt. Colonel Donald Sullivan (Ret)
aloe910@aol.com

IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA SOUTHERN DIVISION
CASE NO. 7:11-CV-238-D
LTC Donald Sullivan
Plaintiff, *sui juris* and *de jure*
v
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA; et al
Defendants

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO STRIKE AND FOR SANCTIONS
TO: THE HONORABLE COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA:

Now come I, Lt. Col. Donald Sullivan, a man on the land, appearing *sui juris* and specially but not generally for myself and all others similarly situated, with all my rights preserved without prejudice, pursuant to common law and the Constitutions of North Carolina and the United States of America, to respond to the attorneys for the STATE Defendants, STATE, Hockenberry and Kilroy, and request the court to deny said motions.

I again ask the court to consider the substance of my pleadings and arguments and not the form; as the filings of a litigant acting on his own behalf, such as myself, are not to be held to the same stringent standards as those of a practicing lawyer, pursuant to *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 US 519, 92 S.Ct. 594 and *Platsky v. C.I.A.*, 953 F.2d. 25. Further, as seen in *Picking v. Pennsylvania R. Co.* 151 Fed, 2nd 240 and *Pucket v. Cox* 456 2nd 233, such pleadings are to be considered without regard to technicality. Additionally, as I am appearing as myself without representation, I pray that I be given reasonable opportunity to remedy any and all defects in my pleadings or filings. *Reynoldson v Shillinger* 907F. 2d 124, 126 (10th Cir. 1990); See also *Jaxon v Circle K. Corp.* 773 F.2d 1138. 1140 1985) (1).

Facts and Arguments

The STATE Defendants, by and through their attorneys, David J. Adinolfi II, Special Deputy Attorney General, and Roy Cooper, Attorney General, have submitted to this court pleadings entitled "Motion to Strike" and "Motion for Sanctions" on 4 January, 2012. As before, these filings by Defendants' representatives are in direct violation of Rule 11 in that the factual contentions contained in the pleadings have no evidentiary support and are blatantly slanderous to this Plaintiff. I will respond to them point by point as presented in their Motion to Strike.

1. My lawsuit is not frivolous and is not filed for improper purposes. It is a fact that I, a free man, was handcuffed, arrested, processed and incarcerated by Defendant Frazier without probable cause after being released on a suspended sentence by Defendant Hockenberry, presiding judge in a trial wherein the jury was improperly sworn in direct violation of NCGS 11-7 and NCGS 11-11 as well as in contravention to both the State (Article VI, Sec. 7) and federal Constitutions (Article VI, Cl. 3). If these arguments are frivolous, then I must be in the wrong country. It is a fact that I made Defendant Kilroy aware of the improper oath to his juries, and he was not moved to correct the problem. It is a fact that Defendant STATE failed to protect me from these violations of my constitutional rights.

2. As explained in Item 1, *supra*, I am not aware at all that my lawsuit is "baseless." I have every right to bring a suit for petition for redress of grievances under the First Amendment, and neither this court nor the attorneys for the Defendants have any constitutional right to deny me this opportunity. I responded to the personal letter referred to by the attorneys for the State Defendants (Exhibit A), and explained to them that I would voluntarily dismiss their clients if they agreed to be held to the laws as they are written on the subject of jury oaths. If we are all "bound by the statute," what sets the STATE, a Judge or a Clerk of Court above that law?

3. None of the cases I previously filed in federal court were "baseless." Each of these cases was meticulously researched and filed either due to violations of my constitutionally protected rights or to alert the court to violations of law by government actors (Re: 18 USC 4, Misprision of Felony). I have a right to complain when my rights are violated, and I have a duty to report the commissions of crimes to the court. It seems I know my duty, but others do not know theirs. Only under tyranny would one's right to petition for redress be denied. Of course, such tyranny can always be well concealed behind a veil of convenience if all the players follow the script.

4. None of my lawsuits have been successful because none of them were heard on their merits. They were disregarded due to procedural defects, in the opinions of the courts. When my rights stop being violated and the agents of government start respecting the laws written for everyone who falls under the jurisdiction of the law, I will stop filing lawsuits.

5. The instant "lawsuit is filed against public officers in the exercise of their official duties," but also against them in their individual capacities; but it is not "a collateral attack in Federal court upon a State criminal case," as claimed by the attorneys for the STATE defendants. While it appears that Defendant STATE may be, for some unfathomable reason, granted immunity from a Section 1983 suit by the 11th Amendment, the public officers, both officially and individually, appear not to be so blessed. To illustrate, see *Howlett v Rose*, 496 U.S. 356 (1990):

"In *Martinez v. California*, 444 U.S. 277 (1980), we explained: 'Conduct by persons acting under color of State law which is wrongful under 42 U.S.C. 1983 or 1985(3) cannot be immunized by State law. A construction of the federal statute which permitted a State immunity defense to have controlling effect would transmute a basic guarantee into an illusory promise; and the supremacy clause of the Constitution insures that the proper construction [496 U. S. 356, 377] may be enforced. See *McLaughlin v. Tilendis*, 398 F.2d 287, 290 (7th Cir. 1968). The immunity claim raises a question of federal law.' *Hampton v. Chicago*, 484 F.2d 602, 607 (CA7 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 917." *Id.*, at 284, n. 8."

And, quoting further from *Howlett*, *supra*, in its final concluding sentence:

"But as to persons that Congress subjected to liability, individual States may not exempt such persons from federal liability by relying on their own common-law heritage. If we were to uphold the immunity claim in this case, every State would have the same opportunity to extend the mantle of sovereign immunity to "persons" who would otherwise be subject to 1983 liability. States would then be free to nullify for their own people the legislative decisions that Congress has made on behalf of all the People."

As far as allowing these STATE defendants to get away with ignoring the laws passed by the legislature and the dictates of our constitutions on grounds that they are somehow immune from obeying the law, Justice Brandeis said the following in his dissenting opinion from *U. S. v Olmstead*, 277 US 438(1928):

"Decency, security and liberty alike demand that government officials shall be subjected to the rules of conduct that are commands to the citizen. In a government of laws, existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Our government is the potent, omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example. Crime is contagious. If the government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for the law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself. It invites anarchy."

I have not moved this court, or any other court, to review the judgment or my conviction in the matter which is the nexus for my instant complaint, nor will I. The attorneys for the STATE defendants have merely made an erroneous assumption and refer to it as if it were a fact.

6. This item in the STATE defendants' motion illustrates only more of the infantile attempts on the part of their attorneys to slander me. Do with it what you will.

7. There is no need for my citing of my *pro se* status to be "as a mantra." The court is well aware of the deference provided by the courts to a litigant who takes it upon himself, at no small cost or risk, to bring his own case before the bench and do his very best to present it well and honorably among those who are merely plying their trade. The comments of the attorneys for the STATE defendants discredit the practice of law and are an aspersion to the judicial profession. This court should take umbrage at their ravings.

8. I do not ask this court to "create a viable case out of whole cloth." Either the laws and the Constitution no longer apply to our STATE courts, or they do. This is not my choice to make. It is the choice for others of those who have taken oaths to "support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic" and wear black robes whether or not the STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA and its "public officers in the exercise of their official duties" will be held to their oaths and to the law. It would seem that when these State actors violate their oaths, they lose all protections of immunities of any sort.

Therefore, for good and just cause shown, STATE defendants' Motions to Strike and for Sanctions pursuant to Rule 11(c) must be denied; and my Motions to Deny their Motion to Dismiss and to Sanction the attorneys for the STATE defendants as deemed proper by this court should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted and stated, this 20th day of January, 2012.

– Donald Sullivan

The First Freedom
is for someone you know.

Ten questions for "Elie Wiesel believers"

By Carolyn Yeager
ewtattoo@codoh.com
www.eliewieseltattoo.com
c/o CODOH
PO Box 439016
San Ysidro, CA 92143

10 "tricky" questions for those who believe Elie Wiesel was in Auschwitz and Buchenwald camps and that he wrote *Un di velt hot geshvign* (*And the World Remained Silent*) based on that experience.

On the CODOH Forum, Friedrich Paul Berg had started an interesting thread on Dec. 30 with the title "Ten Trick Questions for "Holocaust Survivors." Berg writes that his questions are not really tricky at all; they are simply the kinds of normal and reasonable questions that defense attorneys should always ask prosecution witnesses. However, we have all been so thoroughly programmed with holocaust propaganda that hardly anyone ever dares to ask the right questions.

Berg is quite right and it gave me the idea to create my own questions for those (even some revisionists) who insist that Elie Wiesel has to be the author of the Yiddish book published in 1956 Argentina, and that I am oh so wrong in trying to prove otherwise.

Above is an image of Elie Wiesel in 1954, age 25-going-on-26, when he says he wrote *Un di velt*… attached to some kind of entry card issued by the Yugoslavian embassy in Paris.

So here are the questions I would like those "believers" to answer. As Friedrich Paul Berg would say, they are normal and reasonable questions that attorneys should ask witnesses in court. Try your hand at answering them and email your answers to ewtattoo@codoh.com or write them in a letter to CODOH (serious answers only, please).

1. Exactly when did Elie Wiesel write *Un di velt hot geshvign*? [not the year only, but the month(s) and day(s)].

2. Exactly where was Elie Wiesel when he wrote *Un di velt hot geshvign*?

3. How many pages was the original draft of *Un di velt hot geshvign*?

4. How many pages was the finished, published book?

5. Who edited the book from the original draft?

6. Why didn't Elie Wiesel make a carbon copy of his manuscript when he typed it? (This was the common practice at the time.)

7. Why didn't Elie Wiesel tell Francois Mauriac during their meeting in May 1955 that he had already written his "testimony" of being in the camps the year before?

8. Why did Elie Wiesel lie to Francois Mauriac and continue to lie to him?

9. Why has *Un di velt hot geshvign* never been translated into English or French, since it is the original source work of *Night*, which has sold an estimated ten million copies worldwide?

10. Who holds the copyright on *Un di velt hot geshvign*?

Hear Carolyn's reasonradionetwork.com show.

Elie Wiesel cons the world
A website dedicated to exposing the false testimony of the world's most famous "Holocaust survivor"
www.eliewieseltattoo.com

KENTUCKY BLOODLETTING carries you on a tour of the killing fields across that State to where innocent Confederate POWs were simply hauled from their cells and marched before Yankee firing squads, four "rebels" murdered in cold blood for each invader killed by the angry citizenry. It's a 2-1/2 hour DVD, yours PPD anywhere in U. S. or C. S. A. for $15. Order directly from narrator/cinematographer and roving reporter Nancy Hitt, 6214 Apex Drive, Louisville, KY 40219.

WHAT TO DO – AFTER THE COUP
Lawfully authorized by We the People
America, the time has come. The time is now! Hard-hitting, two-hour, focused wake-up call, 66-page lecture guide. $20 cash to Pat Revere, distant cousin of Paul Revere, PO Box 1947, Payson, AZ 85547

JOIN GIDEON'S ELITE, PREPARE FOR KINGDOM SERVICE! Hear Pastor Peter J. Peters daily on WWCR shortwave radio. For a FREE newsletter with complete broadcast schedule: Scriptures For America,. POB 766, LaPorte CO 80535. 24 hrs daily internet streaming @ www.scripturesforamerica.org

To join the Sons of Confederate Veterans,
call SCV Headquarters at
1-800-MY-SOUTH

ARMINIUS THE LIBERATOR by Ingrid Zündel is the most detailed book about the life and struggles of this young German Cheruskan ever compiled. Overthrowing his country's Roman occupiers, here's the true story of an empire taking its crusade to "make the world safe for mediacracy" a bridge too far. For your 8.5 x 11, 324-page millennium softcover edition, send $50 to Soaring Eagles Studios and Gallery, 2662 Wears Valley Road, Sevierville, TN 37862. ARMINIUS belongs in your library.

THE BLOODY RED STREAK, by Trefor David, a British labor union leader who served two prison sentences for leading strikes. Exposes the Jews as Communist leaders in Britain, France, Belgium and America. 23 pages, $3.70 PPD in U. S.
Truth at Last Books
PO Box 1211, Marietta, GA 30061

Judeo-Christian = False Church
True Christian not Jew-Christian
www.DEBUNKINGtfc.info
Debunking the False Church

TRUE PATRIOTS and conservatives meet, socialize and strategize monthly in Mid-Atlantic area. Details: Sid Secular, P. O. Box 8336, Silver Spring, Maryland 20907-8336. Please provide your mailing address and email address.

ADVERTISE on this bulletin board. Just $10 per insertion, maximum 50 words, 10¢ each additional word. Please indicate any capitalization or italics desired.

American Free Press
Here's a weekly national newspaper with stories the controlled press can't touch. *The Spotlight* was shut down by ZOG, but this one came back fighting. Send $17.76 for a one time 16-week "Get Acquainted" subscription to 645 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 100, Washington, DC 30003.

David Lee's BIBLE ERRORS REVEALED and Related Topics. Thirty years research seeking the Truth. Six paper back 8½ x 11 volumes $20 each PPD. Vol. I – 179 pages including Genesis chapter one, Noah's flood & Khazar Empire. Check or m.o. to SBO 66, Box 05292, Miami, FL 33102.

"BEHIND COMMUNISM" traces, in 98 pages, its beginnings in the Jewish ghettoes of East Europe. How they overthrew the Czar and supported the Jew Trotsky and half-Jew Lenin. Lists Soviet leaders who were Jews. Stalin named Jews to rule every occupied nation. $7.50 ppd, Truth at Last, P. O. Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061.

Please save us postage by watching your subscription's expiration on address label.

THE POLITICAL CESSPOOL – "The South's foremost populist radio program."
www.thepoliticalcesspool.org

BOOKS & LITERATURE plus "White Voice" newsletter. Send $5 m. o. for a list.
Racial Nationalist Party of America
P. O. Box 1281, Lockport, NY 14095

THE CAT is out of the bag. The so-called 14th Amendment that holds our republic hostage is not law, so each defiance that followed is also fallacious. Give students these comic book sized pamphlets; expose this tragicomedy of errors with humor. $20 per 100 PPD. Send check or m.o. to TFF, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576.

SOUTHERN SOLUTION. Yes, America is in Crisis. Yes – there is a solution. This documentary is expected to be completed and released during the summer. We have included a brief introduction online at http://southernnationalcongress.org/video1.shtml and hope you will take a few minutes to watch it.

Order the 21-page booklet
CONNECT THE DOTS
$15.00 includes postage
DWA Trust – P. O. Box 1947
Payson, Arizona 85547

COMPLETE SET of all back issues, 154 including this one: $308 check or m.o. to
The First Freedom
P. O. Box 385
Silverhill, Alabama 36576
ANY NUMBER of single back issues are available for $2 each while supplies last.

JEWISH RITUAL MURDER, 63 pages, $7.50 ppd, Truth at Last, P. O. Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061.

REALITY CHECK – 217 pgs paperback. You are a history sleuth right alongside German-born author Christine Miller as she plays Zionist contradictions in their own words against themselves. Nothing is closer to fresh air and light than *Reality Check*. $20 PPD in the U. S., $24 foreign. TFF, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, AL 36576.

ANOTHER VIEW OF THE
CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT
By Retired Chief Drue Lackey
Copies of this book may be obtained by sending check or money order for $15 plus $4.95 shipping to D. H. Lackey, P. O. Box 242265, Montgomery, AL 36124-2265.

KKK – Rev. R. D. Loy, P. O. Box 4731,
South Bend, Indiana 46624
America: Born July 4, 1776
Died November 4, 2008

Letters...

Your signed letter with phone number and address is welcome, but will be edited as necessary to fit available space. Send to The First Freedom, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576 or email editor@firstfreedom.net

NO WHITE DIVERSITY

It's not easy for a pro-White skinhead to get reading material in the Pennsylvania prison system. This place acts extremely scared of educated and united Whites. We aren't even allowed to practice our Odinist religion, yet Jews and Muslims are free to do everything and they are catered to. One day soon we will hopefully have *our* rights in this country *our* forefathers founded, settled, built and died for. It's a shame the only race that ever created and sustained a civilization worth anything has at the same time turned it over to our sworn enemies.

BRIAN JONES KB3213
PO Box 99991
Pittsburgh, PA 15233

We accept stamps in payment from inmates, but sometimes have to fill out and return a form letter from a prison protesting TFF's in no way untruthful content, though it's found objectionable by a few mail room clerks who imagine themselves "offended" by the battle flag atop page one, our honest introduction; yet we "hate" nobody, and do address the warden or other proper authority in response to complaints by those who think *their* views are the only ones suitable for publication.

NEVER FORGET

When Ron Paul demands an investigation into the 1967 planned attack and murder of the *USS Liberty*, then and *only* then should he be praised. REV. DR. RON ELY
Hays, KS

NOT USEFUL

In Carroll Quigley's book, *Tragedy and Hope*, he reveals our dishonest banking system, political charade, the media and educational manipulation. Basically the entire design and apparatus for corrupting and controlling the world was formulated and implemented by a secret group, he says, of British businessmen and academics at the start of the last century.

All the instruments and measures of control which Mr. Quigley enunciated in his book openly function throughout the world today, but to a great extent appear to be managed by the Jews. Have they entirely co-opted the schemes Mr. Quigley has attributed to British gentiles?

ROB CARROLL
Noxon, MT

Quigley's nominal forté, investigating secret societies, was in fact obfuscation. He taught Slick Willie Clinton the art.

USEFUL

Thanks for exposing the Zionist Israel threat to the world. Your coverage of "The International Jew" by Henry Ford and the details of how Zionism controls the United States government is highly appreciated.

JOSEPH STEFURAK
Raleigh, NC

POLITICAL PERVERTS

Feminism is a Jew/Communist project of the Zionist New World Order. It is a great, cruel hoax on America and Western civilization, an insidious and destructive fraud to destroy the family. Since the 1960s, the most evil-immoral generation, feminism and "sexual liberation" have ravaged the family institution. Feminism pretends to champion women while in fact degrading feminine qualities. It has ruined the lives of millions of women (and men) who now can't find lasting love.

The educational system promotes sex to children, introduces flavored condoms and explicit pictures that appall them. These are the instruments of indoctrination, corruption and child abuse. All of this is designed to make the sex act an end-in-itself apart from love, marriage and procreation. Thus the habit of monogamy is lost.

Compare this with years gone by when marriage and family, the building blocks of society, were consecrated; without which, there is no basis for social stability. Break up marriage and you render all vulnerable to political control. Feminist theorists have a lesbian hatred of heterosexuality and blame "the patriarchy" for all the world's Problems.

Communism and Zionism are one and the same. The Jewish banking cartel rules through control of intelligence agencies, foundations, think tanks, universities, professional associations, churches, secret societies, NGOs and the mass media.

In the Communist Manifesto, Marx said all religion and morals must be abolished and everything permitted. It has come true.

Betty Friedan, the "founder of modern feminism," had a "revelation" that women like her were exploited and should seek independence and self-fulfillment in a career. Gloria Steinem became a feminist leader, a Communist. Both were Jews.

RAY DIVELY
Baden, PA

BILCOX BROTHERS

Glad to have my eyes opened about Alex Jones. Why is he devoting all his time to this? The Jesuits are also going at us and want to dismantle us just as do the Zionists. I believe somehow they are connected, but how do they fit and how can they merge? What is the story on this?

MARYANNE YOTHERS
Silver Springs, FL

SUPPORTER

It is suggested that all patriot subscribers provide $100 to **TFF** for a Legal Defense Fund. The old Boy Scout motto is here applicable – *Be Prepared*.

Beyond reasonable doubt, the Jewish enemy will attempt to bankrupt **The First Freedom** with costly judicial actions.

F. J. "DINTY" MOORE
Cocolalla, ID

WHAT IF

Many of our people don't get involved till they retire. But our enemies aren't lax and they're setting their own agenda. What would our nation have become if 56 White men had not taken a stand against tyranny and oppression? VANCE SISSON
Brent, AL

***That* is something to feel guilty about!**

OPPOSES VIGILANCE

Alabama A.G. Luther Strange [January's scalawag, by Jeb Lessley] is another stooge for agribusiness and related heavy GOP donor groups who want to continue shifting the social costs of illegals onto taxpayers while profiting from a captive worker pool that can be paid off the books and below minimum wage with no social security, unemployment contributions or workmans comp from the employer. Yet, whoever Mr. Lessley is, he is poison just on a tactical basis for you or your organization. Note he doesn't call A.G. Strange a business stooge but a ZOG stooge. We all know what ZOG stands for and there are for some reason an unusual number of judeophobes in Alabama peddling not just opposition to Israeli policies but a thinly disguised message of eliminationist psychopathology.

Whoever these people are, you should have sense enough to not let them appear on your website for any value you may have will be destroyed by association with these propagandists of unalloyed evil.

LAWRENCE STONE
resource61@yahoo.com

Phobia means fear. We rubes are not judeophobes but judeovigilantes.

PREDICTABLE

Well, I see the present White House Squatter chose to spend Christmas in Hawaii. I guess he could not stand the thought of having anything to do with a White Christmas, so with bag and baggage he's off on another lavish outing, again soaking the taxpayers for his extravagance.

It is interesting to note that a recent issue of a monthly aviation publication revealed that Barack Obama thought private jet aircraft were an unwarranted luxury. Hypocrisy has now reached unfathomable dimensions.

I cannot think of a more unwarranted luxury than Barack Obama having Air Force One rolled out so that he can trot around the globe two or three times a month with an entourage and trappings that is beyond the imagination of the most ribbon-bedecked potentate of any elephant kingdom that ever existed.

The reality of the situation is that Obama is doing exactly what any other sharecropper would do if he won the Powerball lottery. Why should we expect anything different?

BUDDY KIRTLAND
Collinwood, TN

PAUL QUANDARY

I wish to respond to the comment, "Voting changes nothing," that you wrote as a response to one of last month's letters. I do not agree with this view. The writer was urging citizens to vote for Ron Paul. I realize that Paul has no more chance of moving into the White House than I do. The propaganda machine will prevent that from happening. If that fails, the election results will be rigged. If that fails, he will be assassinated. There is too much at stake to let him hold that much power. However, if enough people were to support him, the elite that control this country would be put in a very uncomfortable position in disposing of him. The act of defeating him would surely cause some people to open their eyes as to the evil that rules this country. I contribute and support Paul as much as possible knowing that he will never become President. Any vote that helps clarify the situation that we face is not a wasted vote. NOTA contributes nothing to our cause.

BENJAMIN DOVER
Pleasant View, TN

Coming in 4th in South Carolina can be a little disappointing to some of Ron's fans, but let's look at the bright side:

Mitt and Newt now will be tearing at each others throats like never before, while Ron calmly continues to spread his message of liberty and individual freedom, more folks listening to him after they see what hypocritical phonies the two front runners are. This is no time for us to become depressed and quit. Ron needs our continued moral and monetary support if we expect to see him emerge the winner in November. Having donated until it hurts, I'll continue to make whatever sacrifices are necessary in other areas of my budget because this is our final chance to save Uncle Sam from a fate worse than death.

Keep the faith; give whatever you can. Five dollars might seem too paltry to do any good, but when coming from hundreds of thousands of Ron's supporters it can be the difference between victory or defeat.

Remember, quitters never win, and winners never quit! WARREN WILSON
Kirkland, WA

So let us bend our knees in prayer for a miracle to make good things happen.

ANNO 76 B. C. E.

(Before Caving to Evil): "Resolved, that the Church of the Nazarene in the Ninth General Assembly asserts unequivocally its stand against the world wide menace of communism, and affirms the necessity for Christian citizenship and patriotism in the age when the foundations of human society and government are seriously threatened by the forces of atheistic political radicalism (Adopted by General Assembly, 1936)."

JUNE GRIFFITH
Dayton, TN

Selling this confederacy of States to the plebs as a nation

By Olaf Childress
editor@firstfreedom.net

In 2012 the world will end? Step right over here, folks. See inside this tent the bearded lady, half-alligator man and some pickled remains of a green-skinned flying saucer crew! Thank you, young sir; that ticket is good for the drawing in one hour, as each day we give ten chances to win a vacation on Fantasy Island; next! There's yours, good madam. Hello, come on, the show begins shortly.



P. T. Barnum, you were right both times; a sucker is born every minute and nothing sells like grotesquerie.

Not only that, your mountebanks easily outdid their Wall Street counterparts, the latter showing no similar glitz or humor, never letting urchins help erect the big top in exchange for later admittance, loading them instead with the debts of a bankrupt FDR circus.

Hope springs eternal. And *there* is the challenge for any grinch who would steal Christmas and bill us for his wasted effort. He won't heed colleagues' warnings about trying the same old trick endlessly while expecting different results, therefore we'll apply stealth in our attempt to help him.

Why should we sympathize with a foe who's trying to destroy us? Hey, I'm only a creature of habit like the rest of you. It's what we do. Just guessing: could his media have had more influence over our thinking than we realize?

Keep up with the Joneses? No, down among the clowns

Hope is not one's belief that something will end pleasantly, but the certainty that it makes sense no matter what happens. Now few of us ordinarily agree with his idea of exceptionalism: that he's exempt from the rules. However, Pavlovian puppies – when habitually hearing *Holocinate!* – yap about old grinch's past sufferings and our duty to stand by him unto death.

Grinch, that tree is only *a symbol*. True, it's warm and bright, yet still just the locus where Christian families gather to observe these longer days returning. Stealing it won't produce – If I'm reading your bio correctly – what you're looking for.

Trust me. Yes, that's your line; forgive my incursion and please hear this advice. You push a Christmas tree up the mountain endlessly, but always come tumbling down with it again.

Your fellow kleptomaniacs are catching on, yet *you* continue exposing yourself and bringing discredit upon all their efforts by association. Back off from so much naked aggression! Return to those subtleties that work.

Attack, instead, the *spirit* and *hope* of your targets. Destroy theirs, keep yours, a very simple formula; and, with the internet a potential snow job capable of blanketing the earth, all that matters is who's in charge of its flow.

Your more successful contemporaries, having foreseen this new day coming and knowing the quickest route to any man's heart is by his libido, have fed him tripe, glitz, tailgate parties, winless wars and a daily bolus of pessimism.

What works

Grinch, try catching on. Stay ahead of your adversary; keep pushing this reverse side of the coin into his face, never letting him remember those principles of morality he once proudly professed, the epitome of optimism. Living in tougher times, today's hardcopy newspapers – your mediacracy's former mainstream – are turning belly up, while no more than a handful of alternate tabloids mock them as "the lamestream."

So it's touch-and-go with the net. Not much there for your kind unless you *stick together as never before*. That's because so many once-closely-guarded secrets have got out into cyberspace and now threaten the whole cabal's existence. Countless new websites are using ever-improving search engine capabilities, stalking the conspiracy and always anticipating its next move. But, whatever countermeasures those *goyim* set up, you can infiltrate. They're slower at the bait-and-switch; few of them even know how it works.

"Voting"

Today it's urgent to focus such rubes on the latest "election" for another figurehead "leader of the free world." Keep a straight face; this is serious. No, that ritual won't "change" where your road show is headed, precisely my point. Of equal importance, as the Soros-choreographed "revolutions" continue gleaning vulnerabilities from the internet and then rerouting those passions in an opposite direction from where some P. T. Barnum type supposedly was taking them, you mustn't let it get out that this One World Government circus could not survive a *real* revolution. In the words of that old barker himself: "Never give a sucker an even break."

So, grinch, forget about stealing plastic toys and concentrate on *spiritual* deviance, what you're good at. Send all their hopes down blind alleys; keep them forever lost, confused, fighting among themselves in a house of mirrors. Learn from the Bilcox how to join *or even create* patriotic groups in order to reduce such pride. Set people up for the Southern Puberty Lechery Center's charges of "hate," "racism," etc., and they will cringe, due to habitual dread of the now-stingless mediacracy. Get busy before the majority of them finds out this internet changeover can bypass your conspiracy. Play the suckers into one more "election," because keeping control of the masses is a now or never proposition.

Back at the ranch

Meanwhile, we *goyim*, groping around in that virtual reality they've created for us, drag on, demanding nothing more of each other than "Have a nice day," playing *their* game without realizing it's *our* move, and end of the line – *for them*. We've only to stop mouthing clichés and start speaking the truth in order to get our bearings out of this crazy house, thus reversing the horrors they have tricked us into. Avoiding anger, fear, hate and guilt, it's past time to put the headstartnik and his handler in their places.

I've got to be kidding? I'm serious as a heart recovery.

Survival is the sane human's foremost instinct. Knowing what that requires at any moment demands valid information about oneself and his surroundings.

Where, throughout the annals of history, has ever a people come even close to ours for all these breakthroughs that go beyond the mere conveniences of experiencing life in its fullest? Unlike those moneychangers exploiting human chattel and burdening us with their own defects as well, we've now survived everything they've thrown at us: the bankrupt mediacracy, weapons of mass money manipulation, mercenary assassins, you name it, for here in this information age one needs no concealed carry permit to bear and profess the truth.

Let the *real* Pavlovian chump emerging from that house of warped mirrors take a look at his true reflection. And why did I not include "or her"? Because an honest woman doesn't feel slighted upon meeting unreconstructed English.

Not everybody can handle this. It's hard to admit the extent to which *all of us* have been had, some more than others; but such an admission can become anyone's new beginning, a thread out of the labyrinth and into bright sunshine.

Do we share this success with the whole world? Our Aryan nation has attracted, in addition to Christian morality and precise language, multitudes of parasites holding Newspeak and White guilt indispensable to *their* manifest destiny of controlling all humankind – the tyrannical *ultissimus*. So they've infiltrated themselves in by hook or crook and set about the devious task of dismantling Western civilization primarily through media subversion.

Yet a funny thing has happened on their way to that iniquity: the internet. Instead of bothering to wonder why nobody of clear mind loves or trusts them anymore, nor how it can be that the once reliable media whip, now frazzled down to its grip, gets less respect, they stand there bewildered with its outmoded remnant in one hand and cash in the other as video camera operators wait for what they'll say or do next.

Let all of us survivors emerging from this Slough of Despond stay on course in the future. We'll live out our lives knowing the end times have come and gone for those sunk irretrievably into its muck. No anger or angst; that's their problem.

And, though we pathfinders are few, our hope and cheer challenges even fewer who spy on discussion groups incognito. This spring's flowers will bloom again, their pessimism notwithstanding.

The defeatism-promoting mediacracy's demise is good news, and the yet better part of that story reveals how many optimistic tabloids, talk shows, DVD producers and authors are telling it to the dumbed-down masses like it is. *There* is where anybody out of a job can find employment.

Knowing not exactly how or why, only that they've been *had*, people are *hungry* for the facts. Deep within themselves, most other races realize old Whitey never was that bad apple the TV sitcoms were making him out to be. We, they and the Hollywood mythmongers now face a moment of truth.

The White man has made life better for everyone. Africans and Asians have much to thank him for, although understandably they're unhappy that such a generous host naturally attracts those parasites agitating him to bomb their States into submission.

People who can't stand such a love-hate scene stay out of the kitchen, but still know what's cooking in there. They sit orderly at table waiting for today's vittles to arrive on schedule even while realizing this will not last forever. Seeing their own facial images appear on all those Post Office displays, in kosher advertisements and as front page sports triumphs fools nobody today, and in fact never did. A good feeling while it lasted, such fiction now tires those who knew all along it meant no real capitulation by his eminence, Whitey, even if he did overdo it with have-a-nice-days back when clichés were in vogue.

Everybody is waking up, and that's the good news! What will it mean? Holocausts and hangings? Global government? Blood and famine? NOTA, none of the above, or possibly anything you might imagine, for somehow life will continue among those who survive.

And, since Aryan know-how has given the world such labor-saving devices as to render plantations and animal husbandry capable of feeding its population ten times over, obviously today's bankster-created problems are opportunities in disguise. We shall take care of that tempest-in-a-teapot. Why am I sure of this? It's in our blood.

Roald Amundsen, early explorer of the polar regions, first man to reach both the North and South Poles, then even discover the Northwest Passage, disappeared while setting out on a rescue mission searching for some earlier lost Aryan competitors. Men like these you won't find among a counting house's staff having wandered in from the steppes of Asia.

And who *are* these people forever trying to falsify the records? Patently they know themselves unworthy! Our indoctrinated angst about mentioning a "minority" group member by some other generic name than this week's apparatchik-approved moniker so as not to "offend" him has nothing to do with altering that individual's status one way or another. He is what he is, and a rose by any name smells so sweet.

For his own good, yes, and ours, let us dispense with that foreign ideologue's lies whereby he seeks to gain exception from the rules.

We Aryans can, shall and *must* win this war of wits. The enemy, having played out his hand and discovered its race card now failing to trump the truth, bets we'll "vote" yet again for the same federal tripe instead of concentrating completely on reinstating our States. Let us disillusion him of those superman fantasies of his and stand there smiling, *no anger*, while he pulls on those leotards one leg at a time. That "national" TV show, bereft of an audience, will fold its tent and go into retirement.

We don't need any "protocols" beyond those principles of morality once proudly professed by our Aryan, Christian *nation* – truth and optimism.

Freedom of speech

Open communication is the key, *no fear* of speaking our minds when chastising bad behavior. And that may take some practice, because, as creatures of Pavlovian habit, we've learned to cringe before some jerk spewing charges of "hate" and "racism" at us rather than cross-examining his lust for libertine *über*freedom to lionize anything except straight White honor.

Forget attempting the impossible, *i.e.*, reforming the District of Corruption, and just focus on recapturing the media from those would-be supermen. That's our goal. Get used to the idea and we can do it! Put your vote of confidence rather into today's internet changeover, speed the demise of their conspiracy and jump-start these State governments whose principles got stalled when switching from Christian morality to hedonism. Support only those public office seekers close at home, such candidates as *have proven themselves reliable.*

And our discontinuing to find atrocious behavior acceptable, *no hate* there, is just a statement that yesterday's anarchy calling itself multicultural order (an oxymoron the ruling extremists found useful) has lost its license to deceive.

How wonderful that the Supreme Mind of this universe has lately given us access to unlimited inquiry, pursuing which, we must weigh and calculate each step along the way. *Quo vadis?* Moments of decision! As one can control only his own behavior while leaving fools to their intrigues for becoming invincible, let us not blaspheme the natural pattern's selecting the fittest for survival without blinking at wiping out a Gomorrah with AIDS or visiting disaster upon any napping nation.

Our Aryan *nation* will survive, at least in sufficient numbers to preserve the faith. Other peoples less interested in the next Northwest Passage to Mars or Venus, on learning the mediacracy's so-called 14th Amendment rests underneath the rubble of Honest Abe's Memorial, will discover they need fear only one another, as we're much less interested in exploiting than keeping them at arms length. Let us have *no regrets*. Everyone reaps what he sows.

The First Freedom
P.O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576

FULL NAME

MAIL ADDRESS

CITY STATE ZIP

REMARKS

☐ Here's $25 for a one-year subscription
☐ $48 for same, but in 1st class envelope
☐ $30 for 100 extra copies of current issue
☐ $25 for 100 back issues; prefer_____
☐ $15 for 22 extra copies of current issue
☐ $10 for 10 extra copies of current issue
☐ $_____as a patron. Keep it going!